

Journal Title: Canadian Mathematics Bulletin

Volume: 11

Issue:

Month/Year: 1968

Pages: 409-

Article Author: Berlekamp

Article Title: A construction for partitions which avoid long arithmetic progressions

Imprint:

Call #: UMCP EPSL Stacks

QA1.C372

Periodical v.11 (1968)

Location:

Item #:

CUSTOMER HAS REQUESTED:

Mail to Address

William Gasarch (100934397) College Park, MD 20742

A CONSTRUCTION FOR PARTITIONS WHICH AVOID LONG ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS

E.R. Berlekamp

(received January 2, 1968)

For $k \geq 2$, $t \geq 2$, let W(k,t) denote the least integer m such that in every partition of m consecutive integers into k sets, at least one set contains an arithmetic progression of t+1 terms. This paper presents a construction which improves the best previously known lower bounds on W(k,t) for small k and large t.

1. Introduction. For $k \ge 2$, $t \ge 2$, let W(k,t) denote the least integer m such that in every partition of m consecutive into k sets, at least one set contains an arithmetic progression of t+1 terms. According to a well-known theorem of van der Waerden (1925), $W(k,t) < \infty$. It is obvious that

(1)
$$W(k, t) \leq W(k, t+1)$$
.

Using random coding arguments, Erdös and Radó (1952) have shown that

(2)
$$W(k, t) \ge [2t k^t]^{1/2}$$
.

By a more refined nonconstructive argument, Schmidt (1962) has shown that

(3)
$$W(k, t) \ge k^{(t+1) - c[(t+1)\log(t+1)]^{1/2}}$$

where c is an absolute constant. The major result of this paper is

THEOREM 1. If k is a prime-power, and if W is an integer such that

$$(4) \qquad \qquad \bigvee_{W} \leq t(k^{t}-1)/k^{d}-1)$$

for all d which are proper divisors of t, and if

$$(5) \qquad \qquad \widetilde{W} \leq t(k^{t}-1)/D$$

for all D < t which are divisors of $k^{t}-1$, then

(6)
$$W(k, t) > W$$

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 11, no. 3, 1968

The proof consists of a construction, based on the Galois field $GF(k^t)$, which partitions W consecutive integers into k sets, none of which contains any arithmetic progression longer than t. In some cases this construction can be extended by special arguments, to give

r

S

e

1.

(:

S

I:

t.

S k

THEOREM 2. If t is prime, $W(2, t) > t2^t$.

The bound of Theorem 2 is stronger than equation (3). If t is the square of a prime or the product of two large primes whose difference is small, then Theorem 1 again represents a slight improvement over equation (3). However, for most values of t, the bound of Theorem 1 can be improved by decreasing t to the next smaller prime and invoking equation (1). Although this technique gives the best known bound for small k and large t, the construction of L. Moser (1960) still gives the best known bound for small t and large k, namely,

(7)
$$W(k, t) > tk^{c \log k}$$

The bound of Theorem 2 is also disappointing for small values of t. Theorem 2 shows only that W(2,3) > 24, yet J. Folkman (1967) has shown that W(2,3) > 34 by the following construction: For $i=0,1,2,\ldots,33$, let $i\in S_0$ if i=0,11, or a quadratic nonresidue mod 11. It is believed that Folkman's partition is the best possible, and that W(2,3)=35. Similar constructions using quadratic residues modulo certain larger primes may be used to obtain other lower bounds on W(2,t), but the general form of these bounds is unknown for large values of t.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Let α be a primitive element in $GF(k^t)$. Then every nonzero element in $GF(k^t)$ is a power of α , and $a^i = \alpha^j$ if and only if $a^i = a^j$ mod $a^i = a^j$. Let $a^i = a^j$ be a set of elements in $a^i = a^j$ which are linearly independent over $a^i = a^j$ these elements form a basis of $a^i = a^j$ over $a^i = a^i$ over $a^i = a^i$

$$\alpha^{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} A_{i}, j\beta_{i}$$

The field element α^j is the root of some irreducible monic polynomial, $f^{(j)}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{t} f_n^{(j)} \mathbf{x}^n$, where $f_n^{(j)} \in GF(k)$. The degree of $f^{(j)}(\mathbf{x})$ is a divisor of t.

For each $\xi \in \mathrm{GF}(k)$, we define the set of integers S_{ξ} by the rule

$$i \in S_{\xi}$$
 if and only if $0 \le i < \overset{\checkmark}{W}$ and $A_{1,i} = \xi$.

Similarly, for each $\xi \in GF(k)$, we define the set of nonzero field elements, T_{ξ} , by the rule $\alpha^i \in T_{\xi}$ for each $i \in S_{\xi}$.

We now claim that no S_ξ contains any arithmetic progression of length > t. Let us suppose that for some b \neq 0,

(8)
$$\{a, a+b, a+2b, \ldots, a+tb\} \subset S_{\xi} ...$$

Since $0 \le a < a + tb < W$, we have

(9)
$$b < (k^{t} - 1)/(k^{d} - 1)$$

and

(10)
$$b < (k^t - 1)/D$$

from equations (4) and (5). We now consider separately the cases $\xi \neq 0$ and $\xi = 0$.

Case 1:
$$\xi \neq 0$$
. Since $\alpha^a f^{(b)}(\alpha^b) = 0$, we have $0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{(b)}_n \alpha^{a+bn} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{(b)}_n \alpha^{a+bn}$

t (b) t $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n^{(b)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_{j,a+bn}^{\beta} \beta_j$. Since $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_t$ are linearly independent, this implies that for every j,

(11)
$$\sum_{n=0}^{t} f_n^{(b)} A_{j,a+bn} = 0.$$

In particular, since $A_{1,a+b,n} = \xi$ for n = 0,1,...,t, we may set j = 1 in equation (11) and obtain $\xi \sum_{n=0}^{t} f_n^{(b)} = 0$. If $\xi \neq 0$, this implies

that $0 = \sum_{n=0}^{t} f_n^{(b)}$ $f^{(b)}(1)$. Therefore, $f^{(b)}(x)$ is divisible by x-1.

Since $f^{(b)}(x)$ is irreducible, $f^{(b)}(x) = x-1$, $\alpha = 1$, and $b \equiv 0 \mod k^{t}$, contradicting both equations (9) and (10).

Case 2: $\xi = 0$. A weakened form of equation (8) is $\{a+b, a+2b, \dots, a+tb\} \subset S_0.$

By definition of T_0 , equation (12) implies that T_0 contains the elements a^+b , a^+2b , ..., a^+tb . We claim that these t elements are distinct, for if $a^-a^+b=a^+mb$, then $(n-m)b\equiv 0 \mod k^-1$, contradicting equation (10). Since T_0 is a subspace of dimension t-1 over GF(k), any t distinct elements in T_0 must be linearly dependent. Therefore, there exist B_1 , B_2 , ..., $B_t \in GF(k)$ such that t $\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i a^{a+bn} = 0$. This implies that $a^-b = 1$ is a root of the polynomial $a^-b = 1$. Since the degree of this polynomial is less than t, $a^-b \in GF(k)$, where d is a proper divisor of t. Thus, $a^-b = 1$, so $a^-b \in GF(k)$, where d is a proper divisor of t. Thus, $a^-b \in GF(k)$ so $a^-b \in GF(k)$, where d is a proper divisor of t. Thus, $a^-b \in GF(k)$ is possible only if b is larger than the bounds of equation (9) or equation (10).

(1

33.3

(1

W

Α

0:

į٤

n

b

p tì

р

 \circ

<u>Proof of Theorem 2</u>. If p and t are odd primes, then Fermat's theorem shows that $2^{(p-1)} \equiv 1 \mod p$ so $2^t \not\equiv 1 \mod p$ unless $p \equiv 1 \mod t$. In other words, if D is any divisor of 2^t-1 , then $D \geq t+1$, so Theorem 1 asserts that W(2,t) > W, where $W = t(2^t-1)$. We shall now show that the construction of Theorem 1 can be extended to include t additional consecutive integers.

The construction of Theorem 1 is valid for any choice of β 's, so we may now choose these basis elements as follows:

(13)
$$\beta_1 = 1, \quad \beta_2 = 1 + \alpha, \dots, \beta_{(t+1)/2} = 1 + \alpha^{(t-1)/2};$$

$$\beta_{(t+3)/2} = 1 + \alpha^{-1}, \beta_{(t+5)/2} = 1 + \alpha^{-2}, \dots, \beta_t = 1 + \alpha^{-(t-1)/2}.$$

If these β 's were linearly dependent, then α would be a root of a polynomial of degree \leq t-1, contradicting the assumption that α is a primitive element in GF(2^t).

With the basis chosen by equation (13), the proof of Theorem 1 partitions $\{0,1,2,\ldots,W-1\}$ into disjoint sets S_0 and S_1 , with the property that

(44)
$$\{0, 1, 2, \dots, (t-1)/2\} \subset S_{\frac{1}{4}}$$

and

(15)
$$\{ \overset{\checkmark}{W} = 1, \overset{\checkmark}{W} = 2, \dots, \overset{\checkmark}{W} = (t-1)/2 \} \subseteq S_{\frac{1}{4}}$$

We set $S_0^+ = S_0^- \cup S_0^- \cup S_0^-$ where

$$S_0^1 = \{-1, -2, \dots, -(t-1)/2\}$$

$$S_0^{II} = \{ \overset{\checkmark}{W}, \overset{\checkmark}{W} + 1, \dots, \overset{\checkmark}{W} + (t-1)/2 \}$$

Any arithmetic progression of length t+1 in S_0^{\dagger} would have to be of one of the following types:

- 1) Including an element in S_0^t and another element in S_0^u . This is impossible because the difference between any two such numbers is not divisible by τ .
- 2) Including two or more elements in S_0' [or S_0'']. This is blocked by equation (14) (or equation (15)).
- 3) Including one element in S_0^t (or S_0^{tt}) and an arithmetic progression of length t is S_0 . According to the proof of Theorem 1, the only arithmetic progressions of length t in S_0^t are those in which $b \ge 2^t 1$. The total span of the extension of such a progression would be $\ge t(2^t 1)$, contradicting equation (45) (or equation (14)).

Therefore, S_0^+ and S_4^- partition the integers from -(t-1)/2 to W + (t-1)/2 into two sets, neither of which contains any arithmetic progression longer than t. This partition can be translated to a partition of the integers from 0 to $t2^t$ - 1 (or from 1 to $t2^t$) by adding (t-1)/2 for (t+1)/2) to each element in S_1^+ and S_1^- .

The construction of Theorem 1 may also be extended slightly for other values of t and k, but the improvement is always relatively small.

3. Example. Let k = 2, t = 3, W = 21. Take α as a root of $x^3 + x + 1$; $\beta_1 = 1$, $\beta_2 = 1 + \alpha + \alpha^3$; $\beta_3 = 1 + \alpha^{-1} = \alpha^2$. For i = 1, 2, 3; $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2^n$, $A_{i, j}$ is given by

110010111001011100101 010111001011100101110 004011100101110010111

so $S_1 = \{0, 1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 15, 18, 20\};$ $S_0 = \{2, 3, 5, 9, 10, 12, 16, 17, 19\};$ $S_0^+ : S_0 \cup \{-1, 21, 22\}.$

REFERENCES

P. Erdős and R. Radó, Combinatorial theorems on classifications of subsets of a given set. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (3) 2 (1952) 417-439.

J. Folkman, private communication (1967).

L. Moser, On a theorem of van der Waerden. Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, 3 (1960) 23-25.

W.M. Schmidt, Two combinatorial theorems on arithmetic progressions. Duke Math. J. 29 (1962) 129-140.

B.L. van der Waerden, Beweis einer Baudet'schen Vermutung. Niew Acchief voor Wiskunde, 15 (1925) 212-216.

Bell Telephone Laboratories Incorporated Murray Hill, New Jersey is in

anoi pari res:

sets

A_{j+}

ord

pos rule

 $(A_1$

refl

Can