

Euclidean Ramsey Theory
Exposition by William Gasarch (gasarch@cs.umd.edu)

1 Introduction

2 The Square is Ramsey

3 The set of Three Points is Not Ramsey

Let $p = 0, q = 1, r = 2$ on the real number line. Let $S = \{p, q, r\}$. We show that S is not Ramsey.

We want to show that, for all n , there is a 16-coloring of R^n such that, if $T \subseteq R^n$ is a three points set which is a copy of S then T is not monochromatic. We need to clarify *copy*. Fix n . Let $\vec{p} = (0, \dots, 0, 0)$, $\vec{q} = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$, and $\vec{r} = (0, \dots, 0, 2)$ where there number of coordinates is n .

Definition 3.1 A *copy* of S is a set of the form $\{\vec{p} + \vec{z}, \vec{q} + \vec{z}, \vec{r} + \vec{z}\}$.

Hence we need a property of a copy that is independent of \vec{z} .

Theorem 3.2 *There exists a, b, d such that, for all \vec{z}*

$$a((\vec{r} + \vec{z}) \cdot (\vec{r} + \vec{z}) - (\vec{q} + \vec{z}) \cdot (\vec{q} + \vec{z})) + b((\vec{r} + \vec{z}) \cdot (\vec{r} + \vec{z}) - (\vec{p} + \vec{z}) \cdot (\vec{p} + \vec{z})) = d.$$

Proof:

We derive conditions for a, b, d and then give values that satisfy those conditions. We want

$$a((\vec{r} + \vec{z}) \cdot (\vec{r} + \vec{z}) - (\vec{q} + \vec{z}) \cdot (\vec{q} + \vec{z})) + b((\vec{r} + \vec{z}) \cdot (\vec{r} + \vec{z}) - (\vec{p} + \vec{z}) \cdot (\vec{p} + \vec{z})) = d.$$

Let $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Then the above becomes

$$a\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} z_i^2 + (z_n + 2)^2\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} z_i^2 + (z_n + 1)^2\right) + b\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} z_i^2 + (z_n + 2)^2\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} z_i^2 + z_n^2\right) = d$$

$$a((z_n + 2)^2 - (z_n + 1)^2) + b((z_n + 2)^2 - z_n^2) = d$$

$$a(z_n^2 + 4z_n + 4 - z_n^2 - 2z_n - 1) + b(z_n^2 + 4z_n + 4 - z_n^2) = d$$

$$a(2z_n + 3) + b(4z_n + 4) = d$$

$$3a + 4b + (2a + 4b)z_n = d$$

We need to make $2a + 4b = 0$. We take $a = 2$ and $b = -1$. This forces $d = 2$. ■

We can now rephrase the question (we pre-apologize for using \vec{z} over again in a different context, but we are running out of letters). We want to 16-color R^n so that there are no monochromatic \vec{x}, \vec{y}, v, z with

$$a(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{x} - \vec{y} \cdot \vec{y}) + b(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{x} - \vec{z} \cdot \vec{z}) = d.$$

Note that dot products give us reals. Hence we will first give a coloring of the reals and then use it to give a coloring of R^n .

Lemma 3.3 *For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $2m$ -coloring of R such that, for all y, y' ,*

$$COL(y) = COL(y') \implies y - y' \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2km\epsilon - \epsilon, 2km\epsilon + \epsilon).$$

Proof: We color the reals by coloring intervals of length ϵ that are closed on the left and open on the right. The following picture describe the coloring.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & 2m & & 1 & & 2 & & \dots \\ [0, \epsilon) & [\epsilon, 2\epsilon) & [2\epsilon, 3\epsilon) & \dots & [(2m-1)\epsilon, 2m\epsilon) & & [2m\epsilon, (2m+1)\epsilon) & & [(2m+1)\epsilon, (2m+2)\epsilon) & & \dots \end{array}$$

Assume $COL(y) = COL(y')$. Since we are interested in $y - y'$ we can assume that $y' \in [0, \epsilon)$. If $y > y'$ then

$$y \in [0, \epsilon) \text{ or } y' \in [2m\epsilon, (2m+1)\epsilon) \text{ or } y' \in [4m\epsilon, (4m+1)\epsilon) \text{ or } \dots$$

More succinctly

$$y \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} [2km\epsilon, (2km+1)\epsilon)$$

Hence

$$y - y' \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} ((2km-1)\epsilon, (2km+1)\epsilon)$$

If $y < y'$ then we get, by similar reasoning,

$$y - y' \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{-\infty} ((2km-1)\epsilon, (2km+1)\epsilon)$$

Hence we have

$$y - y' \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} ((2km-1)\epsilon, (2km+1)\epsilon)$$

■

Lemma 3.4 For all m , for all $a_1, \dots, z_m \in \mathbb{Z}$, for all $d \neq 0$, there is a $(2m)^m$ coloring of R such that there is NO solution to

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i(y_i - y'_i) = d$$

with $(\forall i)[COL(y_i) = COL(y'_i)]$.

Proof: For all $1 \leq i \leq m$ let $\epsilon_i = \frac{d}{a_i m}$. By Lemma 3.3 there exists, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, a coloring COL_i such that

$COL(y) = COL(y')$ implies

$$y - y' \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{(2km - 1)d}{a_i m}, \frac{(2km + 1)d}{a_i m} \right) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{2kd}{a_i} - \frac{d}{a_i m}, \frac{2kd}{a_i} + \frac{d}{a_i m} \right)$$

■