Problem 1 (Weak Fourier sampling fails for the symmetric group).

Consider the hidden subgroup problem in an arbitrary finite group G.

- a. Compute the distributions over \hat{G} that are observed when we perform weak Fourier sampling in two cases: the hidden subgroup is trivial, or the hidden subgroup is $\{1, \pi\}$ where π is an involution. Your answer should be expressed in terms of the characters of G.
- b. Show that the total variation distance between these two distributions is upper bounded by $\sqrt{\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{\sigma\in\hat{G}}|\chi_{\sigma}(\pi)|^2}$.
- c. Prove that $\sum_{\sigma \in \hat{G}} |\chi_{\sigma}(\pi)|^2 = |G|/|\operatorname{conj}(\pi)|$, where $\operatorname{conj}(\pi)$ denotes the conjugacy class of G to which π belongs. (Hint: Use the orthogonality relations for the character table of G.)
- d. Let $G = S_n$, the symmetric group on n items, and find a choice of π for which the total variation distance is exponentially small in n. This shows that weak Fourier sampling fails to solve the hidden subgroup problem in S_n .

In fact, there are now considerably stronger results about the power of Fourier sampling for the HSP in S_n . Strong Fourier sampling fails (measuring in *any* basis), and indeed, joint measurments on $\Omega(n \log n)$ registers are required.

Problem 2 (Nonabelian Fourier sampling for the dihedral group).

In lecture, we attacked the hidden subgroup problem over the dihedral group of order 2N,

$$D_N := \langle r, s \colon r^2 = s^N = rsrs = 1 \rangle,$$

using the Fourier transform over the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_N . In this problem you will show that this is essentially the same as performing the nonabelian Fourier transform over D_N . You will also give a representation-theoretic interpretation of Kuperberg's algorithm.

For reference, the irreducible representations of D_N are as follows: there are two one-dimensional irreps, σ_{triv} and σ_{sign} , with

$$\sigma_{\text{triv}}(r) \coloneqq 1 \qquad \qquad \sigma_{\text{triv}}(s) \coloneqq 1$$

$$\sigma_{\text{sign}}(r) \coloneqq -1 \qquad \qquad \sigma_{\text{sign}}(s) \coloneqq 1;$$

and $\lceil N/2 \rceil - 1$ two-dimensional irreps, σ_j for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \lceil N/2 \rceil - 1$, with

$$\sigma_j(r) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \sigma_j(s) := \begin{pmatrix} \omega_N^j & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_N^{-j} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(If N is even then there are two additional one-dimensional irreps, but let us assume for simplicity that N is odd.)

a. Consider the HSP in D_N with the hidden subgroup $\{1, rs^{\alpha}\}$. Write down the state obtained by Fourier sampling over D_N , assuming you measure a two-dimensional irrep σ_j . Compare to the possible states obtained by Fourier sampling over \mathbb{Z}_N , obtaining some measurement outcome $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ with $k \neq 0$, and describe a correspondence between the two procedures. (Hint: There are more possible values of k than values of j, so each value of j must correspond to multiple values of k.)

- b. Describe a similar correspondence between the one-dimensional irreps of D_N and the state obtained when Fourier sampling over \mathbb{Z}_N yields the measurement outcome 0.
- c. Decompose the representation $\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_k$ as a direct sum of irreducible representations of D_N .
- d. In view of the correspondence established in parts a and b, interpret the combination operation used in Kuperberg's algorithm in the light of representation theory.
- e. Challenge problem: Give a quantum circuit for F_{D_N} that uses $F_{\mathbb{Z}_N}$ as a subroutine.

Problem 3 (The hidden parabola problem revisited).

Recall that in the hidden parabola problem, we are given a black box function $f_{\alpha,\beta} : \mathbb{F}_p^2 \to S$, where p is a prime, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_p$ are unknown parameters, and S is a finite set. For fixed α, β , the function $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ is promised to be constant on the parabola

$$P_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} := \{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 : y = \alpha x^2 + \beta x + \gamma \}$$

for any particular $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p$, and distinct on parabolas corresponding to different values of γ . In this problem, you will find an efficient quantum algorithm to determine α, β by querying $f_{\alpha,\beta}$.

- a. Write down the mixed quantum state obtained by querying $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ on a uniform superpositon over $\mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$ and then discarding the function value.
- b. Show that this state is invariant under additive translations of one of the two registers, and hence will be block diagonalized by the Fourier transform over \mathbb{Z}_p on that register. Compute the resulting Fourier transformed state.
- c. Suppose the register on which the Fourier transform was performed is measured, and consider the resulting post-measurement state. Show that this density matrix is rank one, and write down the corresponding pure quantum state.
- d. Write down the state obtained when the process described in parts a–c is performed twice. Collect the terms in the phase of this state proportional to the unknown parameters α , β , and show that these coefficients can be computed in ancilla registers.
- e. For any fixed value of the two ancilla registers, compute the state of the other two registers. In particular, show that it is (proportional to) the uniform superposition over the set of solutions to a pair of quadratic equations in two variables.
- f. Find the solutions of this system of quadratic equations. (You may want to use a computer algebra program to do the calculation.)
- g. Explain how to efficiently erase the values in the registers containing the solution to the quadratic system.
- h. Having implemented the erasure, perform the inverse Fourier transform over $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ on the ancilla registers, and show that a measurement of the resulting state gives the outcome α, β with probability $\Omega(1)$.

Problem 4 (Product formulas).

Let A and B be finite-dimensional Hermitian matrices, and let $\nu := \max\{||A||, ||B||\}$.

a. Prove the Lie product formula, which states

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} (e^{-iAt/m} e^{-iBt/m})^m = e^{-i(A+B)t}$$

b. Show that

$$||(e^{-iAt/m}e^{-iBt/m})^m - e^{-i(A+B)t}|| \le \epsilon$$

provided $m = \Omega(\nu^2 t^2/\epsilon)$.

c. Let

$$S_2(t) := e^{-iAt/2} e^{-iBt} e^{-iAt/2}.$$

How large should m be (as a function of ν , t, and ϵ) so that

$$||S_2(t/m)^m - e^{-i(A+B)t}|| \le \epsilon?$$

d. For integers k > 1, let

$$S_{2k}(t) := S_{2k-2}(p_k t)^2 S_{2k-2}((1-4p_k)t) S_{2k-2}(p_k t)^2$$

where $p_k := (4 - 4^{1/(2k-1)})^{-1}$ (and S_2 is defined in part c). Suzuki showed that $\|S_{2k}(t) - e^{-i(A+B)t}\| = O(|\nu t|^{2k+1}).$

How large should m be (as a function of ν , t, and ϵ) so that

$$||S_{2k}(t/m)^m - e^{-i(A+B)t}|| \le \epsilon?$$

Express your answer using big-O notation.

Problem 5 (The spectrum of a product of reflections).

In lecture, we defined a discrete-time quantum walk on an *n*-vertex graph as the product of a reflection on $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ and the same reflection with the two systems interchanged. To analyze the walk, we computed the spectrum of this product of reflections. In this problem, you will generalize that calculation to the product of two arbitrary reflections.

Consider two subspaces

$$\mathcal{A} := \operatorname{span}\{|\psi_1\rangle, \dots, |\psi_a\rangle\} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{B} := \operatorname{span}\{|\phi_1\rangle, \dots, |\phi_b\rangle\}$$

of \mathbb{C}^m , where $\langle \psi_j | \psi_k \rangle = \delta_{jk}$ and $\langle \phi_j | \phi_k \rangle = \delta_{jk}$. Let

$$\Pi := \sum_{j=1}^{a} |\psi_j\rangle \langle \psi_j| \qquad \qquad \Sigma := \sum_{j=1}^{b} |\phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_j|$$

denote projections onto the two subspaces, let $R := 2\Pi - I_m$ and $S := 2\Sigma - I_m$ denote reflections about the subspaces, and let U := RS denote their product. Finally, let D denote the $a \times b$ matrix with entries $D_{jk} = \langle \psi_j | \phi_k \rangle$. You will show how the spectrum of U can be obtained from the singular value decomposition of D.

- a. Let $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ denote left and right singular vectors of D, respectively, with the same singular value σ . The left singular vector $|\alpha\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^a$ can be mapped to a vector $A|\alpha\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^m$ by applying the isometry $A := \sum_{j=1}^{a} |\psi_j\rangle\langle j|$. Similarly, the right singular vector $|\beta\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^b$ can be mapped to a vector $B|\beta\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^m$ by the isometry $B := \sum_{j=1}^{b} |\phi_j\rangle\langle j|$. Show that the subspace span $\{A|\alpha\rangle, B|\beta\rangle\}$ is invariant under the action of U.
- b. Diagonalize the action of U within this subspace to obtain one or two eigenvectors of U. When do you obtain one, and when do you obtain two?
- c. Compute the eigenvalues of U corresponding to these eigenvectors.
- d. How many eigenvectors of U are obtained by the procedure outlined above? What are the remaining eigenvectors of U and their corresponding eigenvalues?