Data Management in the Cloud: Limitations and Opportunities

Daniel Abadi Yale University January 30th, 2009



Want milk with your breakfast?

- Buy a cow
 - Big upfront cost
 - Produces more (or less) milk than you need
 - Uses up resources
 - Time spent"maintaining it"
 - Unpleasant waste product

Buy bottled milk
 Continued cost
 Buy what you need

- Less resource intensive
- No maintenance
- Waste somebody else's problem

Your Computer is a Cow

Your computer

- Big upfront cost
- Produces more (or less)
 "milk" than you need
- Uses up resources (electricity)
- Time spent maintaining it
- Produces unpleasant waste (heat, noise)
- What if you could get computing power even more conveniently than bottled milk?



Cloud Computing is Bottled Milk

- Companies willing to rent computing resources from their data centers
- Resources include storage, processing cycles, software stacks
- Google, Microsoft, Amazon, Sun, Hewlett-Packard, Yahoo, EMC, and AT&T all taking part
- E.g., for \$0.10/hour Amazon will give you:
 - 1.7 GB memory
 - Equivalent of 1.2 GHz processor
 - 350GB storage

Cloud Computing Concerns

What if my data or service provider becomes unavailable?
What if my supplier suddenly increases how much they charge me?
What about security?
What about lock in?

Cloud Computing Concerns

Remember: bottled milk is SOOO much cheaper and more convenient!

Key Cloud Characteristics for DBMS Deployment

Compute power is elastic

 But only if workload is parallelizable
 Want shared-nothing DBMS

 Data is stored at an untrusted host
 Data is replicated, often across large geographic distances

- Done under the covers
- E.g., Amazon's "regions" and "availability zones"

Xactional DBMS Applications

Problems:

- Xactional DBMSs are typically not shared-nothing
- It is hard to maintain ACID guarantees in the face of replication across large distances
 - CAP theorem: consistency, availability, tolerance to partitions
 ... choose two
 - SimpleDB, PNUTS relax consistency
 - BigTable, Microsoft SQL Server Data Services relax atomicity
- Large risks when storing operational data on an untrusted host

Analytical DBMS Applications

Great fit for cloud deployment:

 Shared-nothing is becoming standard
 E.g., Teradata, Vertica, DATAllegro, Dataupia, Greenplum, Aster Data, DB2 DPF, Exadata, Netezza

 ACID guarantees are not needed

 Sensitive data can be left out of the analysis

\$5 billion market (1/3rd of DBMS market)

Cloud DBMS Wish List

Efficiency

 Pricing model makes this paramount

 Fault tolerance

 Failures are common
 Want no data loss
 Want no work loss

Cloud DBMS Wish List

Ability to run in a heterogeneous environment

It is nearly impossible to keep machines all running at the same speed

Ability to interface with BI products

I.e. SQL, ODBC, JDBC interfaces

Scale, scale, scale!

Data Analysis in the Cloud

- Parallel databases are the obvious choice right?
 - Interface with BI products
 - Compete fiercely on efficiency/performance
 - Scale horizontally

Parallel Database Scalability



Parallel Database Scalability

Try scaling them to 1000 nodes

 Strange network bottlenecks
 Restarting queries on a failure actually matter
 Heterogeneous node effects

We want something ...

That can handle enormous scale ...
Does not restart queries upon a failure...
Designed for heterogeneous environments...

• MapReduce?

But MapReduce ...

Doesn't interface with BI applications
Is extremely inefficient

Efficiency

 CREATE TABLE UserVisits (sourceIP VARCHAR(16), destURL VARCHAR(100), visitDate DATE, adRevenue FLOAT, userAgent VARCHAR(64), countryCode VARCHAR(64), langCode VARCHAR(6), searchWord VARCHAR(32), duration INT);

 SELECT SUBSTR(sourceIP, 1, 7), SUM(adRevenue)
 FROM UserVisits GROUP BY SUBSTR(sourceIP, 1, 7);



Conclusion

 Data analysis well suited for the cloud
 – No current software meets all elements on wish-list

 A hybrid between parallel databases and MapReduce is called for (Kamil Bajda-Pawlikowski and Azza Abouzeid to the rescue)

Come Join the Yale DB Group!





