### Spatial search and the Dirac equation

Andrew Childs Jeffrey Goldstone MIT Center for Theoretical Physics

> quant-ph/0306054 quant-ph/0405120







#### "What this field needs most is more algorithms"

- Ronald de Wolf

#### **Fourier sampling**

- Factoring, discrete log
- Hidden subgroup problems
- Pell's equation
- Hidden shift problems

#### **Amplitude amplification**

- Unstructured search
- Constant-depth AND-OR trees
- Various graph problems

#### Quantum walk

- Exponential speedup for a black box problem
- Spatial search
- Element distinctness ( $\rightarrow$  triangle finding, etc.)

#### **Unstructured search**

*N* items {1,2,...,*N*} Find one "marked item" *w* Query: "is w=x?" I.e., black box function  $f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \neq w \\ 1 & x = w \end{cases}$ 

```
Classical complexity: \Theta(N)
Grover 1996: O(N^{1/2}) quantum algorithm
BBBV 1996: This is optimal
```

Grover searching can be applied to a wide variety of other problems. But can it be used to search a physical database, where the *N* items are distributed in space?

# **Spatial search**

Suppose the *N* items are the vertices of a graph, and the algorithm is restricted to access them by local moves along edges.



(Benioff 00: "Quantum robot")

Geometry matters.

**Example:** If the items are arranged on a line, no speedup is possible.

Two (essentially equivalent) models

- 1. Local Hamiltonian with a marking term  $-|w\rangle\langle w|$
- 2. Alternate between queries and local unitary transformations

## **Searching a** *d***-dimensional space**

Naive implementation of Grover: Each reflection about a uniform state ("inversion about average") takes time  $N^{1/d}$  (radius of database), and there are  $N^{1/2}$  such steps. Running time  $O(N^{1/2+1/d})$ .

Aaronson, Ambainis 03: Carefully optimized recursive search of subcubes using amplitude amplification.

 $d>2: O(N^{1/2})$  $d=2: O(N^{1/2} \log^2 N)$ 

But do we really need such a complicated algorithm? And can we do better when d=2?

## **Quantum walk algorithms**

Can we search a region of space using homogeneous, timeindependent dynamics?

Two possibilities:

- Continuous-time quantum walk
- Discrete-time quantum walk (needs a "coin")

#### Results

Simple continuous-time walk: d>4[CG03]Discrete-time walk with appropriate "coin": d>2[AKR04]Continuous-time walk with spin: d>2[CG04]

#### **Spatial search by quantum walk**





*d*=4, *N*=6<sup>4</sup>=1296

#### **Analysis**

Use eigenstates of *L* to find eigenstates of *H*.

Periodic cubic lattice with N sites, size  $N^{1/d}$  in each dimension. Exact eigenstates and eigenvalues of  $-\gamma L$ :

$$|\vec{k}
angle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\vec{x}} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} |\vec{x}
angle \qquad \qquad \mathcal{E}(\vec{k}) = 2\gamma \left(d - \sum_{j=1}^{d} \cos k_j\right)$$

$$k_{j} = \frac{2\pi m_{j}}{N^{1/d}}$$

$$m_{j} = \begin{cases} 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm \frac{1}{2}(N^{1/d} - 1) & N^{1/d} \text{ odd} \\ 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm \frac{1}{2}(N^{1/d} - 2), + \frac{1}{2}N^{1/d} & N^{1/d} \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

### **Results of analysis**

Graph	Success amplitude	Run time
Complete	1-0(1)	O(N <sup>1/2</sup> )
Hypercube	1-0(1)	O(N <sup>1/2</sup> )
Lattice, <i>d</i> >4	<i>O</i> (1)	O(N <sup>1/2</sup> )
Lattice, $d=4$	O(1/log <sup>1/2</sup> N)	O((N log N) <sup>1/2</sup> )
Lattice, $d=3$	<i>O</i> ( <i>N</i> <sup>-1/6</sup> )	O(N <sup>2/3</sup> )
Lattice, $d=2$	O((log N/N) <sup>1/2</sup> )	O(N/log N)

### **Results of analysis**, *d*>4

Critical 
$$\gamma$$
: $\gamma_* = I_{1,d}$ Optimal run time: $T = \frac{\pi \sqrt{I_{2,d}N}}{2I_{1,d}}$ Success probability: $|\langle w|e^{-iHT}|s\rangle|^2 = \frac{I_{1,d}^2}{I_{2,d}}$ 

where

$$I_{j,d} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}^d \vec{k}}{[\mathcal{E}(\vec{k})]^j}$$

Note 
$$\int_0 \frac{\mathrm{d}^d \vec{k}}{|\vec{k}|^p} \sim \int_0 \frac{|\vec{k}|^{d-1} \mathrm{d}k}{|\vec{k}|^p}$$

converges for *d>p*.



#### **The Dirac equation**

Hamiltonian:  $H_{\text{Dirac}} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j p_j + \beta m$   $\vec{p} = -i \frac{d}{d\vec{x}}$ where  $\{\alpha_j, \alpha_k\} = 2\delta_{jk}, \quad \{\alpha_j, \beta\} = 0, \quad \beta^2 = 1$ (number of spin components in *d* dimensions:  $2^{\lceil d/2 \rceil}$ ) Then  $H_{\text{Dirac}}^2 = |\vec{p}|^2 + m^2$ , i.e.  $E_{\text{Dirac}} = \pm |\vec{p}|$  for m = 0. dispersion relation Lattice version:  $H_0 = \omega \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j P_j$ where  $P_j |\vec{x}\rangle = \frac{i}{2} (|\vec{x} + \hat{e}_j\rangle - |\vec{x} - \hat{e}_j\rangle)$  $\mathcal{E}(k)$  $\mathcal{E}(\vec{k}) = \pm \omega_{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{d} \sin^2 k_j}}$ -0.5

 $\pi$ 

 $0_{l}$ 

#### **Discrete-time quantum walk search**

Ambainis, Kempe, Rivosh 04: Discrete-time quantum walk search algorithm in *d* dimensions using a 2*d*-dimensional "coin" space

Run times:  $O(N^{1/2})$  for d > 2,  $O(N^{1/2} \log N)$  for d=2

A discrete-time quantum walk cannot be defined on a state space consisting only of vertices (Meyer 96)

# Making Dirac work (or, Fixing fermion doubling)

Better lattice approximation:  $H_0 = \omega$ 

$$H_0 = \omega \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j P_j + \gamma \beta L$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\vec{k}) = \pm \sqrt{\omega^2 \sum_{j=1}^d \sin^2 k_j + \gamma^2 \left[2 \sum_{j=1}^d (1 - \cos k_j)\right]^2}$$



#### **Algorithm:**

Let  $H = H_0 - \beta |w\rangle \langle w|$ .

Start in  $|\eta, s\rangle$ .

Choose some constants  $\omega, \gamma$ such that for as small a *T* as possible,  $|\langle \eta, w | e^{-iHT} | \eta, s \rangle|^2$  is large.



## **Results of analysis (Dirac)**

Graph	Success amplitude	Run time
Lattice, <i>d</i> >2	<i>O</i> (1)	O(N <sup>1/2</sup> )
Lattice, $d=2$	O(1/log <sup>1/2</sup> N)	O((N log N) <sup>1/2</sup> )

Run time  $O(N^{1/2} \log^{3/2} N)$  using classical repetition  $O(N^{1/2} \log N)$  using amplitude amplification

### How many spin degrees of freedom?

Dirac particle in *d* dimensions:  $2^{\lceil d/2 \rceil}$ 

(Smallest representation of Dirac algebra

 $\{\alpha_j, \alpha_k\} = 2\delta_{jk}, \quad \{\alpha_j, \beta\} = 0, \quad \beta^2 = 1$ 

uses matrices of dimension  $2^{\lceil d/2 \rceil}$ .)

Simple continuous-time quantum walk: no spin!

Ambainis, Kempe, Rivosh discrete-time algorithm: 2d "coin states" (or 2 for d=2)

But it is sufficient to reproduce the action of the Dirac algebra on a single spin state  $|\eta\rangle$ :

$$\{\alpha_j, \alpha_k\} |\eta\rangle = 2\delta_{jk} |\eta\rangle, \quad \{\alpha_j, \beta\} |\eta\rangle = 0, \quad \beta |\eta\rangle = |\eta\rangle$$

*d*+1 states suffice:  $\alpha_j = |0\rangle\langle j| + |j\rangle\langle 0|, \ \beta = 2|0\rangle\langle 0| - I, \ |\eta\rangle = |0\rangle$ 

## Adiabatic algorithms for spatial search

Adiabatic algorithm (Farhi, Goldstone, Gutmann, Sipser 00): Start in the ground state of a simple Hamiltonian and slowly change the Hamiltonian so that the ground state encodes the solution to the problem.

**Simple algorithm:**  $H = \gamma L - |w\rangle \langle w|$ Slowly lower  $\gamma$  from a large value to 0. With an appropriate schedule, can search in time  $O(N^{1/2})$  for d > 4 $O(N^{1/2} \log^{3/2} N)$  for d = 4

Dirac algorithm: 
$$H = \omega \sum_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j P_j + \gamma \beta L - \beta |w\rangle \langle w |$$

Starting state  $|s\rangle$  is in the middle of the spectrum, and states in middle of spectrum with  $\omega,\gamma$  small have very little overlap on  $|w\rangle$ .

## **Open questions**

What is the actual complexity of spatial search in d=2?

Other algorithms using quantum walks?