Problem 1 (Weak Fourier sampling fails for the symmetric group).

Consider the hidden subgroup problem in an arbitrary finite group G.

- a. Compute the distributions over \hat{G} that are observed when we perform weak Fourier sampling in two cases: the hidden subgroup is trivial, or the hidden subgroup is $\{1, \pi\}$ where π is an involution. Your answer should be expressed in terms of the characters of G.
- b. Show that the total variation distance between these two distributions is upper bounded by $\sqrt{\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{\sigma\in\hat{G}}|\chi_{\sigma}(\pi)|^2}$.
- c. Prove that $\sum_{\sigma \in \hat{G}} |\chi_{\sigma}(\pi)|^2 = |G|/|\operatorname{conj}(\pi)|$, where $\operatorname{conj}(\pi)$ denotes the conjugacy class of G to which π belongs. (Hint: Use the orthogonality relations for the character table of G.)
- d. Let $G = S_n$, the symmetric group on n items, and find a choice of π for which the total variation distance is exponentially small in n. This shows that weak Fourier sampling fails to solve the hidden subgroup problem in S_n .

In fact, there are now considerably stronger results about the power of Fourier sampling for the HSP in S_n . Strong Fourier sampling fails (measuring in *any* basis), and indeed, joint measurments on $\Omega(n \log n)$ registers are required.

Problem 2 (Nonabelian Fourier sampling for the dihedral group).

In lecture, we attacked the hidden subgroup problem over the dihedral group of order 2N,

$$D_N := \langle r, s \colon r^2 = s^N = rsrs = 1 \rangle,$$

using the Fourier transform over the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_N . In this problem you will show that this is essentially the same as performing the nonabelian Fourier transform over D_N . You will also give a representation-theoretic interpretation of Kuperberg's algorithm.

For reference, the irreducible representations of D_N are as follows: there are two one-dimensional irreps, σ_{triv} and σ_{sign} , with

$$\sigma_{\text{triv}}(r) \coloneqq 1 \qquad \qquad \sigma_{\text{triv}}(s) \coloneqq 1 \sigma_{\text{sign}}(r) \coloneqq -1 \qquad \qquad \sigma_{\text{sign}}(s) \coloneqq 1;$$

and $\lceil N/2 \rceil - 1$ two-dimensional irreps, σ_j for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \lceil N/2 \rceil - 1$, with

$$\sigma_j(r) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \sigma_j(s) := \begin{pmatrix} \omega_N^j & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_N^{-j} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(If N is even then there are two additional one-dimensional irreps, but let us assume for simplicity that N is odd.)

a. Consider the HSP in D_N with the hidden subgroup $\{1, rs^{\alpha}\}$. Write down the state obtained by Fourier sampling over D_N , assuming you measure a two-dimensional irrep σ_j . Compare to the possible states obtained by Fourier sampling over \mathbb{Z}_N , obtaining some measurement outcome $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ with $k \neq 0$, and describe a correspondence between the two procedures. (Hint: There are more possible values of k than values of j, so each value of j must correspond to multiple values of k.)

- b. Describe a similar correspondence between the one-dimensional irreps of D_N and the state obtained when Fourier sampling over \mathbb{Z}_N yields the measurement outcome 0.
- c. Decompose the representation $\sigma_j \otimes \sigma_k$ as a direct sum of irreducible representations of D_N .
- d. In view of the correspondence established in parts a and b, interpret the combination operation used in Kuperberg's algorithm in the light of representation theory.
- e. Challenge problem: Give a quantum circuit for F_{D_N} that uses $F_{\mathbb{Z}_N}$ as a subroutine.

Problem 3 (Product formulas).

Let A and B be finite-dimensional Hermitian matrices, and let $\nu := \max\{||A||, ||B||\}$.

a. Prove the Lie product formula, which states

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} (e^{-iAt/m} e^{-iBt/m})^m = e^{-i(A+B)t}$$

b. Show that

$$\|(e^{-iAt/m}e^{-iBt/m})^m - e^{-i(A+B)t}\| \le \epsilon$$

for some $m = O(\nu^2 t^2/\epsilon)$.

c. Let

$$S_2(t) := e^{-iAt/2} e^{-iBt} e^{-iAt/2}$$

How large should m be (as a function of ν , t, and ϵ) so that

$$||S_2(t/m)^m - e^{-i(A+B)t}|| \le \epsilon?$$

d. For integers k > 1, let

$$S_{2k}(t) := S_{2k-2}(p_k t)^2 S_{2k-2}(q_k t) S_{2k-2}(p_k t)^2.$$

Find real numbers p_k and q_k (as functions of k) so that

$$||S_{2k}(t) - e^{-i(A+B)t}|| = O(|\nu t|^{2k+1}).$$

e. How large should m be (as a function of ν , t, and ϵ) so that

$$||S_{2k}(t/m)^m - e^{-i(A+B)t}|| \le \epsilon?$$

Express your answer using big-O notation.

Problem 4 (The spectrum of a product of reflections).

In lecture, we defined a discrete-time quantum walk on an *n*-vertex graph as the product of a reflection on $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ and the same reflection with the two systems interchanged. To analyze the walk, we computed the spectrum of this product of reflections. In this problem, you will generalize that calculation to the product of two arbitrary reflections.

Consider two subspaces

$$\mathcal{A} := \operatorname{span}\{|\psi_1\rangle, \dots, |\psi_a\rangle\} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{B} := \operatorname{span}\{|\phi_1\rangle, \dots, |\phi_b\rangle\}$$

of \mathbb{C}^m , where $\langle \psi_j | \psi_k \rangle = \delta_{jk}$ and $\langle \phi_j | \phi_k \rangle = \delta_{jk}$. Let

$$\Pi := \sum_{j=1}^{a} |\psi_j\rangle\langle\psi_j| \qquad \qquad \Sigma := \sum_{j=1}^{b} |\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|$$

denote projections onto the two subspaces, let $R := 2\Pi - I_m$ and $S := 2\Sigma - I_m$ denote reflections about the subspaces, and let U := RS denote their product. Finally, let D denote the $a \times b$ matrix with entries $D_{jk} = \langle \psi_j | \phi_k \rangle$. You will show how the spectrum of U can be obtained from the singular value decomposition of D.

- a. Let $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ denote left and right singular vectors of D, respectively, with the same singular value σ . The left singular vector $|\alpha\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^a$ can be mapped to a vector $A|\alpha\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^m$ by applying the isometry $A := \sum_{j=1}^{a} |\psi_j\rangle\langle j|$. Similarly, the right singular vector $|\beta\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^b$ can be mapped to a vector $B|\beta\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^m$ by the isometry $B := \sum_{j=1}^{b} |\phi_j\rangle\langle j|$. Show that the subspace span $\{A|\alpha\rangle, B|\beta\rangle\}$ is invariant under the action of U.
- b. Diagonalize the action of U within this subspace to obtain one or two eigenvectors of U. When do you obtain one, and when do you obtain two?
- c. Compute the eigenvalues of U corresponding to these eigenvectors.
- d. How many eigenvectors of U are obtained by the procedure outlined above? What are the remaining eigenvectors of U and their corresponding eigenvalues?

Problem 5 (The triangle problem).

In the *triangle problem*, you are asked to decide whether an *n*-vertex graph G contains a triangle (a complete subgraph on 3 vertices). The graph is specified by a black box that, for any pair of vertices of G, returns a bit indicating whether those vertices are connected by an edge in G.

- a. What is the classical query complexity of the triangle problem?
- b. Say that an edge of G is a *triangle edge* if it is part of a triangle in G. What is the quantum query complexity of deciding whether a particular edge of G is a triangle edge?
- c. Now suppose you know the vertices and edges of some *m*-vertex subgraph of G. Explain how you can decide whether this subgraph contains a triangle edge using $O(m^{2/3}\sqrt{n})$ quantum queries.
- d. Consider a quantum walk algorithm for the triangle problem (or, equivalently, deciding whether a graph contains a triangle edge). The walk takes place on a graph \mathcal{G} whose vertices correspond to subgraphs of G on m vertices, and whose edges correspond to subgraphs that differ by changing one vertex. A vertex of \mathcal{G} is marked if it contains a triangle edge. How many queries does this algorithm use to decide whether G contains a triangle? (Hint: Be sure to account for the queries used to initialize the walk, the queries used to move between neighboring vertices of \mathcal{G} , and the queries used to check whether a given vertex of \mathcal{G} is marked. To get a nontrivial result, you should use the search framework mentioned in class that takes many steps according to the walk on \mathcal{G} with no marked vertices before performing a phase flip at marked vertices.)
- e. Choose a value of m that minimizes the number of queries used by the algorithm. What is the resulting upper bound on the quantum query complexity of the triangle problem?
- f. Challenge problem: Generalize this algorithm to decide whether G contains a k-clique. How many queries does the algorithm use?