May 10, 2025

TEST



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○臣 ○ のへぐ

<ロト < 個 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の < @</p>

1. I will project a set of questions.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

- 1. I will project a set of questions.
- 2. You will, in a small group, try to figure out answers.

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

- 1. I will project a set of questions.
- 2. You will, in a small group, try to figure out answers.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

3. I will then give the answers.

- 1. I will project a set of questions.
- 2. You will, in a small group, try to figure out answers.

- 3. I will then give the answers.
- 4. When I do, for each question, we will vote:
 - Trick Question
 - Stupid Question

- 1. I will project a set of questions.
- 2. You will, in a small group, try to figure out answers.
- 3. I will then give the answers.
- 4. When I do, for each question, we will vote:
 - Trick Question
 - Stupid Question
- We will do this several times- there will be SIX SETS of questions.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

- 1. What is the least common birthday in America?
- 2. What US state has the easternmost point in America?
- 3. What is the least common first names for a U.S. President as of summer 2024?

What is the least common birthday in America?



What is the least common birthday in America? **CORRECT ANS** Feb 29.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

What is the least common birthday in America? CORRECT ANS Feb 29. VOTE Trick or Stupid?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

What is the least common birthday in America? CORRECT ANS Feb 29. VOTE Trick or Stupid? MISC Second Least: Dec 25.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 – のへで

What is the least common birthday in America? **CORRECT ANS** Feb 29. **VOTE** Trick or Stupid? **MISC** Second Least: Dec 25. **MISC** Most common: Sept 16. 9 months after Holiday Season.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

What US state has the easternmost point in America?

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

What US state has the easternmost point in America? **COMMON ANS** Maine (Quoddy Head Maine).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

What US state has the easternmost point in America? **COMMON ANS** Maine (Quoddy Head Maine). **CORRECT ANS** Alaska (Cape Wrangell Alaska). The Prime Meridian is just east of Alaska's Islands so the easternmost point in America is in Alaska.

What US state has the easternmost point in America?

COMMON ANS Maine (Quoddy Head Maine).

CORRECT ANS Alaska (Cape Wrangell Alaska). The Prime Meridian is just east of Alaska's Islands so the easternmost point in America is in Alaska.

VOTE Trick or Stupid?

What US state has the easternmost point in America?

COMMON ANS Maine (Quoddy Head Maine).

CORRECT ANS Alaska (Cape Wrangell Alaska). The Prime Meridian is just east of Alaska's Islands so the easternmost point in America is in Alaska.

VOTE Trick or Stupid?

MY OPINION Using the Prime Meridian to define East and West this precisely is stupid.

What is the least common first name for a U.S. President as of Summer 2024?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○臣 ○ のへぐ

What is the least common first name for a U.S. President as of Summer 2024?

COMMON ANS The following presidents have a unique first name among presidents: Thomas Jefferson, Martin Van Buren, Zachery Taylor, Millard Fillmore, Abraham Lincoln, Ulysses Grant, Rutherford Hayes, Chester Arthur, Grover Cleveland, Benjamin Harrison, Theodore Roosevelt, Woodrow Wilson, Warren Harding, Calvin Coolidge, Herbert Hoover, Harry Truman, Dwight Eisenhower, Lyndon Johnson, Richard Nixon, Gerald Ford, Ronald Reagan, Barack Obama, Donald Trump, Joe Biden.

What is the least common first name for a U.S. President as of Summer 2024?

COMMON ANS The following presidents have a unique first name among presidents: Thomas Jefferson, Martin Van Buren, Zachery Taylor, Millard Fillmore, Abraham Lincoln, Ulysses Grant, Rutherford Hayes, Chester Arthur, Grover Cleveland, Benjamin Harrison, Theodore Roosevelt, Woodrow Wilson, Warren Harding, Calvin Coolidge, Herbert Hoover, Harry Truman, Dwight Eisenhower, Lyndon Johnson, Richard Nixon, Gerald Ford, Ronald Reagan, Barack Obama, Donald Trump, Joe Biden.

CORRECT ANS All of the names that no president had are tied.

What is the least common first name for a U.S. President as of Summer 2024?

COMMON ANS The following presidents have a unique first name among presidents: Thomas Jefferson, Martin Van Buren, Zachery Taylor, Millard Fillmore, Abraham Lincoln, Ulysses Grant, Rutherford Hayes, Chester Arthur, Grover Cleveland, Benjamin Harrison, Theodore Roosevelt, Woodrow Wilson, Warren Harding, Calvin Coolidge, Herbert Hoover, Harry Truman, Dwight Eisenhower, Lyndon Johnson, Richard Nixon, Gerald Ford, Ronald Reagan, Barack Obama, Donald Trump, Joe Biden.

CORRECT ANS All of the names that no president had are tied. **VOTE** Trick or Stupid?

What is the most common first name for a president?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

What is the most common first name for a president?

- 1. James 6: Madison, Monroe, Polk, Buchanan, Garfield, "Jimmy" Carter.
- 2. John 4: Adams, Quincy Adams, Tyler, Kennedy
- 3. Bill 3: William Henry Harrison, William H. Taft, Bill Clinton.
- 4. George 3: George Washington, George H.W. Bush, George W. Bush.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

5. Andrew 2: Andrew Jackson, Andrew Johnson

What is the most common first name for a president?

- 1. James 6: Madison, Monroe, Polk, Buchanan, Garfield, "Jimmy" Carter.
- 2. John 4: Adams, Quincy Adams, Tyler, Kennedy
- 3. Bill 3: William Henry Harrison, William H. Taft, Bill Clinton.
- 4. George 3: George Washington, George H.W. Bush, George W. Bush.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

5. Andrew 2: Andrew Jackson, Andrew Johnson

What is the most common last name for a president?

What is the most common first name for a president?

- 1. James 6: Madison, Monroe, Polk, Buchanan, Garfield, "Jimmy" Carter.
- 2. John 4: Adams, Quincy Adams, Tyler, Kennedy
- 3. Bill 3: William Henry Harrison, William H. Taft, Bill Clinton.
- 4. George 3: George Washington, George H.W. Bush, George W. Bush.
- 5. Andrew 2: Andrew Jackson, Andrew Johnson

What is the most common last name for a president?

- 1. John Adams and John Quincy Adams. Father and Son.
- 2. Andrew Johnson and Lyndon B Johnson. No relation.
- 3. William Henry Harrison and Benjamin Harrison. Grandfather and Grandson.
- 4. Theodore and Franklin Roosevelt: 5th Cousins. (Do you know your fifth cousin?)

★ = ★ = • • • •

5. Bush: George H.W. and George W. Father and Son.

Trick Question or Stupid Question? PART II

 An expert on tracking animals notices one day that there are bear tracks and rabbit tracks converging. He can estimate that they met at 6:00PM with a margin of error of 17 seconds. Hence they must have been there at the same time. He also notices that from the spot they met only rabbit tracks can seen leaving that point. There are some bear bones in the area.

Trick Question or Stupid Question? PART II

 An expert on tracking animals notices one day that there are bear tracks and rabbit tracks converging. He can estimate that they met at 6:00PM with a margin of error of 17 seconds. Hence they must have been there at the same time. He also notices that from the spot they met only rabbit tracks can seen leaving that point. There are some bear bones in the area.

HOW CAN A RABBIT EAT A BEAR FOR DINNER?

Trick Question or Stupid Question? PART II

 An expert on tracking animals notices one day that there are bear tracks and rabbit tracks converging. He can estimate that they met at 6:00PM with a margin of error of 17 seconds. Hence they must have been there at the same time. He also notices that from the spot they met only rabbit tracks can seen leaving that point. There are some bear bones in the area.

HOW CAN A RABBIT EAT A BEAR FOR DINNER?

2. What is the degree of $(x - a)(x - b)(x - c) \cdots (x - z)$?

An expert on tracking animals notices one day that there are bear tracks and rabbit tracks converging. He can estimate that they met at 6:00PM with a margin of error of 17 seconds. Hence they must have been there at the same time. He also notices that from the spot they met only rabbit tracks can seen leaving that point. There are some bear bones in the area.

An expert on tracking animals notices one day that there are bear tracks and rabbit tracks converging. He can estimate that they met at 6:00PM with a margin of error of 17 seconds. Hence they must have been there at the same time. He also notices that from the spot they met only rabbit tracks can seen leaving that point. There are some bear bones in the area.

HOW CAN A RABBIT EAT A BEAR FOR DINNER?

An expert on tracking animals notices one day that there are bear tracks and rabbit tracks converging. He can estimate that they met at 6:00PM with a margin of error of 17 seconds. Hence they must have been there at the same time. He also notices that from the spot they met only rabbit tracks can seen leaving that point. There are some bear bones in the area.

HOW CAN A RABBIT EAT A BEAR FOR DINNER?

THE RABBIT SKIPPED BREAKFAST AND LUNCH!

An expert on tracking animals notices one day that there are bear tracks and rabbit tracks converging. He can estimate that they met at 6:00PM with a margin of error of 17 seconds. Hence they must have been there at the same time. He also notices that from the spot they met only rabbit tracks can seen leaving that point. There are some bear bones in the area.

HOW CAN A RABBIT EAT A BEAR FOR DINNER?

THE RABBIT SKIPPED BREAKFAST AND LUNCH!

VOTE Trick or Stupid?

An expert on tracking animals notices one day that there are bear tracks and rabbit tracks converging. He can estimate that they met at 6:00PM with a margin of error of 17 seconds. Hence they must have been there at the same time. He also notices that from the spot they met only rabbit tracks can seen leaving that point. There are some bear bones in the area.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

HOW CAN A RABBIT EAT A BEAR FOR DINNER?

THE RABBIT SKIPPED BREAKFAST AND LUNCH!

VOTE Trick or Stupid?

When I first saw this I was amused.

An expert on tracking animals notices one day that there are bear tracks and rabbit tracks converging. He can estimate that they met at 6:00PM with a margin of error of 17 seconds. Hence they must have been there at the same time. He also notices that from the spot they met only rabbit tracks can seen leaving that point. There are some bear bones in the area.

HOW CAN A RABBIT EAT A BEAR FOR DINNER?

THE RABBIT SKIPPED BREAKFAST AND LUNCH!

VOTE Trick or Stupid?

When I first saw this I was amused. I was young.
An expert on tracking animals notices one day that there are bear tracks and rabbit tracks converging. He can estimate that they met at 6:00PM with a margin of error of 17 seconds. Hence they must have been there at the same time. He also notices that from the spot they met only rabbit tracks can seen leaving that point. There are some bear bones in the area.

HOW CAN A RABBIT EAT A BEAR FOR DINNER?

THE RABBIT SKIPPED BREAKFAST AND LUNCH!

VOTE Trick or Stupid?

When I first saw this I was amused. I was young. I was 50.

What is the degree of $(x - a)(x - b)(x - c) \cdots (x - z)$?

What is the degree of $(x - a)(x - b)(x - c) \cdots (x - z)$? COMMON ANS 26.

What is the degree of $(x - a)(x - b)(x - c) \cdots (x - z)$? COMMON ANS 26. CORRECT ANS 0. Note that the poly is

$$(x-a)(x-b)\cdots(x-w)(x-x)(x-y)(x-z)=0$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

What is the degree of $(x - a)(x - b)(x - c) \cdots (x - z)$? COMMON ANS 26. CORRECT ANS 0. Note that the poly is

$$(x-a)(x-b)\cdots(x-w)(x-x)(x-y)(x-z)=0$$

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

VOTE Trick or Stupid?

What is the degree of $(x - a)(x - b)(x - c) \cdots (x - z)$? COMMON ANS 26. CORRECT ANS 0. Note that the poly is

$$(x-a)(x-b)\cdots(x-w)(x-x)(x-y)(x-z)=0$$

VOTE Trick or Stupid?

One comment Very interesting trick question, brings up all kinds of issues of type safety and lack of specificity in mathematics education.

What is the degree of $(x - a)(x - b)(x - c) \cdots (x - z)$? COMMON ANS 26. CORRECT ANS 0. Note that the poly is

$$(x-a)(x-b)\cdots(x-w)(x-x)(x-y)(x-z)=0$$

VOTE Trick or Stupid?

One comment Very interesting trick question, brings up all kinds of issues of type safety and lack of specificity in mathematics education.

Someone who does not like being tricked told me Degree is 26. Different types-of-variables. The 'x' in (x - a) is of a different type then the second x in (x - x).

What is the degree of $(x - a)(x - b)(x - c) \cdots (x - z)$? COMMON ANS 26. CORRECT ANS 0. Note that the poly is

$$(x-a)(x-b)\cdots(x-w)(x-x)(x-y)(x-z)=0$$

VOTE Trick or Stupid?

One comment Very interesting trick question, brings up all kinds of issues of type safety and lack of specificity in mathematics education.

Someone who does not like being tricked told me Degree is 26. Different types-of-variables. The 'x' in (x - a) is of a different type then the second x in (x - x). He would call it a stupid question.

What is the degree of $(x - a)(x - b)(x - c) \cdots (x - z)$? COMMON ANS 26. CORRECT ANS 0. Note that the poly is

$$(x-a)(x-b)\cdots(x-w)(x-x)(x-y)(x-z)=0$$

VOTE Trick or Stupid?

One comment Very interesting trick question, brings up all kinds of issues of type safety and lack of specificity in mathematics education.

Someone who does not like being tricked told me Degree is 26. Different types-of-variables. The 'x' in (x - a) is of a different type then the second x in (x - x). He would call it a stupid question. I would call him a sore loser.

(ロ)、

Trick Question or Stupid Question? PART III

1. TRUE or FALSE:

If the powerset of A has 5 elts then A is infinite.

Find x such that the following is true. There are 2025 cans of paint. Either (a) There are ≥ x cans of the same color, or (b) There are ≥ x cans of different colors.

3. The year is 2021. There are 23 people in REU-CAAR. If everyone hugs everyone, how many hugs are there?

T or F: If the powerset of the set A has 5 elts then A is ∞ .

T or F: If the powerset of the set A has 5 elts then A is ∞ . COMMON ANS F since the powerset of A cannot be five.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

T or F: If the powerset of the set A has 5 elts then A is ∞ . COMMON ANS F since the powerset of A cannot be five. CORRECT ANS T vacuously.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < ○ </p>

T or F: If the powerset of the set A has 5 elts then A is ∞ . COMMON ANS F since the powerset of A cannot be five. CORRECT ANS T vacuously. VOTE Trick or Stupid?

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < ○ </p>

T or F: If the powerset of the set A has 5 elts then A is ∞ . COMMON ANS F since the powerset of A cannot be five. CORRECT ANS T vacuously. VOTE Trick or Stupid? COMMENTARY I did an experiment with this question.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < ○ </p>

T or F: If the powerset of the set A has 5 elts then A is ∞ . COMMON ANS F since the powerset of A cannot be five. CORRECT ANS T vacuously. VOTE Trick or Stupid? COMMENTARY I did an experiment with this question. One year I put this on an exam as a T-F-No-Explanation-Needed.

T or F: If the powerset of the set A has 5 elts then A is ∞ . COMMON ANS F since the powerset of A cannot be five. CORRECT ANS T vacuously. VOTE Trick or Stupid? COMMENTARY I did an experiment with this question. One year I put this on an exam as a T-F-No-Explanation-Needed. 5 years later I put this on an exam as T-F, if T give short proof, if F give counterexample.

T or F: If the powerset of the set A has 5 elts then A is ∞ . **COMMON ANS** F since the powerset of A cannot be five. **CORRECT ANS** T vacuously. **VOTE** Trick or Stupid? **COMMENTARY** I did an experiment with this question. One year I put this on an exam as a T-F-No-Explanation-Needed. 5 years later I put this on an exam as T-F, if T give short proof, if F give counterexample. **Hypothesis** More would get second version right.

T or F: If the powerset of the set A has 5 elts then A is ∞ . **COMMON ANS** F since the powerset of A cannot be five. **CORRECT ANS** T vacuously. **VOTE** Trick or Stupid? **COMMENTARY** I did an experiment with this question. One year I put this on an exam as a T-F-No-Explanation-Needed. 5 years later I put this on an exam as T-F, if T give short proof, if F give counterexample. **Hypothesis** More would get second version right. **VOTE** Did more people get it right if they had to explain? Y or N?

T or F: If the powerset of the set A has 5 elts then A is ∞ . **COMMON ANS** F since the powerset of A cannot be five. **CORRECT ANS** T vacuously. **VOTE** Trick or Stupid? **COMMENTARY** I did an experiment with this question. One year I put this on an exam as a T-F-No-Explanation-Needed. 5 years later I put this on an exam as T-F, if T give short proof, if F give counterexample. **Hypothesis** More would get second version right. **VOTE** Did more people get it right if they had to explain? Y or N? WHAT HAPPENED People did TERRIBLE on this question both times. Even the honors section.

Find x such that the following is true. There are 2025 cans of paint. Either (a) There are $\geq x$ cans of the same color, or (b) There are $\geq x$ cans of different colors.

Find x such that the following is true. There are 2025 cans of paint. Either (a) There are $\geq x$ cans of the same color, or (b) There are $\geq x$ cans of different colors.

Common and Correct Answer $\sqrt{2025} = 45$.

Find x such that the following is true. There are 2025 cans of paint. Either (a) There are $\geq x$ cans of the same color, or (b) There are $\geq x$ cans of different colors.

Common and Correct Answer $\sqrt{2025} = 45$.

Answer I got from an Obnoxious Student

Find x such that the following is true. There are 2025 cans of paint. Either (a) There are $\geq x$ cans of the same color, or (b) There are $\geq x$ cans of different colors.

Common and Correct Answer $\sqrt{2025} = 45$.

Answer I got from an Obnoxious Student x = 2000 since ALL the cans are all gray

Find x such that the following is true. There are 2025 cans of paint. Either (a) There are $\geq x$ cans of the same color, or (b) There are $\geq x$ cans of different colors.

Common and Correct Answer $\sqrt{2025} = 45$.

Answer I got from an Obnoxious Student x = 2000 since ALL the cans are all gray Should that student get any points?

Find x such that the following is true. There are 2025 cans of paint. Either (a) There are $\geq x$ cans of the same color, or (b) There are $\geq x$ cans of different colors.

Common and Correct Answer $\sqrt{2025} = 45$.

Answer I got from an Obnoxious Student x = 2000 since ALL the cans are all gray Should that student get any points? Answer I got from another Obnoxious Student

Find x such that the following is true. There are 2025 cans of paint. Either (a) There are $\geq x$ cans of the same color, or (b) There are $\geq x$ cans of different colors.

Common and Correct Answer $\sqrt{2025} = 45$.

Answer I got from an Obnoxious Student x = 2000 since ALL the cans are all gray Should that student get any points? Answer I got from another Obnoxious Student x = 2000 since if you look at the paint with an electron microscope ALL of the different cans have different colors of paint.

Find x such that the following is true. There are 2025 cans of paint. Either (a) There are $\geq x$ cans of the same color, or (b) There are $\geq x$ cans of different colors.

Common and Correct Answer $\sqrt{2025} = 45$.

Answer I got from an Obnoxious Student x = 2000 since ALL the cans are all gray Should that student get any points? Answer I got from another Obnoxious Student x = 2000 since if you look at the paint with an electron microscope ALL of the different cans have different colors of paint. Should that student get any points?

The year is 2021. There are 23 people in REU-CAAR. If everyone hugs everyone, how many hugs are there?

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 – のへで

The year is 2021. There are 23 people in REU-CAAR. If everyone hugs everyone, how many hugs are there?

The year is 2021. There are 23 people in REU-CAAR. If everyone hugs everyone, how many hugs are there?

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

COMMON and INCORRECT ANSWER 23!.

The year is 2021. There are 23 people in REU-CAAR. If everyone hugs everyone, how many hugs are there?

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

COMMON and INCORRECT ANSWER 23!.

Some students think that in combinatorics the answer for a problem with n is always n!.

The year is 2021. There are 23 people in REU-CAAR. If everyone hugs everyone, how many hugs are there?

COMMON and INCORRECT ANSWER 23!.

Some students think that in combinatorics the answer for a problem with n is always n!.

COMMON and **CORRECT ANSWER** $\binom{23}{2} = \frac{23 \times 22}{2} = 253$.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

The year is 2021. There are 23 people in REU-CAAR. If everyone hugs everyone, how many hugs are there?

COMMON and INCORRECT ANSWER 23!.

Some students think that in combinatorics the answer for a problem with n is always n!.

COMMON and CORRECT ANSWER $\binom{23}{2} = \frac{23 \times 22}{2} = 253$. **ALTERNATIVE ANSWER** Its ONE big hug!

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

The year is 2021. There are 23 people in REU-CAAR. If everyone hugs everyone, how many hugs are there?

COMMON and INCORRECT ANSWER 23!.

Some students think that in combinatorics the answer for a problem with n is always n!.

COMMON and CORRECT ANSWER $\binom{23}{2} = \frac{23 \times 22}{2} = 253$. **ALTERNATIVE ANSWER** Its ONE big hug! **ANSWER I HAD IN MIND** REU-CAAR in Summer 2021 was

VIRTUAL. So sadly, no hugs :-)

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

My Great Niece Alice and his Girlfriend Bob

<ロト < 置 > < 置 > < 置 > < 置 > の < @</p>
BILL: 13 people. Everyone hugs everyone. How many hugs?

BILL: 13 people. Everyone hugs everyone. How many hugs? **ALICE**: $13 \times 13 = 169$ pairs of people, but that counts every pair twice, so $\frac{169}{2} = 84.5$. Uh, I don't think you can have 84.5 hugs

BILL: 13 people. Everyone hugs everyone. How many hugs? **ALICE**: $13 \times 13 = 169$ pairs of people, but that counts every pair twice, so $\frac{169}{2} = 84.5$. Uh, I don't think you can have 84.5 hugs **BOB**: Why not? If I hug you with only one arm. Thats a $\frac{1}{2}$ -hug.

BILL: 13 people. Everyone hugs everyone. How many hugs? **ALICE**: 13 × 13 = 169 pairs of people, but that counts every pair twice, so $\frac{169}{2} = 84.5$. Uh, I don't think you can have 84.5 hugs **BOB**: Why not? If I hug you with only one arm. Thats a $\frac{1}{2}$ -hug. **ALICE**: Well, you do most things half-ass so that works.

BILL: 13 people. Everyone hugs everyone. How many hugs? **ALICE**: 13 × 13 = 169 pairs of people, but that counts every pair twice, so $\frac{169}{2} = 84.5$. Uh, I don't think you can have 84.5 hugs **BOB**: Why not? If I hug you with only one arm. Thats a $\frac{1}{2}$ -hug. **ALICE**: Well, you do most things half-ass so that works. BILL: The answer has to be in \mathbb{N} . When you said 13 × 13 you counted hugging yourself. You can't hug yourself.

BILL: 13 people. Everyone hugs everyone. How many hugs? **ALICE**: 13 × 13 = 169 pairs of people, but that counts every pair twice, so $\frac{169}{2} = 84.5$. Uh, I don't think you can have 84.5 hugs **BOB**: Why not? If I hug you with only one arm. Thats a $\frac{1}{2}$ -hug. **ALICE**: Well, you do most things half-ass so that works. BILL: The answer has to be in \mathbb{N} . When you said 13 × 13 you counted hugging yourself. You can't hug yourself. **BOB**: Before I met Alice I hugged myself a lot.

BILL: 13 people. Everyone hugs everyone. How many hugs? **ALICE**: 13 × 13 = 169 pairs of people, but that counts every pair twice, so $\frac{169}{2} = 84.5$. Uh, I don't think you can have 84.5 hugs **BOB**: Why not? If I hug you with only one arm. Thats a $\frac{1}{2}$ -hug. **ALICE**: Well, you do most things half-ass so that works. BILL: The answer has to be in \mathbb{N} . When you said 13 × 13 you counted hugging yourself. You can't hug yourself. **BOB**: Before I met Alice I hugged myself a lot. **ALICE**: EWWW!

BILL: 13 people. Everyone hugs everyone. How many hugs? **ALICE**: $13 \times 13 = 169$ pairs of people, but that counts every pair twice, so $\frac{169}{2} = 84.5$. Uh, I don't think you can have 84.5 hugs **BOB**: Why not? If I hug you with only one arm. Thats a $\frac{1}{2}$ -hug. **ALICE**: Well, you do most things half-ass so that works. BILL: The answer has to be in \mathbb{N} . When you said 13×13 you counted hugging yourself. You can't hug yourself. **BOB**: Before I met Alice I hugged myself a lot. ALICE: EWWW! BILL: I am amazed you two are still together. Back to the problem. Take all ordered pairs of **different people**. Thats

13 \times 12. Divide by two to get $\frac{13 \times 12}{2} = 78$

BILL: 13 people. Everyone hugs everyone. How many hugs? **ALICE**: $13 \times 13 = 169$ pairs of people, but that counts every pair twice, so $\frac{169}{2} = 84.5$. Uh, I don't think you can have 84.5 hugs **BOB**: Why not? If I hug you with only one arm. Thats a $\frac{1}{2}$ -hug. **ALICE**: Well, you do most things half-ass so that works. BILL: The answer has to be in \mathbb{N} . When you said 13×13 you counted hugging yourself. You can't hug yourself. **BOB**: Before I met Alice I hugged myself a lot. ALICE: EWWW! BILL: I am amazed you two are still together. Back to the problem. Take all ordered pairs of different people. Thats 13 × 12. Divide by two to get $\frac{13 \times 12}{2} = 78$ **ALICE** and **BOB**: Oh. So for *n* people is it $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.

BILL: 13 people. Everyone hugs everyone. How many hugs? **ALICE**: $13 \times 13 = 169$ pairs of people, but that counts every pair twice, so $\frac{169}{2} = 84.5$. Uh, I don't think you can have 84.5 hugs **BOB**: Why not? If I hug you with only one arm. Thats a $\frac{1}{2}$ -hug. ALICE: Well, you do most things half-ass so that works. BILL: The answer has to be in \mathbb{N} . When you said 13×13 you counted hugging yourself. You can't hug yourself. **BOB**: Before I met Alice I hugged myself a lot. ALICE: EWWW! BILL: I am amazed you two are still together. Back to the problem. Take all ordered pairs of different people. Thats 13 × 12. Divide by two to get $\frac{13 \times 12}{2} = 78$ **ALICE** and **BOB**: Oh. So for *n* people is it $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. BILL: You both deserve a hug! (they all hug).







イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

э

Sum the dimensions.



イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

э

Sum the dimensions.

$$3+3-2=4$$

 $3-3+2=2$
 $2+2+3=7$
 $2-2+3-3$

Trick Question or Stupid Question? PART VI

The following are two real conversations. For each one: (1) Is the examiner correct? (2) Where and when do you think this conversation took place?

Conversation 1:

Examiner: What is the definition of a circle?

Student: The set of points equidistant from a given point. **Examiner:** Wrong! It is the set of *all* points equidistant from a given point.

Conversation 2:

Examiner: What is the definition of a circle?

Student: It is the set of all points equidistant from a given point. **Examiner:** Wrong! You must say the distance is nonzero.

Answers to PART VI, Q2

The questions were in the USSR and used for the sole point of not letting Jews into the best schools.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

The questions were in the USSR and used for the sole point of not letting Jews into the best schools.

Comment Any system which blocks qualified people from doing what they are good at is stupid. See next slide for more thoughts on this.

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > のへ(や

In 1947 when Jackie Robinson, the first major league black player (since the leagues were segregated in the 1880's) played for the Brooklyn Dodgers. He was very good. Some of **his own** teammates did not like that he was on the team.

In 1947 when Jackie Robinson, the first major league black player (since the leagues were segregated in the 1880's) played for the Brooklyn Dodgers. He was very good. Some of **his own teammates** did not like that he was on the team. I wonder how they would fill in this sentence On the one hand, if Jackie plays for us, we will win more games and have a better chance of getting into the World Series, which would increase my paycheck (Salary \$5000. World series paid another \$5000.) On the other hand FILL IT IN.

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > のへ(や

The Boston Red Sox didn't integrate until 1959.

The Boston Red Sox didn't integrate until 1959. I wonder how the team owner would fill in this sentence On the one hand, if I hire black players I expand my talent pool, get better players, and have a better chance of getting into the World Series, which would increase my profits (baseball didn't make as much money then as now). On the other hand FILL IT IN.

The Boston Red Sox didn't integrate until 1959. I wonder how the team owner would fill in this sentence On the one hand, if I hire black players I expand my talent pool, get better players, and have a better chance of getting into the World Series, which would increase my profits (baseball didn't make as much money then as now). On the other hand FILL IT IN.

Non-Caveat Was the owner worried that white fans would not come see a team with a few black players on it?

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

The Boston Red Sox didn't integrate until 1959. I wonder how the team owner would fill in this sentence On the one hand, if I hire black players I expand my talent pool, get better players, and have a better chance of getting into the World Series, which would increase my profits (baseball didn't make as much money then as now). On the other hand FILL IT IN.

Non-Caveat Was the owner worried that white fans would not come see a team with a few black players on it? Thats a very 21st century viewpoint: needing a reason for racism. The owner never brought this up as an issue.

The Boston Red Sox didn't integrate until 1959. I wonder how the team owner would fill in this sentence On the one hand, if I hire black players I expand my talent pool, get better players, and have a better chance of getting into the World Series, which would increase my profits (baseball didn't make as much money then as now). On the other hand FILL IT IN.

Non-Caveat Was the owner worried that white fans would not come see a team with a few black players on it? Thats a very 21st century viewpoint: needing a reason for racism. The owner never brought this up as an issue.

He was an honest racist, not hiding behind some other excuse.

The Boston Red Sox didn't integrate until 1959. I wonder how the team owner would fill in this sentence On the one hand, if I hire black players I expand my talent pool, get better players, and have a better chance of getting into the World Series, which would increase my profits (baseball didn't make as much money then as now). On the other hand FILL IT IN.

Non-Caveat Was the owner worried that white fans would not come see a team with a few black players on it? Thats a very 21st century viewpoint: needing a reason for racism.

The owner never brought this up as an issue.

He was an honest racist, not hiding behind some other excuse. Keep that in mind.

1. Until 1980's We can't have gays in the military because they can be blackmailed.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

1. Until 1980's We can't have gays in the military because they can be blackmailed. Then only recruit out gays.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

1. Until 1980's We can't have gays in the military because they can be blackmailed. Then only recruit out gays. This was never considered.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- 1. **Until 1980's** We can't have gays in the military because they can be blackmailed. Then only recruit out gays. This was never considered.
 - Did the people in the military who said this really believe it, or was it a cover for their own homophobia?

1. Until 1980's We can't have gays in the military because they can be blackmailed. Then only recruit out gays. This was never considered.

Did the people in the military who said this really believe it, or was it a cover for their own homophobia?

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

2. **Don't ask Don't Tell:** We can only have gay in the military if they are NOT out, so they ARE blackmail-able.

1. **Until 1980's** We can't have gays in the military because they can be blackmailed. Then only recruit out gays. This was never considered.

Did the people in the military who said this really believe it, or was it a cover for their own homophobia?

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

 Don't ask Don't Tell: We can only have gay in the military if they are NOT out, so they ARE blackmail-able. Okay, that makes sense.

1. **Until 1980's** We can't have gays in the military because they can be blackmailed. Then only recruit out gays. This was never considered.

Did the people in the military who said this really believe it, or was it a cover for their own homophobia?

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

 Don't ask Don't Tell: We can only have gay in the military if they are NOT out, so they ARE blackmail-able. Okay, that makes sense. No it doesn't!

1. Until 1980's We can't have gays in the military because they can be blackmailed. Then only recruit out gays. This was never considered.

Did the people in the military who said this really believe it, or was it a cover for their own homophobia?

 Don't ask Don't Tell: We can only have gay in the military if they are NOT out, so they ARE blackmail-able. Okay, that makes sense. No it doesn't! Shows the prior reason given was just an excuse.

1. **Until 1980's** We can't have gays in the military because they can be blackmailed. Then only recruit out gays. This was never considered.

Did the people in the military who said this really believe it, or was it a cover for their own homophobia?

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

- Don't ask Don't Tell: We can only have gay in the military if they are NOT out, so they ARE blackmail-able. Okay, that makes sense. No it doesn't! Shows the prior reason given was just an excuse.
- 3. The reason given now was unit-cohesion.
Homophobia is Not Just Immoral, It's Stupid

1. Until 1980's We can't have gays in the military because they can be blackmailed. Then only recruit out gays. This was never considered.

Did the people in the military who said this really believe it, or was it a cover for their own homophobia?

- Don't ask Don't Tell: We can only have gay in the military if they are NOT out, so they ARE blackmail-able. Okay, that makes sense. No it doesn't! Shows the prior reason given was just an excuse.
- 3. The reason given now was unit-cohesion. Did they really believe it, or was it a cover for their own homophobia?

Homophobia is Not Just Immoral, It's Stupid

1. Until 1980's We can't have gays in the military because they can be blackmailed. Then only recruit out gays. This was never considered.

Did the people in the military who said this really believe it, or was it a cover for their own homophobia?

- Don't ask Don't Tell: We can only have gay in the military if they are NOT out, so they ARE blackmail-able. Okay, that makes sense. No it doesn't! Shows the prior reason given was just an excuse.
- 3. The reason given now was unit-cohesion. Did they really believe it, or was it a cover for their own homophobia?
- 4. The Unit-Cohesion argument is the **exact same argument** used for not integrating the army during WW II.

Homophobia is Not Just Immoral, It's Stupid

1. Until 1980's We can't have gays in the military because they can be blackmailed. Then only recruit out gays. This was never considered.

Did the people in the military who said this really believe it, or was it a cover for their own homophobia?

- Don't ask Don't Tell: We can only have gay in the military if they are NOT out, so they ARE blackmail-able. Okay, that makes sense. No it doesn't! Shows the prior reason given was just an excuse.
- 3. The reason given now was unit-cohesion. Did they really believe it, or was it a cover for their own homophobia?
- 4. The Unit-Cohesion argument is the **exact same argument** used for not integrating the army during WW II.

Continued on the next slides.

Same Topic

This all came to a head when the Military desperately needed translators and kept firing the gay ones.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Same Topic

This all came to a head when the Military desperately needed translators and kept firing the gay ones.

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

How would the military fill in the following sentence

Same Topic

This all came to a head when the Military desperately needed translators and kept firing the gay ones.

How would the military fill in the following sentence

On the one hand, if we stop firing our gay translators we would know what are enemies are doing. On the other hand FILL IT IN.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

Any society that **bans** some group



Any society that **bans** some group

(e.g., blacks, gays, women, non-citizens, Jews, Catholics, Atheists, Muslims, Uyghurs, Wisians, you can name more)

Any society that **bans** some group

(e.g., blacks, gays, women, non-citizens, Jews, Catholics, Atheists, Muslims, Uyghurs, Wisians, you can name more)

from doing X (goto college, other things) solely based on what they are, will not do as well as those that are more accepting.

Any society that **bans** some group

(e.g., blacks, gays, women, non-citizens, Jews, Catholics, Atheists, Muslims, Uyghurs, Wisians, you can name more)

from doing X (goto college, other things) solely based on what they are, will not do as well as those that are more accepting. How would they fill in the following sentence?

Any society that **bans** some group

(e.g., blacks, gays, women, non-citizens, Jews, Catholics, Atheists, Muslims, Uyghurs, Wisians, you can name more)

from doing X (goto college, other things) solely based on what they are, will not do as well as those that are more accepting. How would they fill in the following sentence?

On the one hand if we let people participate in our society we will get more talented people channeled into activities that will benefit our society. On the other hand, if we ban Y from doing X then FILL IT IN.

Back to our original theme.



Back to our original theme.

When given a math problem it can be

- Trick
- Stupid
- Enlightening

It can also be some combination of these.

Back to our original theme.

When given a math problem it can be

- Trick
- Stupid
- Enlightening

It can also be some combination of these.

How many combinations of these are there?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Back to our original theme.

When given a math problem it can be

- Trick
- Stupid
- Enlightening

It can also be some combination of these.

How many combinations of these are there?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへぐ

NOT five.