## CMSC424: Database Design

## Module: Introduction/Overview

Instructor: Amol Deshpande amol@umd.edu

## Motivation

- Book Chapters (6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition)

$$
\text { 。1.1, } 1.2
$$

- Key Topics
- Data-driven world and Big Data
- Why managing large volumes of data is difficult
- Drawbacks of using File Systems to store data
- What we will cover in this course


## Motivation: Data Overload

- Explosion of data, in pretty much every domain
- Sensing devices and sensor networks that can monitor everything 24/7 from temperature to pollution to vital signs - Increasingly sophisticated smart phones - Internet, social networks makes it easy to publish data
- Scientific experiments and simulations produce astronomical volumes of data

Internet of Things
Dataification: taking all aspects of life and turning them into data (e.g., what you like/enjoy turned into a stream of your "likes")

- How to handle that data? How to extract interesting actionable insights and scientific knowledge?
- Data volumes expected to get much worse


## Four V's of Big Data

- Increasing data Volumes
- Scientific data: $1.5 \mathrm{~GB} /$ genome -- can be sequenced in .5 hrs LHC generates 100TB of data a day
- 500M tweets per day
- As of 2012: 2.5 Exabytes of data created every day
- EBay: Two data warehouses with 7.5PB and 40PB
- Walmart: 583 terabytes of sales and inventory data
- FICO monitors 2.5 billion active accounts worldwide
- Variety:
- Structured data, spreadsheets, photos, videos, natural text, ...
- Velocity
- Veracity


## Four V's of Big Data

- Increasing data Volumes
- Variety
- Velocity
- Sensors, smart watches, etc., everywhere -- can generate tremendous volumes of "data streams"
- Real-time analytics requires data to be consumed as fast as it is generated
- Veracity

How do you decide what to trust? How to remove noise? How to fill in missing values?
By various accounts, $90 \%$ or so of the time is spent in data cleaning and preparation, vs $10 \%$ or so on the machine learning/data science

## Big Data and Data Science to the Rescue

- Terms increasingly used synonymously: also data analytics, data mining, business intelligence
- Loosely used for any process where interesting things are inferred from data
- Google search: "How Big Data Will Change"
- Data scientist called the sexiest job of the 21st century

The term has becoming very muddled at this point

## Is it all hype?

- No: Extracting insights and knowledge from data very important, and will continue to increase in importance
- Big data techniques are revolutionizing things in many domains like Education, Food Supply, Disease Epidemics, ...
- But: it is not much different from what we, especially statisticians, have been doing for many years
- What is different?
- Much more data is digitally available than was before
- Inexpensive computing + Cloud + Easy-to-use programming frameworks = Much easier to analyze it
- Often: large-scale data + simple algorithms > small data + complex algorithms
- Changes how you do analysis dramatically


## Motivation: Data Overload

- How do we do anything with this data?
- Where and how do we store it ?
- Disks are doubling every 18 months or so -- not enough
- In many cases, the data is not actually recorded as it is; summarized first
- What if the disks crash ?
- Very common, especially with 10,000's of disks
- How do we ensure "correctness" ?
- What if the system crashes in the middle of an ATM transaction ?
- Can't have money disappearing
- What happens when a million people try to buy tickets to <your favorite artist>'s concert at the same time ?


## Motivation: Data Overload

- What to do with the data ? How to process/analyze it ?
- text search ?
- Very limited
- "find the stores with the maximum increase in sales in last month"
- We can't expect the users to write Java programs
"how much time from here to Pittsburgh if I start at 2 pm ?"
- Data is there; more will be soon (GPS, live traffic data)
- Requires predictive capabilities

Increasing need to convert "information" to "knowledge": Data mining/Machine Learning

- "How many DVDs should we order?" (Netflix)
- Find videos with this type of an event (say car break-ins)
- Mine the "blogs" to detect "buzz"


## Motivation: Data Overload

## - Speed !!

- With TB's of data, just finding something (even if you know what), is not easy
- Reading a file with TB of data can take hours

Imagine a bank and millions of ATMs

- How much time does it take you to do a withdrawal ?
- The data is not local
- How do we guarantee the data will be there 10 years from now ?
- Privacy and security !!!
- Every other day we see some database leaked on the web
- How to make sure different users' data is protected from each other


## Why not use file systems ?

- Drawbacks of using file systems to store data:
- Data redundancy and inconsistency
- Multiple file formats, duplication of information in different files
- Difficulty in accessing data
- Need to write a new program to carry out each new task
- Data isolation - multiple files and formats
- Integrity problems
- Integrity constraints (e.g., account balance >0) become "buried" in program code rather than being stated explicitly
- Hard to add new constraints or change existing ones


## Why not use file systems ?

- Drawbacks of using file systems to store data:
- Atomicity of updates
- Failures may leave database in an inconsistent state with partial updates carried out
- Example: Transfer of funds from one account to another should either complete or not happen at all
- Concurrent access by multiple users
- Concurrent access needed for performance
- Uncontrolled concurrent accesses can lead to inconsistencies
- Example: Two people reading a balance (say 100) and updating it by withdrawing money (say 50 each) at the same time

Security problems

- Hard to provide user access to some, but not all, data


## What we will cover...

- We will mainly discuss structured data
- That can be represented in tabular forms (called Relational data)
- We will spend some time on JSON/Document Data Model (MongoDB)
- We will also spend some time on Mapreduce-like stuff (Apache Spark)
- Still the biggest and most important business (?)
- Well defined problem with really good solutions that work
- Contrast XQuery for XML vs SQL for relational
- Solid technological foundations
- Many of the basic techniques however are directly applicable
- E.g. reliable data storage etc.

Cf. Many recent attempts to add SQL-like capabilities, transactions to Mapreduce and related technologies

- E.g., Spark DataFrames


## Structure of the Course

- Introduction
- Motivation, data abstraction, common data systems architectures today
- Relational Model + SQL (Two programming assignments)
- Schema Design: Entity-relationship Models and Normalization (Long-form Assgn)
- How to create a database schema, and how to ensure it is "good"
- Implementation Issues (Programming assignment)
- Different types of storage, and how to ensure reliability in presence of failures
- Indexes for faster retrieval of data
- How an SQL query is processed and optimized
- NoSQL (somewhat of a misnomer) (Programming assignment)
- Document, key-value, and graph data models
- MongoDB and its Query Language
- Map-reduce Model and Apache Spark
- Transactions (Long-form Assignment)
- How to do concurrent updates correctly
- How to ensure consistency in presence of failures


## Summary

- Why study databases ?
- Shift from computation to information
- Always true in corporate domains
- Increasing true for personal and scientific domains
- Need has exploded in recent years
- Data is growing at a very fast rate
- Solving the data management problems is going to be a key
- Database Management Systems provide
- Data abstraction: Key in evolving systems
- Guarantees about data integrity
- In presence of concurrent access, failures...
- Speed !!


## CMSC424: Database Design

## Module: Introduction/Overview

## Data Models and Data Abstraction

## Motivation

- Book Chapters (6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition)
1.3
- Key Topics
- Data Models and Why Capturing "Structure" is Important
- Data Abstraction, and Views
- Logical and Physical Data Independence


## Database Management Systems

- Provide a systematic way to solve data management issues
- Aim is to allow easy management of high volumes of data
- Storing , Updating, Querying, Analyzing ....
- What is a Database ?
- A large, integrated collection of (mostly structured) data
- Typically models and captures information about a real-world enterprise
- Entities (e.g. courses, students)
- Relationships (e.g. John is taking CMSC 424)
- Usually also contains:
- Knowledge of constraints on the data (e.g. course capacities)
- Business logic (e.g. pre-requisite rules)
- Encoded as part of the data model (preferable) or through external programs


## Database Management Systems

- Massively successful for highly structured data
- Why ? Structure in the data (if any) can be exploited for ease of use and efficiency
- If there is no structure in the data, hard to do much
- Contrast managing emails vs managing photos
- Much of the data we need to deal with is highly structured
- Some data is semi-structured
- E.g.: Resumes, Webpages, Blogs etc.
- Some has complicated structure
- E.g.: Social networks
- Some has no structure
- E.g.: Text data, Video/Image data etc.


## Structured vs Unstructured Data

- A lot of the data we encounter is structured
- Some have very simple structures
- E.g. Data that can be represented in tabular forms
- Significantly easier to deal with
- We will focus on such data for much of the class

| Account |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bname | acct_no | balance |
| Downtown | A-101 | 500 |
| Mianus | A-215 | 700 |
| Perry | A-102 | 400 |
| R.H | A-305 | 350 |
|  |  |  |


| Customer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cname | cstreet | ccity |
| Jones | Main | Harrison |
| Smith | North | Rye |
| Hayes | Main | Harrison |
| Curry | North | Rye |
| Lindsay | Park | Pittsfield |

## Structured vs Unstructured Data

- Some data has a little more complicated structure
- E.g graph structures
- Map data, social networks data, the web link structure etc
- Can convert to tabular forms for storage, but may not be optimal
- Queries often reason about graph structure
- Find my "Erdos number"
- Suggest friends based on current friends
- Growing importance in recent years in a variety of domains: Biological, social networks, web...



## Structured vs Unstructured Data

- Increasing amount of data in a semi-structured format
- XML - Self-describing tags (HTML ?)
- Complicates a lot of things
- We will discuss this toward the end
- A huge amount of data is unfortunately unstructured

- Books, WWW
- Amenable to pretty much only text search... so far
- Information Retreival research deals with this topic
- What about Google search ?
- Google search is mainly successful because it uses the structure (in its original incarnation)
- Video ? Music ?
- Can represent in DBMS's, but can't really operate on them



## Database Management Systems

- Massively successful for highly structured data
- Why ? Structure in the data (if any) can be exploited for ease of use and efficiency
- How ?


## - Two Key Concepts:

- Data Modeling: Allows reasoning about the data at a high level
- e.g. "emails" have "sender", "receiver", "..."
- Once we can describe the data, we can start "querying" it


## - Data Abstraction/Independence:

- Layer the system so that the users/applications are insulated from the low-level details


## Data Modeling

## - Data modeling

- Data model: A collection of concepts that describes how data is represented and accessed
- Schema: A description of a specific collection of data, using a given data model
- Some examples of data models that we will see
- Relational, Entity-relationship model, XML, JSON...
- Object-oriented, object-relational, semantic data model, RDF...
- Why so many models ?
- Tension between descriptive power and ease of use/efficiency
- More powerful models $\rightarrow$ more data can be represented
- More powerful models $\rightarrow$ harder to use, to query, and less efficient


## Data Abstraction

- Probably the most important purpose of a DBMS
- Goal: Hiding low-level details from the users of the system
- Alternatively: the principle that
- applications and users should be insulated from how data is structured and stored
- Also called data independence
- Through use of logical abstractions


## Data Abstraction

What data users and application programs see?


What data is stored?
describe data properties such as data semantics, data relationships

How data is actually stored?
e.g. are we using disks? Which file system?

Physical
Level

## Data Abstraction



## Data Abstractions: Example

## A View Schema



## CMSC424: Database Design

## Module: Introduction/Overview

## DBMS Architectures; Industry Outlook

Instructor: Amol Deshpande
amol@umd.edu

## Motivation

- Book Chapters (6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition)
- 1.4, 1.9 (to some extent)
- Key Topics
- Data Definition and Data Manipulation Languages
- Typical Database Architecture
- Current Industry Outlook


## Database System

- A DBMS is a software system designed to store, manage, facilitate access to databases
- Typically uses a specific data model, and
- Supports some level of physical and logical data independence
- Provides:
- Data Definition Language (DDL)
- For defining and modifying the schemas
- Data Manipulation Language (DML)
- For retrieving, modifying, analyzing the data itself
- Guarantees about correctness in presence of failures and concurrency, data semantics etc.
- Common use patterns
- Handling transactions (e.g. ATM Transactions, flight reservations)
- Archival (storing historical data)
- Analytics (e.g. identifying trends, Data Mining)


## Example: Relational DBMS and SQL

- SQL (sequel): Structured Query Language
- Data definition (DDL)
- create table instructor (

| ID | char(5), |
| :--- | :--- |
| name | varchar(20), |
| dept_name | varchar(20), |
| salary | numeric( $(8,2)$ ) |

- Data manipulation (DML)
- Example: Find the name of the instructor with ID 22222
select name
from instructor
where instructor.ID = '22222'


## Database Architecture: Pre-2000's

- All data was typically in hard disks or arrays of hard disks
- RAM (Memory) was never enough
- So always had to worry about what was in memory vs not
- Almost no real "distributed" execution
- Different from "parallel", i.e., on co-located clusters of computers
- Relatively well-understood use cases
- Report generation
- Interactive data analysis and exploration
- Supporting transactions


From Chapter 20

$\log$ disks
data disks
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Traditional RDBMS Architecture


Some sort of load balancer or intake mechanism

Typical components in a database system:
some for queries, some for transactions
Maybe on a single physical computer or a cluster connected by a fast network

Data Storage Systems:
(1) Punch cards (long time ago)
(2) Hard disks (still prevalent)
(3) SSDs

Need "redundancy" and "fault-tolerance" Data once stored should always be there

RAID $=$ Redundant Array of Independent Disks

## Database Architecture : Today

- Much more diversity in the architectures that we see
- More modern hardware architectures
- Massively parallel computers
- SSDs
- Massive amounts of RAM - often don't need to worry about data fitting in memory
- Much faster networks, even over a wide area
- Virtualization and Containerization
- Cloud Computing
- As a result: Data and execution typically distributed all over the place
- Much more diversity in data processing applications
- Much more non-relational data (images, text, video)
- Data Analytics/Machine learning more common use-cases
- Much more diversity in "data models"
- Document data models (JSON, XML), Key-value data model, Graph data model, RDF


## Current Industry Outlook

- Relational DBMSs
- Oracle, IBM DB2, Microsoft SQL Server, Sybase, Amazon RDS/Aurora
- Open source alternatives
- MySQL, PostgreSQL, BerkeleyDB (mainly a storage engine - no SQL) ...
- Other Data Models
- Neo4j (Graph), MongoDB (Document), CosmosDB (many)
- Data Warehousing Solutions
- Geared towards very large volumes of data and on analyzing them
- Long list: Teradata, Oracle Exadata, Netezza (based on FPGAs), Aster Data (founded 2005), Vertica (column-based), Kickfire, Xtremedata..
- Usually sell package/services and charge per TB of managed data
- Many (especially recent ones) start with MySQL or PostgreSQL and make them parallel/faster etc..


## Web Scale Data Management, Analysis

- Ongoing debate/issue
- Cloud computing seems to eschew DBMSs in favor of homegrown solutions
- E.g. Google, Facebook, Amazon etc...
- MapReduce: A paradigm for large-scale data analysis
- Hadoop: An open source implementation
- Apache Spark: a better open source implementation
- Why ?
- DBMSs can't scale to the needs, not fault-tolerant enough
- These apps don't need things like transactions, that complicate DBMSs (???)
- Mapreduce favors Unix-style programming, doesn't require SQL
- Try writing SVMs or decision trees in SQL
- Cost
- Companies like Teradata may charge \$100,000
pertB of data managed


## Current Industry Outlook

- Bigtable-like
- Called "key-value stores"
- Think highly distributed hash tables
- Allow some transactional capabilities - still evolving area
- PNUTS (Yahoo), Apache Cassandra (Facebook), Dynamo (Amazon), and many many others
- Mapreduce-like
- Hadoop (open source), Pig (@Yahoo), Dryad (@Microsoft), Spark
- Amazon EC2 Framework
- Not really a database - but increasing declarative SQL-like capabilities are being added (e.g. HIVE at Facebook)
- Much ongoing research in industry and academia


## In This Class...

- We have to limit the scope drastically
- Focus on:
- Single-server Relational Databases
- Assume hard disks are still important and memory is limited
- Go deep into different ways to execute queries, and find the best queries
- Will briefly discuss:
- Parallel architectures and query processing there
- Map-reduce architectures and considerations there-in
- Most of the key concepts valid in modern databases (including NoSQL) and Big Data Frameworks



## Data Warehouses

For: Large-scale data processing (TBs to PBs)
Parallel architectures (lots of co-located computers)
SQL and Reporting
No transactions


Figure 1. Data Warehousing Architecture

## In-memory OLTP (on-line transaction processing)

For: Extremely fast transactions
Many-core or parallel architectures
Very limited SQL - mostly focused on "writes"
Typically assume data fits in memory across servers


## Highly available, distributed OLTP

For: Distributed scenarios where clients are all over the world Focus on "consistency" - how to make sure all users see the same data
Limited SQL - mostly focused on "writes"
Considerations of memory vs disk less important


## Okay...

- Key takeaway: Modern data architectures are a mess
- We haven't talked about NoSQL (MongoDB, etc.), Machine Learning, "Streaming"...
- Fundamentals haven't changed that much though
- We are still either:
- Going from some "input datasets" to an "output dataset" (queries/analytics)
- Modifying data (transactions)

SQL is still very common, albeit often disguised

- Spark RDD operations map nicely to SQL joins and aggregates (unified now)
- MongoDB lookups, filters, and aggregates map to joins, selects, and aggregates in SQL
- But "performance trade-offs" are all over the place now
- 30 years ago, we worried a lot about hard disks and things fitting in memory
- Today, focus more on networks
- Focus has shifted to other aspects of data processing pipelines

Analytics/Machine learning, data cleaning, statistics

## Query Plans vs.



## SQL "Query Plan"

Apache Hive "Query Plan" (Hive is an SQL layer on top of Hadoop)


## vs ... Data Transformation Pipelines



## Machine Learning Pipeline

Data Preparation and Visualization Pipeline


## Okay...

- Many similarities across different ways to process and analyze data
- At its simplest:

Maybe Tables in an RDBMS, Files in HDFS,
or Images in a key-value store or Images in a key-value store


## Okay...

- Many similarities across different ways to process and analyze data
- Some considerations that we see repeated:
- Are there multiple ways to accomplish the goals?
- i.e., are there multiple pipelines or SQL Query Plans that will accomplish the same task
- How to "enumerate" all of them?
- i.e., how to automatically come up with all the different options?

How to decide which is the "best"?

- Ideally based on some consideration of total cost (e.g., total CPU time)
- How to "find" the best plan?
- Called "query optimization" in databases
- RDBMSs have been doing this for 4-5 decades now
- The classic paper on SQL query optimization is from 1979
- Outlined the approach still in use today
- Same ideas re-discovered repeatedly in other contexts (e.g., Hadoop)


## How important is this today?

- Trade-offs shifted drastically over last 10-15 years
- Especially with fast network, SSDs, and high memories
- However, the volume of data is also growing quite rapidly


## - Some observations:

- Cheaper to access another computer's memory than accessing your own disk
- Cache is playing more and more important role
- Enough memory around that data often fits in memory of a single machine, or a cluster of machines
- "Disk" considerations less important
- Still: Disks are where most of the data lives today
- Similar reasoning/algorithms required though


# CMSC424: Database Design Module: Relational Model; SQL 

Instructor: Amol Deshpande amol@umd.edu

## CMSC424: Database Design

## Module: Relation Model + SQL

## Relational Model

## Relational Model

- Book Chapters (6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition)
- 2.1, 2.2, 2.4
- Key Topics
- Relational Model Key Concepts
- Domains of Table Attributes
- Null Values
- Schema Diagrams


## Relational Data Model

Introduced by Ted Codd (late 60's - early 70's)

- Before = "Network Data Model" (Cobol as DDL, DML)
- Very contentious: Database Wars (Charlie Bachman vs. Ted Codd)

Relational data model contributes:

1. Separation of logical, physical data models (data independence)
2. Declarative query languages
3. Formal semantics
4. Query optimization (key to commercial success)
$1^{\text {st }}$ prototypes:

- Ingres $\rightarrow$ CA
- Postgres $\rightarrow$ Illustra $\rightarrow$ Informix $\rightarrow$ IBM
- System $R \rightarrow$ Oracle, DB2


## Key Abstraction: Relation

Account $=$| bname | acct_no | balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downtown | A-101 | 500 |
| Brighton | A-201 | 900 |
| Brighton | A-217 | 500 |

Terms:

- Tables (aka: Relations)

Why called Relations?
Closely correspond to mathematical concept of a relation

## Relations

Account $=$| bname | acct_no | balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downtown | A-101 | 500 |
| Brighton | A-201 | 900 |
| Brighton | A-217 | 500 |

Considered equivalent to...
\{ (Downtown, A-101, 500),
(Brighton, A-201, 900),
(Brighton, A-217, 500) \}

## Relational database semantics defined in terms of mathematical relations

## Relations

Account $=$| bname | acct_no | balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downtown | A-101 | 500 |
| Brighton | A-201 | 900 |
| Brighton | A-217 | 500 |

Considered equivalent to ...
\{ (Downtown, A-101, 500),
(Brighton, A-201, 900),
(Brighton, A-217, 500) \}

## Terms:

- Tables (aka: Relations)
- Rows (aka: tuples)
- Columns (aka: attributes)
- Schema (e.g.: Acct_Schema = (bname, acct_no, balance))


## Definitions

## Relation Schema (or Schema)

A list of attributes and their domains
E.g. account(account-number, branch-name, balance)

Programming language equivalent: A variable (e.g. x)

## Relation Instance

A particular instantiation of a relation with actual values
Will change with time

| bname | acct_no | balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downtown | A-101 | 500 |
| Brighton | A-201 | 900 |
| Brighton | A-217 | 500 |

Programming language equivalent: Value of a variable

## Definitions

## Domains of an attribute/column

The set of permitted values
e.g., bname must be String, balance must be a positive real number

We typically assume domains are atomic, i.e., the values are treated as indivisible (specifically: you can't store lists or arrays in them)

## Null value

A special value used if the value of an attribute for a row is: unknown (e.g., don't know address of a customer) inapplicable (e.g., "spouse name" attribute for a customer) withheld/hidden
Different interpretations all captured by a single concept - leads to major headaches and problems

## Tables in a University Database

classroom(building, room_number, capacity)
department(dept_name, building, budget)
course(course_id, title, dept_name, credits)
instructor(ID, name, dept_name, salary)
section(course_id, sec_id, semester, year, building, room_number, time_slot_id)
teaches(ID, course_id, sec_id, semester, year)
student(ID, name, dept_name, tot_cred)
takes(Id, course_id, sec_id, semester, year, grade)
advisor(s_ID, i_ID)
time_slot(time_slot_id, day, start_time, end_time)
prereq(course_id, prereq_id)

## Schema Diagram for University Database
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## CMSC424: Database Design

## Module: Relation Model + SQL

## SQL: Basics and DDL

## SQL Basics and DDL

- Book Chapters (6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition)
-3.1, 3.2
- Key Topics
- SQL Overview
- How to create relations using SQL
- How to insert/delete/update tuples


## History

- IBM Sequel language developed as part of System R project at the IBM San Jose Research Laboratory
- Renamed Structured Query Language (SQL)
- ANSI and ISO standard SQL:
- SQL-86, SQL-89, SQL-92
- SQL:1999, SQL:2003, SQL:2008
- Commercial systems offer most, if not all, SQL-92 features, plus varying feature sets from later standards and special proprietary features.
- Not all examples here may work on your particular system.
- Several alternative syntaxes to write the same queries


## Different Types of Constructs

- Data definition language (DDL): Defining/modifying schemas

Integrity constraints: Specifying conditions the data must satisfy

- View definition: Defining views over data

Authorization: Who can access what

- Data-manipulation language (DML): Insert/delete/update tuples, queries
- Transaction control:
- Embedded SQL: Calling SQL from within programming languages
- Creating indexes, Query Optimization control...


## Data Definition Language

The SQL data-definition language (DDL) allows the specification of information about relations, including:

- The schema for each relation.
- The domain of values associated with each attribute.
- Integrity constraints
- Also: other information such as

The set of indices to be maintained for each relations.
Security and authorization information for each relation.
The physical storage structure of each relation on disk.

## SQL Constructs: Data Definition Language

- CREATE TABLE <name> ( <field> <domain>, ... )
create table department
(dept_name varchar(20),
building varchar(15),
budget numeric $(12,2)$ check (budget $>0$ ),
primary key (dept_name)
);


## create table instructor (

ID char(5),
name varchar(20) not null, dept_name varchar(20), salary numeric $(8,2)$,
primary key (ID),
foreign key (dept_name) references department

## SQL Constructs: Data Definition Language

- CREATE TABLE <name> (<field> <domain>, ... )


## create table department

(dept_name varchar(20) primary key, building varchar(15),
budget numeric( 12,2 ) check (budget $>0$ )
);

```
create table instructor (
    ID char(5) primary key,
    name varchar(20) not null,
    d_name varchar(20),
    salary numeric(8,2),
    foreign key (d_name) references department
)
```


## SQL Constructs: Insert/Delete/Update Tuples

- INSERT INTO <name> (<field names>) VALUES (<field values>) insert into instructor values ('10211', ' Smith’, 'Biology', 66000); insert into instructor (name, ID) values ('Smith', '10211');
-- NULL for other two
insert into instructor (ID) values ('10211');
-- FAIL
- DELETE FROM <name> WHERE <condition>
delete from department where budget < 80000;
- Syntax is fine, but this command may be rejected because of referential integrity constraints.


## SQL Constructs: Insert/Delete/Update Tuples

- DELETE FROM <name> WHERE <condition>
delete from department where budget < 80000;


Figure 2.5 The department relation.
We can choose what happens:
(1) Reject the delete, or
(2) Delete the rows in Instructor (may be a cascade), or
(3) Set the appropriate values in Instructor to NULL

## SQL Constructs: Insert/Delete/Update Tuples

- DELETE FROM <name> WHERE <condition>
delete from department where budget < 80000;
create table instructor
(ID varchar(5),
name varchar(20) not null, dept_name varchar(20),
salary numeric $(8,2)$ check (salary > 29000), primary key (ID), foreign key (dopt_name) references department
on delete set null
);

We can choose what happens:
(1) Reject the delete (nothing), or
(2) Delete the rows in Instructor (on delete cascade), or
(3) Set the appropriate values in Instructor to NULL (on delete set null)

## SQL Constructs: Insert/Delete/Update Tuples

- DELETE FROM <name> WHERE <condition>
- Delete all classrooms with capacity below average delete from classroom where capacity < (select avg(capacity) from classroom);
- Problem: as we delete tuples, the average capacity changes
- Solution used in SQL:
- First, compute avg capacity and find all tuples to delete
- Next, delete all tuples found above (without recomputing avg or retesting the tuples)
- E.g. consider the query: delete the smallest classroom


## SQL Constructs: Insert/Delete/Update Tuples

- UPDATE <name> SET <field name> = <value> WHERE <condition>
- Increase all salaries's over \$100,000 by 6\%, all other receive $5 \%$.
- Write two update statements:
update instructor
set salary = salary * 1.06
where salary > 100000;
update instructor
set salary = salary * 1.05
where salary $\leq 10000$;
- The order is important
- Can be done better using the case statement


## SQL Constructs: Insert/Delete/Update Tuples

- UPDATE <name> SET <field name> = <value> WHERE <condition>
- Increase all salaries's over \$100,000 by 6\%, all other receive 5\%.
- Can be done better using the case statement update instructor set salary =
case
when salary > 100000
then salary * 1.06
when salary $<=100000$
then salary * 1.05
end;


## CMSC424: Database Design

## Module: Relation Model + SQL

## SQL: Querying Basics

Instructor: Amol Deshpande
amol@cs.umd.edu

## SQL Querying Basics

- Book Chapters (6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition)
- 3.3
- Key Topics
- Single-table Queries in SQL
- Multi-table Queries using Cartesian Product
- Difference between Cartesian Product and "Natural Join"
- Careful with using "natural join" keyword


## Basic Query Structure

Attributes or expressions
select $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$
from $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{m} \longrightarrow$ Relations (or queries returning tables)
where $P \backsim$ Predicates

Find the names of all instructors:
select name
from instructor

| name |
| :--- |
| Srinivasan |
| Wu |
| Mozart |
| Einstein |
| El Said |
| Gold |
| Katz |
| Califieri |
| Singh |
| Crick |
| Brandt |
| Kim |

Figure 3.2 Result of "select name from instructor".

## Basic Query Structure

select $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$
from $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{m} \quad$ Relations (or queries returning tables) where $P$ Predicates

Find the names of all instructor departments:
select dept_name
from instructor


## Basic Query Structure

select $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ Attributes or expressions
from $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{m} \backsim$ Relations (or queries returning tables) where $P$ Predicates

Remove duplicates:
select distinct name
from instructor
Order the output:
Find the names of all instructors:
select name
from instructor
select distinct name
from instructor order by name asc

Apply some filters (predicates):
select name
from instructor
where salary > 80000 and dept_name = 'Finance';

## Basic Query Constructs

Select all attributes:
select *
from instructor

Find the names of air instructors:
Expressions in the select clause: select name, salary < 100000 from instructor select name from instructor

More complex filters: select name
from instructor
where (dept_name != 'Finance' and salary > 75000) or (dept_name = 'Finance' and salary > 85000);

A filter with a subquery:
select name
from instructor
where dept_name in (select dept_name from department where budget < 100000);

## Basic Query Constructs

> Renaming tables or output column names: select i.name, i.salary * 2 as double_salary from instructor $i$
> where i.salary < 80000 and i.name like '\%g_';

Find the names of air instructors:
select name from instructor

```
More complex expressions: select concat(name, concat(', ', dept_name)) from instructor;
```

Careful with NULLs:
select name
from instructor
where salary $<100000$ or salary >= 100000;
Wouldn't return the instructor with NULL salary (if any)

| Multi-table | inst.ID | name | dept_name | salary | teaches.ID | courseid | sec_id | semester | year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 710101 | Srinivasan | Physics | 95000 | 10101 | CS-101 | 1 | Fall | 2009 |
| Queries <br> Cartesian product: <br> select * <br> from instructor, teaches | 10101 | rrinivasan | Physics | 95000 | 10101 | CS-315 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
|  | 10101 | srinivasan | Physics | 95000 | 10101 | CS-347 | 1 | Fall | 2009 |
|  | 10101 | Srinivasan | Physics | 95000 | 10101 | FIN-201 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
|  | 10101 | Srinivasan | Physics | 95000 | 15151 | MU-199 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
|  | 10101 | Srinivasan | Physics | 95000 | 22222 | PHY-101 | 1 | Fall | 2009 |
|  |  |  | ... | ... |  |  | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
|  | $12121$ | Wu | Physics | 95000 | 10101 | CS-101 | $\cdots$ | Fall | $\underline{.7}$ |
|  | 12121 | Nu | Physics | 95000 | 10101 | CS-315 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
|  | 12121 | Nu | Physics | 95000 | 10101 | CS-347 | 1 | Fall | 2009 |
|  | 12121 | Wu | Physics | 95000 | 10101 | FIN-201 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
|  | 12121 | Wu | Physics | 95000 | 15151 | MU-199 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
|  | 12121 | Wu | Physics | 95000 | 22222 | PHY-101 | 1 | Fall | 2009 |
|  | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |  | ... | ... | ... |
|  | 15151 | Mozart | Physics | 95000 | 10101 | -.. CS -101 | $\cdots$ | Fall | $\underline{.7}$ |
|  | 15151 | Mozart | Physics | 95000 | 10101 | CS-315 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
|  | 15151 | Mozart | Physics | 95000 | 10101 | CS-347 | 1 | Fall | 2009 |
|  | 15151 | Mozart | Physics | 95000 | 10101 | FIN-201 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
|  | 15151 | Mozart | Physics | 95000 | 15151 | MU-199 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
|  | 15151 | Mozart | Physics | 95000 | 22222 | PHY-101 | 1 | Fall | 2009 |
|  |  | ... | - | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | 22222 | Einstein | Physics | 95000 | 10101 | C.. CS -101 | 1 | Fall | 2009 |
|  | 22222 | Einstein | Physics | 95000 | 10101 | CS-315 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
|  | 22222 | Einstein | Physics | 95000 | 10101 | CS-347 | 1 | Fall | 2009 |
|  | 22222 | Einstein | Physics | 95000 | 10101 | FIN-201 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
|  | 22222 | Einstein | Physics | 95000 | 15151 | MU-199 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
|  | 22222 | Einstein | Physics | 95000 | 22222 | PHY-101 | 1 | Fall | 2009 |
|  | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... |

## Multi-table Queries

Use predicates to only select "matching" pairs: select *
from instructor $i$, teaches $t$
where i.ID = t.ID;

Cartesian product:
select *
from instructor, teaches

Identical (in this case) to using a natural join: select *
from instructor natural join teaches;

## Multi-table Queries

Cartesian product:
select *
from instructor natural join teaches

| ID | name | dept_name | salary | course_id | sec_id | semester | year |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 10101 | Srinivasan | Comp. Sci. | 65000 | CS-101 | 1 | Fall | 2009 |
| 10101 | Srinivasan | Comp. Sci. | 65000 | CS-315 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
| 10101 | Srinivasan | Comp. Sci. | 65000 | CS-347 | 1 | Fall | 2009 |
| 12121 | Wu | Finance | 90000 | FIN-201 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
| 15151 | Mozart | Music | 40000 | MU-199 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
| 22222 | Einstein | Physics | 95000 | PHY-101 | 1 | Fall | 2009 |
| 32343 | El Said | History | 60000 | HIS-351 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
| 45565 | Katz | Comp. Sci. | 75000 | CS-101 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
| 45565 | Katz | Comp. Sci. | 75000 | CS-319 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |
| 76766 | Crick | Biology | 72000 | BIO-101 | 1 | Summer | 2009 |
| 76766 | Crick | Biology | 72000 | BIO-301 | 1 | Summer | 2010 |
| 83821 | Brandt | Comp. Sci. | 92000 | CS-190 | 1 | Spring | 2009 |
| 83821 | Brandt | Comp. Sci. | 92000 | CS-190 | 2 | Spring | 2009 |
| 83821 | Brandt | Comp. Sci. | 92000 | CS-319 | 2 | Spring | 2010 |
| 98345 | Kim | Elec. Eng. | 80000 | EE-181 | 1 | Spring | 2009 |

## Multi-table Queries

Use predicates to only select "matching" pairs: select * from instructor $i$, teaches $t$ where $i . I D=t . I D ;$

Cartesian product:
select *
from instructor, teaches


Instead can use "on" construct (or where clause as above):
select *
from instructor join advisor on (i_id =id);

## Multi-table Queries

3-Table Query to get a list of instructor-teaches-course information:
select i.name as instructor_name, c.title as course_name
from instructor i, course c, teaches
where i.ID = teaches.ID and c.course_id = teaches.course_id;

Beware of unintended common names (happens often)
You may think the following query has the same result as above - it doesn't
select name, title
from instructor natural join course natural join teaches;
I prefer avoiding "natural joins" for that reason
Note: On the small dataset, the above two have the same answer, but not on the large dataset. Large dataset has cases where an instructor teaches a course from a different department.

## CMSC424: Database Design

## Module: Relation Model + SQL

## Keys

Instructor: Amol Deshpande
amol@cs.umd.edu

## Relational Model: Keys

- Book Chapters (6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition)
- 2.3
- Key Topics
- Keys as a mechanism to uniquely identify tuples in a relation
- Super key vs Candidate key vs Primary key
- Foreign keys and Referential Integrity
- How to identify keys of a relation


## Keys

- Let $K \subseteq R$
- $K$ is a superkey of $R$ if values for $K$ are sufficient to identify a unique tuple of any possible relation $r(R)$
- Example: \{ID\} and \{ID,name\} are both superkeys of instructor.
- Superkey $K$ is a candidate key if $K$ is minimal (i.e., no subset of it is a superkey)
- Example: \{ID\} is a candidate key for Instructor
- One of the candidate keys is selected to be the primary key
- Typically one that is small and immutable (doesn't change often)
- Primary key typically highlighted (e.g., underlined)


## Tables in a University Database

classroom(building, room_number, capacity)
department(dept_name, building, budget)
course(course_id, title, dept_name, credits)
instructor(ID, name, dept_name, salary)

## Tables in a University Database

takes(ID, course_id, sec_id, semester, year, grade)

What about ID, course_id?
No. May repeat:

> ("1011049", "CMSC424", "101", "Spring", 2014, D)
> ("1011049", "CMSC424", "102", "Fall", 2015, null)

What about ID, course_id, sec_id?
May repeat:
("1011049", "CMSC424", "101", "Spring", 2014, D)
("1011049", "CMSC424", "101", "Fall", 2015, null)
What about ID, course_id, sec_id, semester?
Still no: ("1011049", "CMSC424", "101", "Spring", 2014, D)
("1011049", "CMSC424", "101", "Spring", 2015, null)

## Tables in a University Database

classroom(building, room_number, capacity)
department(dept_name, building, budget)
course(course_id, title, dept_name, credits)
instructor(ID, name, dept_name, salary)
section(course_id, sec_id, semester, year, building,
room_number, time_slot_id)
teaches(ID, course_id, sec_id, semester, year)
student(ID, name, dept_name, tot_cred)
takes(ID, course_id, sec_id, semester, year, grade)
advisor(s_ID, i_ID)
time_slot(time_slot_id, day, start_time, end_time)
prereq(course_id, prereq_id)

## Keys

- Foreign key: Primary key of a relation that appears in another relation
- \{ID\} from student appears in takes, advisor
- student called referenced relation
- takes is the referencing relation
- Typically shown by an arrow from referencing to referenced
- Foreign key constraint: the tuple corresponding to that primary key must exist
- Imagine:
- Tuple: (‘student101’, ‘CMSC424’) in takes
- But no tuple corresponding to 'student101' in student
- Also called referential integrity constraint


## Schema Diagram for University Database



## Schema Diagram for the Banking Enterprise



## Examples

- Married(person1_ssn, person2_ssn, date_married, date_divorced)
- Account(cust_ssn, account_number, cust_name, balance, cust_address)
- RA(student_id, project_id, superviser_id, appt_time, appt_start_date, appt_end_date)
- Person(Name, DOB, Born, Education, Religion, ...)
- Information typically found on Wikipedia Pages


## Examples

- Married(person1_ssn, person2_ssn, date_married, date_divorced)
- Account(cust_ssn, account_number, cust_name, balance, cust_address)
- If a single account per customer, then: cust_ssn
- Else: (cust_ssn, account_number)
- In the latter case, this is not a good schema because it requires repeating information
- RA(student_id, project_id, superviser_id, appt_time, appt_start_date, appt_end_date)
- Could be smaller if there are some restrictions - requires some domain knowledge of the data being stored
- Person(Name, DOB, Born, Education, Religion, ...)
- Information typically found on Wikipedia Pages
- Unclear what could be a primary key here: you could in theory have two people who match on all of those


## CMSC424: Database Design

## Module: Relation Model + SQL

## SQL: Aggregates

## SQL Aggregates

- Book Chapters (6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition)
- 3.7.1-3.7.3
- Key Topics
- Basic aggregates
- Aggregation with "grouping"
- "Having" clause to select among groups

```
Other common aggregates:
max, min, sum, count, stdev, ...
select count (distinct \(I D\) )
from teaches
where semester = ' Spring' and year \(=2010\)
```

Find the average salary of instructors in the Computer Science
select avg(salary)
from instructor
where dept_name = 'Comp. Sci';

Can specify aggregates in any query.

Find max salary over instructors teaching in S'10 select max(salary)
from teaches natural join instructor where semester = 'Spring' and year $=2010$;

Aggregate result can be used as a scalar.
Find instructors with max salary:
select *
from instructor
where salary = (select max(salary) from instructor);

## Aggregates

Aggregate result can be used as a scalar.
Find instructors with max salary:
select *
from instructor
where salary = (select max(salary) from instructor);

Following doesn't work:
select *
from instructor
where salary = $\max$ (salary);
select name, max(salary)
from instructor
where salary $=\max$ (salary);

## Aggregates: Group By

Split the tuples into groups, and computer the aggregate for each group select dept_name, avg (salary)
from instructor group by dept_name;

| ID | name | dept_name | salary |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 76766 | Crick | Biology | 72000 |
| 45565 | Katz | Comp. Sci. | 75000 |
| 10101 | Srinivasan | Comp. Sci. | 65000 |
| 83821 | Brandt | Comp. Sci. | 92000 |
| 98345 | Kim | Elec. Eng. | 80000 |
| 12121 | Wu | Finance | 90000 |
| 76543 | Singh | Finance | 80000 |
| 32343 | El Said | History | 60000 |
| 58583 | Califieri | History | 62000 |
| 15151 | Mozart | Music | 40000 |
| 33456 | Gold | Physics | 87000 |
| 22222 | Einstein | Physics | 95000 |


| dept_name | avg_salary |
| :--- | :--- |
| Biology | 72000 |
| Comp. Sci. | 77333 |
| Elec. Eng. | 80000 |
| Finance | 85000 |
| History | 61000 |
| Music | 40000 |
| Physics | 91000 |

## Aggregates: Group By

Find the number of instructors in each department who teach a course in the Spring 2010 semester.

Partial Query 1:
select
from instructor natural join teaches
where semester = 'Spring' and year = 2010

|  | ID | name | dept_name | salary | course_id | sec_id | semester | year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10101 | niv | Omperi | 65000 | ES-101 |  | Fall | 2000 |  |
|  | 10101 | Srinivasan | Comp. Sci. | 65000 | CS-315 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |  |
|  | 10101 | Stimivasan | Compr.sci. | -65000 | ए-5-34 |  |  | 2009 |  |
|  | 12121 | Wu | Finance | 90000 | FIN-201 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |  |
|  | 15151 | Mozart | Music | 40000 | MU-199 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |  |
|  | 22929 | Cinote | Phyoie | 25000 | ¢10101 | 1 | Foll | 2000 |  |
|  | 32343 | El Said | History | 60000 | HIS-351 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |  |
|  | 45565 | Katz | Comp. Sci. | 75000 | CS-101 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |  |
|  | 45565 | Katz | Comp. Sci. | 75000 | CS-319 | 1 | Spring | 2010 |  |
|  | 76705 | er | Biolugy | 72000 | Bİ-101 |  | Stumite | 2000 |  |
|  | 76766 | C | Pioly | 72000 | -10201 | 1 | Sume | 2010 |  |
|  | 82821 | Prandt | Comp Sei | 20000 | cc 100 | 1 | Spring | 2000 |  |
|  | 83821 | Brandt | Comp Sci | 92000 | CS-190 | 2 | Spring | 2009 |  |
|  | 3821 | Brandt | Comp. Sci. | 92000 | CS-319 | 2 | Spring | 2010 |  |
|  |  | L-3ico | Flee. | 8000 | -10 |  | Spring | 200 |  |

101

## Aggregates: Group By

Find the number of instructors in each department who teach a course in the Spring 2010 semester.

Partial Query 2:
select dept_name, count(*)
from instructor natural join teaches
where semester = 'Spring' and year = 2010
group by dept_name
Doesn't work - double counts "Katz" who teaches twice in Spring 2010

Final:
select dept_name, count(distinct ID)
from instructor natural join teaches
where semester = 'Spring' and year = 2010
group by dept_name

## Aggregates: Group By

Attributes in the select clause must be aggregates, or must appear in the group by clause. Following wouldn't work
select dept_name, ID, avg (salary)
from instructor
group by dept_name;
"having" can be used to select only some of the groups.
select dept_name, ID, avg (salary)
from instructor
group by dept_name
having avg(salary) > 42000;

## CMSC424: Database Design

## Module: Relation Model + SQL

## SQL: Different Types of Joins, and Set Operations

## SQL Querying Basics

- Book Chapters (6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition)
-4.1, 3.5
- Key Topics
- Outer Joins
- Anti-joins, Semi-joins
- Set Operations


## Multi-table Queries

Cartesian product:
select *
from $R, S$

| A | B |  | B | C |  | R.A | R.B | S.B | S.C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 1 |  | 1 | x |  | a | 1 | 1 | x |
| b | 1 | $\times$ | 3 | y | $=$ | a |  | 3 | y |
| c | 2 |  | 4 | z |  | a |  | 4 | z |
| $R$ |  |  |  | $S$ |  | b | 1 | 1 | X |
|  |  |  |  |  | b | 1 | 3 | y |
|  |  |  |  |  | b | 1 | 1 | x |
|  |  |  |  |  | c | 2 | 3 | y |
|  |  |  |  |  | c | 2 | 1 | $x$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | c | 2 | 3 | y |

## Multi-table Queries

Natural Join:
select *
from $R$ natural join $S$

| A | B |  | B | C |  | R.A | B | S.C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 1 | $凶$ | 1 | x | $=$ | a | 1 | X |
| b | 1 |  | 3 | y |  | b | 1 | X |
| c | 2 |  | 4 | z |  |  |  |  |
| $R$ |  | $S$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Equivalent to:
select R.A, R.B, S.C
from $R, S$
where R.B = S.B

Equivalent to:
select R.A, R.B, S.C
from $R$ join $S$ on (R.B = S.B)

Equivalent to:
select R.A, R.B, S.C
from $R$ join $S$ on (B)

## Outer joins: Why?

Natural Join:
select *
from $R$ natural join $S$


Often need the "non-matching" tuples in the result

## "Left" Outerjoin

select *
from $R$ natural left outer join $S$

select *
from $R$ left outer join $S$ on (R.B $=S . B$ )

## "Right" Outerjoin

select *
from $R$ right natural outer join $S$

| A | B |  | B | C |  | R.A | B | S.C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 1 |  | 1 | x |  | a | 1 | x |
| b |  | $凶$ |  | $y$ | $=$ | b | 1 | X |
| c |  |  |  |  |  | NULL | 3 | y |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | NULL | 4 | z |

## select *

from $R$ right outer join $S$ on (R.B $=S . B$ )

## "Full" Outerjoin

select *
from $R$ natural full outer join $S$

| A | B | B | C |  | R.A | B | S.C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 1 | 1 | x | $=$ | a | 1 | X |
| b | 1 |  |  |  | b | 1 | X |
| , |  |  |  |  | c | 2 | NULL |
| $R$ |  |  |  |  | NULL | 3 | y |
|  |  |  |  |  | NULL | 4 | z |

## select *

from $R$ full outer join $S$ on (R.B $=S . B$ )

## Semi-joins

R SEMI-JOIN S = tuples of $R$ that do have a "match" in $S$
Not an SQL keyword, but useful concept to understand - often implemented in database systems as an operator

| A | B | B | C |  | R.A | R.B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 1 | x | $=$ | a | 1 |
| b |  | 3 | y |  | b | 1 |
| c | 2 | 4 | z |  |  |  |

Can be written in SQL as:
select *
from $R$
where $B$ in (select $B$ from $S$ );

## Semi-joins

R SEMI-JOIN $S$ = tuples of $R$ that do have a "match" in $S$
Not an SQL keyword, but useful concept to understand - often implemented in database systems as an operator


## Anti-joins

R ANTI-JOIN S = tuples of R that do NOT have a "match" in S
Not an SQL keyword, but useful concept to understand - often implemented in database systems as an operator

| A | B |  | B | C |  | R.A | R.B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 1 | D | 1 | x | $=$ | C | 2 |
| b | 1 |  | 3 | y |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  | 4 | z |  |  |  |

Can be written in SQL as:
select *
from R
where B not in (select B from S);

## Anti-joins

R ANTI-JOIN S = tuples of R that do NOT have a "match" in S
Not an SQL keyword, but useful concept to understand - often implemented in database systems as an operator

| A | B | D | B | C |  | R.A | R.B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 1 |  | 1 | x | $=$ | C | 2 |
| b | 1 |  | 3 | y |  |  |  |
| c | 2 |  | 4 | z |  |  |  |
| A | B | $\triangleleft$ | B | C | $=$ | S.B | S.C |
| a | 1 |  | 1 | $x$ |  | 3 | y |
| b | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 | z |
| C | 2 |  | $4$ |  |  |  |  |

## Set operations

Find courses that ran in Fall 2009 or Spring 2010
(select course_id from section where semester = 'Fall' and year = 2009) union
(select course_id from section where semester = ‘Spring' and year = 2010);

In both:
(select course_id from section where semester = 'Fall' and year = 2009) intersect
(select course_id from section where semester = ‘Spring’ and year = 2010);

In Fall 2009, but not in Spring 2010:
(select course_id from section where semester = 'Fall' and year = 2009) except
(select course_id from section where semester = ‘Spring' and year = 2010);

## Set operations: Duplicates

Union/Intersection/Except eliminate duplicates in the answer (the other SQL commands don't) (e.g., try 'select dept_name from instructor').

Can use "union all" to retain duplicates.

NOTE: The duplicates are retained in a systematic fashion (for all SQL operations)

Suppose a tuple occurs $m$ times in $r$ and $n$ times in $s$, then, it occurs:

- $m+n$ times in $r$ union all $s$
- $\min (m, n)$ times in $r$ intersect all $s$
- max $(0, m-n)$ times in $r$ except all $s$


## CMSC424: Database Design

## Module: Relation Model + SQL

## SQL: Nested Subqueries

## SQL Nested Subqueries

- Book Chapters (6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition)
- 3.8
- Key Topics
- Subqueries
- Boolean operations with Subqueries


## Nested Subqueries

- A query within a query - can be used in select/from/where and other clauses
select distinct course_id
from section
where semester $=$ 'Fall' and year $=2009$ and
course_id in (select course_id
from section
where semester = 'Spring' and year = 2010);
select dept_name, avg_salary
from (select dept_name, avg (salary) as avg_sataxy
from instructor
group by dept_name)
where avg_salary > 42000;
select dept_name,
select count ${ }^{*}$ )
from instructor
Where department dept name $=$ instructor.dept_name)
as num_instructors
from department;


## Nested Subqueries

## - A query within a query - can be used in select/from/where

 and other clausesselect distinct course_id
from section where semester $=$ 'Fall' and year $=2009$ and course_id in (select course_id
from section
where semester = 'Spring' and year= 2010);

* Correlated subquery - the subquery has a reference to the enclosing query
* For every tuple of department, the subquery returns a different result
Uncorrelated subquery - the subquery makes no reference to the enclosing
queries, and can be evaluated by itself
(select count $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ from instructor
select dept_name,
where department.dept_name = instructor.dept_name)
as num_instructors
from department;


## Set Membership: "IN" and "NOT IN"

```
select distinct course_id
from section
where semester = 'Fall' and year=2009 and
    course_id in (select course_id
        from section
        where semester = 'Spring' and year=2010);
```

Can also be written using Set Intersection
(select course_id from section where semester = 'Fall' and year = 2009) intersect
(select course_id from section where semester = ‘Spring' and year = 2010);

## Set Membership: "IN" and "NOT IN"

Can do this with "tuples" as well:
select count (distinct $I D$ )
from takes
where (course_id, sec_id, semester, year) in (select course_id, sec_id, semester, year from teaches
where teaches. $I D=10101$ );

## Set Comparisons

select name
from instructor
where salary > some (select salary from instructor where dept_name = 'Biology');
select name
from instructor
where salary > all (select salary
from instructor
where dept_name = 'Biology');

## Testing for Empty Results

select course id
from section as $S$
where semester = 'Fall' and year $=2009$ and
exists (select *
from section as $T$
where semester $=$ 'Spring' and year $=2010$ and
S.course $i d=$ T.course_id);

Also: "Not Exists"

## Uniqueness

select T.course_id
from course as $T$
where unique (select $R . c o u r s e \_i d$ from section as $R$ where T.course_id $=$ R.course_id and R.year $=2009$ );

There are usually alternatives to using these constructs
(e.g., group by + having instead of "unique"), but these can
often make queries more readable and more compact

## "With" Clause

Used for creating "temporary" tables within the context of the query
with dept_total (dept_name, value) as
(select dept_name, sum(salary)
from instructor group by dept_name),
dept_total_avg(value) as (select avg(value) from dept_total)
select dept_name
from dept_total, dept_total_avg
where dept_total.value $>=$ dept_total_avg.value;

## Scalar Subqueries

A scalar subquery is one that returns exactly one tuple with exactly one attribute (so typically some sort of aggregate) can be used in "select", "where", and "having" clauses
select dept_name,
(select count ${ }^{*}$ )
from instructor
where department.dept_name $=$ instructor.dept_name)
as num_instructors
from department;
delete from instructor
where salary < (select avg (salary)
from instructor);

## CMSC424: Database Design

## Module: Relation Model + SQL

## SQL: NULLs

Instructor: Amol Deshpande amol@cs.umd.edu

## SQL: NULLs

- Book Chapters (6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition) - 3.6, 3.7.4
- Key Topics
- Operating with NULLs
- "Unknown" as a new Boolean value
- Operating with UNKNOWNs
- Aggregates and NULLs


## SQL: Nulls

Can cause headaches for query semantics as well as query processing and optimization)

Can be a value of any attribute
e.g: branch $=$

| bname | bcity | assets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downtown | Boston | 9 M |
| Perry | Horseneck | 1.7 M |
| Mianus | Horseneck | .4 M |
| Waltham | Boston | NULL |

What does this mean?
(unknown) We don't know Waltham's assets?
(inapplicable) Waltham has a special kind of account without assets
(withheld) We are not allowed to know

## SQL: Nulls

Arithmetic Operations with Null
$\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{NULL}=\mathrm{NULL} \quad$ (similarly for all arithmetic ops: $+,-, *, 1, \bmod , \ldots)$
e.g: branch $=$

| bname | bcity | assets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downtown | Boston | 9 M |
| Perry | Horseneck | 1.7 M |
| Mianus | Horseneck | .4 M |
| Waltham | Boston | NULL |

SELECT bname, assets * 2 as a2 = FROM branch

Counter-intuitive: NULL * $0=$ NULL

| bname | a2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Downtown | 18 M |
| Perry | 3.4 M |
| Mianus | .8 M |
| Waltham | NULL |

## SQL: Nulls

## Boolean Operations with Null

$\mathrm{n}<$ NULL $=$ UNKNOWN (similarly for all boolean ops: $>,<=,>=,<>,=, \ldots$ )
e.g: branch $=$

| bname | bcity | assets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downtown | Boston | 9 M |
| Perry | Horseneck | 1.7 M |
| Mianus | Horseneck | .4 M |
| Waltham | Boston | NULL |

assets $<10 \mathrm{M}$ will evaluate to UNKNOWN for the last tuple

But what about:
(assets < 10M) or (bcity = 'Boston') ?
(assets $<10 \mathrm{M}$ ) and (bcity = 'Boston')?
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## SQL: Unknown

FALSE OR UNKNOWN = UNKNOWN UNKNOWN OR UNKNOWN = UNKNOWN
TRUE AND UNKNOWN $=$ UNKNOWN UNKNOWN AND UNKNOWN $=$ UNKNOWN
FALSE AND UNKNOWN = FALSE NOT (UNKNOWN) = UNKNOWN
TRUE OR UNKNOWN = TRUE
Intuition: substitute each of TRUE, FALSE for unknown. If different answer results, results is unknown

| Values | Expression | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x=$ NULL, $y=10$ | $(x<10)$ and $(y=20)$ | UNKNOWN and FALSE $=$ FALSE |
| $x=$ NULL, $y=10$ | $(x$ is NULL $)$ and $(y=20)$ | TRUE and FALSE $=$ FALSE |
| $x=$ NULL, $y=10$ | $(x<10)$ and $(y=10)$ | UNKNOWN and TRUE $=$ UNKNOWN |
| $x=$ NULL, $y=10$ | $(x<10)$ is UNKNOWN | TRUE |
| $x=$ NULL, $y=10$ | $((x<10)$ is UNKNOWN $)$ and $(y=10)$ | TRUE AND TRUE $=$ TRUE |
|  | UNKNOWN tuples are not included in final result |  |

## Aggregates and NULLs

## Given

 branch $=$| bname | bcity | assets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Downtown | Boston | 9 M |
| Perry | Horseneck | 1.7 M |
| Mianus | Horseneck | .4 M |
| Waltham | Boston | NULL |

## Aggregate Operations

| SUM |
| :---: |
| 11.1 M |

NULL is ignored for SUM
Same for AVG (3.7M), MIN (0.4M),
MAX (9M)
Also for COUNT(assets) -- returns 3

But COUNT (*) returns


## Aggregates and NULLs

Given
branch $=$ $\qquad$
bname $\quad$ bcity assets

SELECT SUM (assets) =
FROM branch

## SUM

NULL

- Same as AVG, MIN, MAX
- But COUNT (assets) returns


## COUNT

0

## CMSC424: Database Design

## Module: Relation Model + SQL

## SQL: Transactions, Functions, Procedures, Recursive Queries, Authorization

Instructor: Amol Deshpande amol@cs.umd.edu

## Miscellaneous SQL

- Book Chapters (6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition)
- Sections 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5.1
- Mostly at a high level
- See Assignment 2
- Key topics
- Transactions
- Ranking over relations or results
- Recursion in SQL (makes SQL Turing Complete)
- Functions and Procedures
- Triggers


## Ranking

- Ranking is done in conjunction with an order by specification.
- Consider: student_grades(ID, GPA)
- Find the rank of each student.
select ID, rank() over (order by GPA desc) as s_rank
from student_grades
order by s_rank
- Equivalent to:
select ID, (1 + (select count ${ }^{*}$ )
from student_grades $B$
where B.GPA > A.GPA)) as s_rank
from student_grades $A$ order by s_rank;


## Window Functions

- Similar to "Group By" - allows a calculation over "related" tuples
- Unlike aggregates, does not "group" them - rather rows remain separate from each other

```
SELECT depname, empno, salary, avg(salary) OVER (PARTITION BY depname) FROM empsalary;
```

| depname | empno \| | salary | avg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| develop | 11 | 5200 | 5020.0000000000000000 |
| develop | 7 | 4200 | 5020.0000000000000000 |
| develop | 9 | 4500 | 5020.0000000000000000 |
| develop | 8 | 6000 | 5020.0000000000000000 |
| develop | 10 | 5200 | 5020.0000000000000000 |
| personnel | 5 | 3500 | 3700.0000000000000000 |
| personnel | 2 | 3900 | 3700.0000000000000000 |
| sales | 3 | 4800 | $4866.6666666666666667 ~$ |
| sales | 1 | 5000 | $4866.6666666666666667 ~$ |
| sales | 4 | 4800 | 4866.6666666666666667 |
| (10 rows) |  |  |  |

## Recursion in SQL

- Example: find which courses are a prerequisite, whether directly or indirectly, for a specific course
with recursive rec_prereq(oourse_id, prereq_id) as (
select course_Id,prereq_id
from prereq union
selectree_prereq.course_id, prereq.prereq_id,
from rec_rereq, pereq
where rec_prereq.prereq_id = prereq.course_id
select *
from rec_prereq;

Makes SQL Turing Complete (i.e., you can write any program in SQL)

## SQL Functions

- Function to count number of instructors in a department create function dept_count (dept_name varchar(20)) returns integer
begin
declare d_count integer;
select count (*) into d_count
from instructor
where instructor.dept_name $=$ dept_name
return d_count;
end
- Can use in queries
select dept_name, budget from department where dept_count (dept_name) > 12


## SQL Procedures

- Same function as a procedure create procedure dept_count_proc (in dept_name varchar(20), out d_count integer)
begin
select count (*) into d_count
from instructor
where instructor.dept_name = dept_count_proc.dept_name
end
- But use differently:
declare d_count integer;
call dept_count_proc( 'Physics', d_count);
- HOWEVER: Syntax can be wildly different across different systems
- Was put in place by DBMS systems before standardization
- Hard to change once customers are already using it


## SQL Functions/Procedures

- Stored procedures widely used in practice
- Many benefits including reusability, better performance (reduce back and forth to the DB)
- Most database systems support multiple langauges
- Purely SQL $\rightarrow$ Fully procedural (e.g., C, etc)
- PostgreSQL supports SQL, C, PL/pgSQL
- Note PostgreSQL 10 (that we use) does not support PROCEDURE, only FUNCTION

```
CREATE FUNCTION c_overpaid (EMP, INTEGER) RETURNS BOOLEAN AS '
    DECLARE
                emprec ALIAS FOR $1;
                sallim ALIAS FOR $2;
                BEGIN
                    IF emprec.salary ISNULL THEN
                    RETURN ''f'';
                END IF;
                RETURN emprec.salary > sallim;
        END;
    LANGUAGE 'plpgsql';
```


## Triggers

- A trigger is a statement that is executed automatically by the system as a side effect of a modification to the database.
- Suppose that instead of allowing negative account balances, the bank deals with overdrafts by

1. setting the account balance to zero
2. creating a loan in the amount of the overdraft
3. giving this loan a loan number identical to the account number of the overdrawn account

## Trigger Example in SQL:1999

create trigger overdraft-trigger after update on account referencing new row as nrow for each row
when nrow.balance < 0 begin atomic
actions to be taken
end

## Trigger Example in SQL:1999

## create trigger overdraft-trigger after update on account

 referencing new row as nrow for each row when nrow.balance < 0 begin atomicinsert into borrower
(select customer-name, account-number
from depositor
where nrow.account-number = depositor.account-number); insert into loan values
(nrow.account-number, nrow.branch-name, nrow.balance); update account set balance $=0$
where account.account-number = nrow.account-number end

## PostgreSQL Trigger Syntax

```
CREATE [ CONSTRAINT ] TRIGGER name { BEFORE | AFTER | INSTEAD OF } { evont [ OR ... ] }
    ON table_name
    [ FROM referenced_table_name ]
    [ NOT DEFERRABLE | [ DEFERRABLE ] [ INITIALLY IMMEDIATE | INITIALLY DEFERRED ] ]
    [ REFERENCING { { OLD | NEW } TABLE [ AS ] transition_relation_name } [ ... ] ]
    [ FOR [ EACH ] { ROW | STATEMENT } ]
    [ WHEN ( condition ) ]
    EXECUTE { FUNCTION | PROCEDURE } function_name (arguments )
where event can be one of:
```

    INSERT
    UPDATE [ OF column_name [, ...] ]
    DELETE
    TRUNCATE
    
## Triggers.

- External World Actions

How does the DB order something if the inventory is low?

- Syntax
- Every system has its own syntax
- Careful with triggers
- Cascading triggers, Infinite Sequences...
- More Info/Examples:
- http://www.adp-gmbh.ch/ora/sal/create trigger.html
- Google: "create trigger" oracle download-uk


## Transactions

- A transaction is a sequence of queries and update statements executed as a single unit
- Transactions are started implicitly and terminated by one of
- commit work: makes all updates of the transaction permanent in the database
- rollback work: undoes all updates performed by the transaction.
- Motivating example
- Transfer of money from one account to another involves two steps:
- deduct from one account and credit to another
- If one steps succeeds and the other fails, database is in an inconsistent state
- Therefore, either both steps should succeed or neither should
- If any step of a transaction fails, all work done by the transaction can be undone by rollback work.
- Rollback of incomplete transactions is done automatically, in case of system failures


## Transactions (Cont.)

- In most database systems, each SQL statement that executes successfully is automatically committed.
- Each transaction would then consist of only a single statement
- Automatic commit can usually be turned off, allowing multistatement transactions, but how to do so depends on the database system
- Another option in SQL:1999: enclose statements within begin atomic end


## CMSC424: Database Design

## Aside

## Anatomy of a Web Application

## Anatomy of a Web Application

- Book Chapters (6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition)
- Sections 9.1, 9.2, 9.3.5, 9.3.6, 9.4.3
- Much not covered in depth in the book, but lot of good tutorials on the web
- Key Topics
- How Web Applications Work
- Some of the underlying technologies
- REST


## Application Architecture Evolution

- Three distinct eras of application architecture
- Mainframe (1960's and 70's)
- Personal computer era (1980' s)
- Web era (mid 1990' s onwards)
- Web and Smartphone era (2010 onwards)

(a) Mainframe Era

(b) Personal Computer Era

(c) Web era


## Web or Mobile Applications

- Web browsers and mobile applications have become de facto standard user interface
- Wide cross-platform accessibility
- No need to download something
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## What runs where?

Flask, Django, Tomcat, Node.js, and others

- Accept requests from the client and pass to the application server

browser


1. Web Browser (Firefox, Chrome, Safari, Edge)
2. HTML to render webpages
3. Javascript for "client-side scripting" (running code in your browser without contacting the server)
4. Flash (not supported much - too much security risk)
5. Java "applets" - less common today

- PostgreSQL, Oracle, SQL Server, Amazon RDS (Relational Databases)
- MongoDB (Document/JSON databases)
- SQLite --- not typically for production environments
- Pretty much any database can be used...


## Some Key Technologies

- HTML
- Controls display of content on webpages
- HTTP/HTTPS, Sessions, Cookies
- How "clients" connect to "servers"
- Server-side vs client-side scripting
- Some processing happens on the server, but increasingly on the client (though Javascript)
- REST, SOAP, GraphQL
- Protocols for "clients" to requests things from the "servers" (or for two web services to talk to each other)
- Web APIs (typically REST or GraphQL)
- Some services available on the Web


## REST

- Representation State Transfer: use standard HTTP requests to execute a request (against a web or application server) and return data
- Technically REST is a software architectural style -- APIs that conform to it are called RESTful APIs
- How REST uses the five standard HTTP request types:
- POST: Invoke the method that corresponds to the URL, typically with data that is sent with the request
- GET: Retrieve the data (no data sent with the request)
- PUT: Reverse of GET
- PATCH: Update some data
- DELETE: Delete the data

As someone on Stackoverflow put it: "REST is the way HTTP should be used."

- Alternative: GraphQL -- uses HTTP POST calls, where the body of the call tells the web server what needs to be done


## REST - GET Calls



## REST Example: Twitter

## API reference contents

## GET /2/tweets/:id (lookup by single ID)

Returns a variety of information about a single Tweet specified by the requested ID.

## Run in Postman )

## Endpoint URL

https://api.twitter.com/2/tweets/:id
Authentication and rate limits

$\left.$| Authentication methods |
| :--- | :--- |
| supported by this endpoint | | OAuth 2.0 Bearer token |
| :--- |
| OAuth 1.0a User context | \right\rvert\, | Rate limit | 300 requests per 15-minute window (app auth) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 900 requests per 15-minute window (user auth) |

## CMSC424: Database Design

## Module: Relational Model + SQL

## SQL and Programming Languages

Instructor: Amol Deshpande amol@umd.edu

## SQL and Programming Languages

- Book Chapters (6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition)
- Sections 5.1, 9.4.2
- Key Topics
- Why use a programming language
- Embedded SQL vs OBDC/JDBC
- Object-relational impedance mismatch
- Object-relational Mapping Frameworks


## SQL and Programming Languages

- Programmers/developers more comfortable using a programming language like Java, Python, etc.
- SQL not natural for many things
- Performance issues in going back and forth to the database
- Need to deal with impedance mismatch between:
- how data is represented in memory (typically as objects)
- how it is stored (typically in a "normalized" relational schema)
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## Option 1: JDBC/ODBC

- Use a standard protocol like JDBC (Java Database Connectivity) to talk to the database from the programming language

```
>>> import jaydebeapi
>>> conn = jaydebeapi.connect("org.hsqldb.jdbcDriver",
                                    "jdbc:hsqldb:mem:.",
                                    ["SA", ""],
                                    "/path/to/hsqldb.jar",)
>> curs = conn.cursor()
>>> curs.execute('create table CUSTOMER'
                '("CUST_ID" INTEGER not null,'
                ' "NAME" VARCHAR(50) not null,'
                primary key ("CUST_ID"))'
                )
>>> curs.execute("insert into CUSTOMER values (1, 'John')")
>> curs.execute("select * from CUSTOMER")
>>> curs.fetchall()
[(1, u'John')]
>>> curs.close()
>>> conn.close()
```

- Doesn't solve impedance mismatch problem
- Have to convert from the "result tuples" into "objects" and vice versa (when updating)

```
Import java.sql.*i
public class JDBCExample
    public static void main(String[] argv) {
    System.out.println("------ PostgreSQL" + "JDBC Connection Testing -------------);
    try {
        Class.forName("org.postgresql.Driver");
    } catch (ClassNotFoundException e) {
        System.out.println("Where is your PostgreSQL JDBC Driver? " + "Include in your library path!");
        e.printStackTrace();
        return;
    }
    System.out.println("PostgreSQL JDBC Driver Registered!");
    Connection connection = null;
    try {
        connection = DriverManager.getConnection("jdbc:postgresql://localhost:5432/olympics","vagrant", "vagrant");
    } catch (SQLException e) {
        System.out.println("Connection Failed! Check output console")
        e.printStackTrace();
        return;
    }
    if (connection != null) {
        System.out.println("You made it, take control your database now!");
    } else {
        System.out.println("Failed to make connection!");
        return;
    }
    Statement stmt = null;
    String query = "select * from players;";
    try {
            stmt = connection.createStatement();
            ResultSet rs = stmt.executeQuery(query);
            while (rs.next()) {
                String name = rs.getString("name");
                System.out.println(name + "\t");
            }
            stmt.close();
        } catch (SQLException e ) {
            System.out.println(e);
    }
}
```


## Option 1: JDBC/ODBC

- WARNING: always use prepared statements when taking an input from the user and adding it to a query (Related to the issue of SQL Injection attacks)
- NEVER create a query by concatenating strings

。 "insert into instructor values(' " + ID + "', ' " + name + "', " + " ' + dept name + " ', " ' balance + ')"

- What if name is "D'Souza"?

```
PreparedStatement pStmt = conn.prepareStatement("insert into instructor
values(?,?,?,?)");
pStmt.setString(1, "88877");
pStmt.setString(2, "Perry");
pStmt.setString(3, "Finance");
pStmt.setInt(4, 125000);
pStmt.executeUpdate();
pStmt.setString(1, "88878");
pStmt.executeUpdate();
```

- Python psycopg2 also has its own way of doing prepared statements

```
cur = conn.cursor()
```

for $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ in parameters:
cur.execute( "select * from tables where $\mathrm{i}=\%$ s and $\mathrm{j}=\% \mathrm{~s}$ ", ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ )
for record in cur: do_something_with(record)

## Option 1: JDBC/ODBC

- JDBC Features
- Getting schemas, columns, primary keys
- DatabaseMetaData dbmd = conn.getMetaData()
- Transaction control
- conn.commit(), conn.rollback()
- Calling functions and procedures
- ODBC: Open Database Connectivity Standard
- Similar in many ways
- Older - designed by Microsoft and typically used in C, C++, like languages
- Java supports as well but slower


## Option 2: Embedded SQL

- SQL standard defines embeddings of SQL in a variety of programming languages such as C, C++, Java, Fortran, and PL/1
- The language in which embedded is call "host" language

```
C++
int main() {
    EXEC SQL INCLUDE SQLCA;
    EXEC SQL BEGIN DECLARE SECTION;
        int OrderID; (* Employee ID (from user)
        /* Employee Io (from user)
        char SalesPerson[10] /* Retrieved salesperson name
        char Status[6] /* Retrieved order status
    EXEC SQL END DECLARE SECTION;
    /* Set up error processing */
    EXEC SQL WHENEVER SQLERROR GOTO query_error;
    EXEC SQL WHENEVER NOT FOUND GOTO bad_number;
    /* Prompt the user for order number */
    printf ("Enter order number: ");
    scanf_s("%d", &OrderID);
    /* Execute the SQL query */
    EXEC SQL SELECT CustID, SalesPerson, Status
            FROM Orders
            WHERE OrderID = :OrderID
            INTO :CustID, :SalesPerson, :Status;
    /* Display the results */
    printf ("Customer number: %d\n", CustID);
    printf ("Salesperson: %s\n", SalesPerson);
    printf ("Status: %s\n", Status)
    exit();
```


## Option 2: Embedded SQL

- SQL standard defines embeddings of SQL in a variety of programming languages such as C, C++, Java, Fortran, and PL/1
- The language in which embedded is call "host" language
- Needs compiler support for the host language
- The compiler needs to know what to do with the EXEC SQL commands
- Hard to port
- Doesn't solve impedance mismatch problem
- Have to convert from the "result tuples" into "objects" and vice versa (when updating)
- Not a preferred approach today


## Option 3: Custom Libraries

- Often there are vendor-specific libraries that sometimes use internal protocols (and not JDBC/ODBC)
- e.g., python psycopg2 for PostgreSQL - although similar to JDBC calls, it uses the same proprietary protocol that 'psql' uses

```
conn = psycopg2.connect("dbname=olympics user=vagrant")
cur = conn.cursor()
totalscore = 0
for i in range(0, 14):
    # If a query is specified by -q option, only do that one
    if args.query is None or args.query == i:
        try:
            if interactive:
                            os.system('clear')
            print("========== Executing Query {}".format(i))
            print(queries[i])
            cur.execute(queries[i])
            if i not in [5, 6, 8, 9]:
            ans = cur.fetchall()
                print("
```

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
for t in ans:
print(t)
print("*)

```

\section*{Option 4: Object-relational Mappers}
- Aimed at solving the impedance mismatch

Primarily for Web Application Development
- The ORM takes care of the mapping between objects and the database

Although largely designed around RDBMS, some ORMs support other databases as well
- The programmer works with objects, and never directly sees the SQL
- Has pros (easier to use) and cons (performance and correctness issues)
- ORMs typically work with "Entities/Objects" and "Relationships"
- Aligns well with the ER model that we will discuss next
- We will cover Django constructs in more detail
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\section*{Option 5: Other Mappers}
- Many other "wrappers" on top of relational databases that offer different functionalities
- In some cases, operations written in a higher-level language mapped to SQL
- like what we saw for ORMs
- Microsoft LINQ is also similar
- Allows intermixing of code mapped to SQL and other code

In some cases, used to provide alternate data models to users
- e.g., a thin layer that provides a graph data model, but stores data in a relational database
- Most "RDF" databases built on top of SQL databases
- In today's big data ecosystem, we see many many permutations how different tools (including databases) are combined together

\section*{CMSC424: Database Design}

\section*{Module: Relation Model + SQL}

\section*{Relational Algebra}

Instructor: Amol Deshpande amol@umd.edu

\section*{Relational Operations}
- Book Chapters (6 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Edition)
- 2.5, 2.6, 6.1.1-6.1.3 (expanded treatment of 2.5, 2.6)
- Key Topics
- Relational query languages and what purpose they serve
- Basic unary and binary relational operations
- Mapping between relational operations and SQL

\section*{Relational Query Languages}
- Example schema: \(R(A, B)\)
- Practical languages
- SQL
- select \(A\) from \(R\) where \(B=5\);

Datalog (sort of practical)
- \(q(A)\) :- \(R(A, 5)\)
- Formal languages
- Relational algebra
\[
\pi_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{B}=5}(R)\right)
\]
- Tuple relational calculus
\(\{t:\{A\} \mid \exists s:\{A, B\}(R(A, B) \wedge s . B=5)\}\)
Domain relational calculus
- Similar to tuple relational calculus

\section*{Relational Operations}
- Some of the languages are "procedural" and provide a set of operations
- Each operation takes one or two relations as input, and produces a single relation as output
Examples: SQL, and Relational Algebra
- The "non-procedural" (also called "declarative") languages specify the output, but don't specify the operations
- Relational calculus
- Datalog (used as an intermediate layer in quite a few systems today)

\section*{Relational Algebra}
- Procedural language
- Six basic operators
- select
- project
- union
- set difference
- Cartesian product
- rename
- The operators take one or more relations as inputs and give a new relation as a result.

\section*{Select Operation}

Relation r
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline A & B & C & D \\
\hline\(\alpha\) & \(\alpha\) & 1 & 7 \\
\(\alpha\) & \(\beta\) & 5 & 7 \\
\(\beta\) & \(\beta\) & 12 & 3 \\
\(\beta\) & \(\beta\) & 23 & 10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


SQL Equivalent:
select distinct *
from r
where \(A=B\) and \(D>5\)

\section*{Project}

Relation r
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline A & B & C & D \\
\hline \hline\(\alpha\) & \(\alpha\) & 1 & 7 \\
\(\alpha\) & \(\beta\) & 5 & 7 \\
\(\beta\) & \(\beta\) & 12 & 3 \\
\(\beta\) & \(\beta\) & 23 & 10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{\pi}\) A,D


SQL Equivalent:
select distinct \(A, D\)
from \(r\)

\section*{Set Union, Difference}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Relation r, s} & A & B & A & B & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\(\mathrm{r} \cup \mathrm{s}\) :} & A & B & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\(\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{s}\) :} & A & B \\
\hline & \(\alpha\)
\(\alpha\) & 1 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha \\
& \beta
\end{aligned}
\] & 2
3 & & \(\alpha\)
\(\alpha\) & 1
2 & & \(\alpha\)
\(\beta\) & 1 \\
\hline & \(\beta\) & 1 & s & & & \(\beta\) & 1 & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & 3 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Must be compatible schemas

What about intersection?
Can be derived
\(r \cap s=r-(r-s) ;\)

SQL Equivalent:
\[
\text { select * from } r
\]
union/except/intersect select * from s;

This is one case where duplicates are removed.

\section*{Cartesian Product}

Relation r , s
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline A & B & C & D & E \\
\hline \(\alpha\) & 1 & \(\alpha\) & 10 & a \\
\hline & 2 & \(\beta\) & 10 & a \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{r}} & \(\beta\) & 20 & b \\
\hline & & Y & 10 & b \\
\hline & & & s & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(\mathrm{r} \times \mathrm{s}\) : & A & B & C & D & E \\
\hline & \(\alpha\) & 1 & a & 10 & a \\
\hline & \(\alpha\) & 1 & \(\beta\) & 10 & a \\
\hline & \(\alpha\) & 1 & \(\beta\) & 20 & b \\
\hline & 人 & 1 & v & 10 & b \\
\hline & \(\beta\) & 2 & \(\alpha\) & 10 & a \\
\hline & \(\beta\) & 2 & \(\beta\) & 10 & a \\
\hline & \(\beta\) & 2 & \(\beta\) & 20 & b \\
\hline & \(\beta\) & 2 & V & 10 & b \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SQL Equivalent:
select distinct *
from \(r\), s

Does not remove duplicates.

\section*{Rename Operation}
- Allows us to name, and therefore to refer to, the results of relational-algebra expressions.
- Allows us to refer to a relation by more than one name.

Example:
\[
\rho_{x}(E)
\]
returns the expression \(E\) under the name \(X\)

If a relational-algebra expression \(E\) has arity \(n\), then
\[
\rho_{X(A 1, A 2, \ldots, A n)}(E)
\]
returns the result of expression \(E\) under the name \(X\), and with the attributes renamed to \(A_{1}, A 2, \ldots ., A n\).

\section*{Relational Algebra}
- Those are the basic operations
- What about SQL Joins ?
- Compose multiple operators together
\[
\sigma_{A=C}(r \times s)
\]
- Additional Operations
- Set intersection
- Natural join
- Division
- Assignment

\section*{Additional Operators}
- Set intersection ( n )
- \(r \cap s=r-(r-s) ;\)
- SQL Equivalent: intersect
- Assignment \((\leftarrow)\)
- A convenient way to right complex RA expressions
- Essentially for creating "temporary" relations
- temp1 \(\leftarrow \prod_{R-S}(r)\)
- SQL Equivalent: "create table as..."

\section*{Additional Operators: Joins}
- Natural join ( \(\bowtie\) )
- A Cartesian product with equality condition on common attributes
- Example:
- if \(r\) has schema \(R(A, B, C, D)\), and if \(s\) has schema \(S(E, B, D)\)
- Common attributes: \(B\) and \(D\)
- Then:
\[
r \bowtie s=\prod_{r . A, r . B, r . C, r . D, s . E}\left(\sigma_{r . B}=s . B \wedge r . D=s . D(r \times s)\right.
\]
- SQL Equivalent:
- select r.A, r.B, r.C, r.D, s.E from r, s where r.B = s.B and r.D = s.D, OR
- select * from r natural join s

\section*{Additional Operators: Joins}
- Equi-join
- A join that only has equality conditions
- Theta-join \(\left(\bowtie_{\theta}\right)\)
- \(r \bowtie_{\theta} s=\sigma_{\theta}(r x s)\)
- Left outer join ( \(\bowtie\) )
- Say \(r(A, B), s(B, C)\)
- We need to somehow find the tuples in \(r\) that have no match in \(s\)
- Consider: \(\left(r-\pi_{r . A, ~ r . B}(r \bowtie s)\right)\)

We are done:
\[
(\mathrm{r} \bowtie \mathrm{~s}) \quad \cup \quad \rho_{\text {temp }(A, B, C)}\left(\left(\mathrm{r}-\pi_{\mathrm{r} \cdot \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{r} .}(\mathrm{r} \bowtie \mathrm{~s})\right) \quad \times\{(\mathrm{NULL})\}\right)
\]

\section*{Additional Operators: Join Variations}
- Tables: r(A, B), s(B, C)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline name & Symbol & SQL Equivalent & RA expression \\
\hline cross product & \(\times\) & select * from r, s; & \(r \times s\) \\
\hline natural join & \(\bowtie\) & natural join & \(\pi_{\text {r.A, r. } . \text {, s. }} \sigma_{\text {r.B }}=\) s.B \((r x s)\) \\
\hline theta join & \(\bowtie_{\theta}\) & from .. where \(\theta\); & \(\sigma_{\theta}(r \times s)\) \\
\hline equi-join & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\(\bowtie_{\theta} \quad\) (theta must be equality)} \\
\hline left outer join & \(r \triangle s\) & left outer join (with "on") & (see previous slide) \\
\hline full outer join & \(r D S\) & full outer join (with "on") & - \\
\hline (left) semijoin & \(r \ltimes s\) & none & \(\pi_{r . A, ~ r . B}(\mathrm{r} \bowtie \mathrm{s})\) \\
\hline (left) antijoin & \(r \triangleright s\) & none & \(r-\pi_{r . A, ~ r ~}^{\text {r }}\) ( \((\mathrm{r} \bowtie \mathrm{s})\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Example Query}

Find the largest salary in the university
Step 1: find instructor salaries that are less than some other instructor salary (i.e. not maximum)
using a copy of instructor under a new name \(d\)
- \(\Pi_{\text {instructor.salary }}\left(\sigma_{\text {instructor.salary }}\right.\) < \(d\),salary (instructor \(x \rho_{d}\) (instructor)))

Step 2: Find the largest salary
- \(\Pi_{\text {salary }}\) (instructor) -
\(\prod_{\text {instructor.salary }}\) ( \(\sigma\) instructor.salary \(<d\),salary (instructor \(x \rho_{d}\) (instructor)))

\section*{Example Queries}

Find the names of all instructors in the Physics department, along with the course_id of all courses they have taught
- Query 1
\(\prod_{\text {instructor.ID,course_id }}\) ( \(\sigma_{\text {dept_name }}\) "Physics" \((\)
\(\sigma\) instructor.ID=teaches.ID (instructor x teaches)))
- Query 2
\(\prod_{\text {instructor.ID,course_id }}\) ( \(\sigma_{\text {instructor.ID=teaches.ID }}\) (
\(\sigma\) dept_name="Physics" (instructor) x teaches))

\section*{CMSC424: Database Design}

\section*{Module: Relation Model + SQL}

\section*{SQL "Multi-Set/Bag" Semantics}

\section*{Relational Operations}
- Book Chapters (6 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Edition)
- Multiset Relational Algebra Paragraph (Section 6.1, page 238)
- Key Topics
- SQL"Bag"/"Multiset" Semantics
- Operations on multisets

\section*{Duplicates}
- By definition, relations are sets
- So \(\rightarrow\) No duplicates allowed
- Problem:
- Not practical to remove duplicates after every operation
- Why?
- So...
- SQL by default does not remove duplicates
- SQL follows bag semantics, not set semantics
- Implicitly we keep count of number of copies of each tuple

\section*{Formal Semantics of SQL}
-RA can only express SELECT DISTINCT queries
- To express SQL, must extend RA to a bag algebra
\(\rightarrow\) Bags (aka: multisets) like sets, but can have duplicates
e.g: \(\{5,3,3\}\)
e.g: homes \(=\)\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline cname & ccity \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
Johnson \\
Smith \\
Johnson \\
Smith
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Brighton \\
Perry \\
Brighton \\
R.H.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- Next: will define RA*: a bag version of RA

\section*{Formal Semantics of SQL: RA*}
1. \(\sigma_{p}^{*}(r)\) : preserves copies in \(r\)
e.g: \(\sigma^{*}{ }_{\text {city }}=\) Brighton \((\) homes \()=\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline cname & ccity \\
\hline Johnson & Brighton \\
Johnson & Brighton \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
2. \(\pi^{*} \mathrm{~A} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{An}(\mathrm{r})\) : no duplicate elimination
e.g: \(\pi *{ }_{\text {cname }}(\) homes \()=\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline cname \\
\hline Johnson \\
Smith \\
Johnson \\
Smith \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Formal Semantics of SQL: RA*
3. \(r \cup^{*} s: \quad\) additive union
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \(\mathbf{A}\) & \(\mathbf{B}\) \\
\hline 1 & \(\alpha\) \\
1 & \(\alpha\) \\
2 & \(\beta\) \\
\hline \(\mathbf{r}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}\(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \(\mathbf{A}\) & \(\mathbf{B}\) \\
\hline 2 & \(\beta\) \\
3 & \(\alpha\) \\
1 & \(\alpha\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}\(=\)\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \(\mathbf{A}\) & \(\mathbf{B}\) \\
\hline 1 & \(\alpha\) \\
1 & \(\alpha\) \\
2 & \(\beta\) \\
2 & \(\beta\) \\
3 & \(\alpha\) \\
1 & \(\alpha\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
4. r -* s: bag difference
\[
\text { e.g. } \quad \mathrm{r}-* \mathrm{~s}=\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\
\hline 1 & \alpha \\
\hline
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{~s}-* \mathrm{r}=\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\
\hline 3 & \alpha \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\section*{Formal Semantics of SQL: RA*}
5. \(r \times{ }^{*} \mathrm{~s}: \quad\) cartesian product
\[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\
\hline 1 & \alpha \\
1 & \alpha \\
2 & \beta
\end{array} \quad \times * \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \mathbf{C} \\
\hline+ \\
- \\
\hline
\end{array} \quad=\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \\
1 & \alpha & + \\
1 & \alpha & + \\
1 & \alpha & - \\
2 & \beta & + \\
2 & \beta & - \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\section*{Formal Semantics of SQL}

Query：
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
SELECT & \(a_{1}, \ldots .\), & \(a_{n}\) \\
FROM & \(r_{1}\), & \(\ldots .\), & \(r_{m}\) \\
WHERE & \(p\) & &
\end{tabular}

Semantics：\(\pi^{*}{ }_{A 1}, \ldots\), An \(\left(\sigma_{p}^{*}\left(r_{1} \times{ }^{*} \ldots \times{ }^{*} r_{m}\right)\right)\)

Query： \(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { SELECT DISTINCT } & a_{1}, & \ldots ., & a_{n} \\ \text { FROM } \\ \text { WHERE } & r_{1}, & \ldots ., & r_{m} \\ & p & & \end{array}\)
Semantics：What is the only operator to change in（1）？
\[
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\mathrm{A} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{An}}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{r}_{1} \times{ }^{*} \ldots \times{ }^{*} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
\]

\section*{Set／Bag Operations Revisited}
－Set Operations
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline － & UNION & \(\equiv \mathrm{U}\) & UNION ALL & 三 U＊ \\
\hline － & INTERSECT & 三 \(\cap\) & INTERSECT ALL & \(\equiv \cap^{*}\) \\
\hline － & EXCEPT & & EXCEPT ALL & 三 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Duplicate Counting：
Given \(m\) copies of \(t\) in \(r, n\) copies of \(t\) in \(s\) ，how many copies of \(t\) in：
\(r\) UNION ALL s？
A：\(m+n\)
\(r\) INTERSECT ALL \(s ?\)
A： \(\min (m, n)\)
\(r\) EXCEPT ALL \(s ?\)
A： \(\max (0, m-n)\)
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Instructor: Amol Deshpande amol@umd.edu

\section*{SQL Views}
- Book Chapters (6 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Edition)
- 3.8, 4.6
- Key Topics
- Defining Views and Use Cases
- Difference between a view and a table
- Updating a view
- Authorization

\section*{Views}
- Provide a mechanism to hide certain data from the view of certain users. To create a view we use the command:
create view vas <query expression>
where:
<query expression> is any legal expression
The view name is represented by \(v\)
- Can be used in any place a normal table can be used
- For users, there is no distinction in terms of using it

\section*{Example Queries}
- A view consisting of courses and sections for Physics in Fall 2009 create view physics_fall_2009 as
select course.course_id, sec_id, building, room_number from course, section
where course.course_id \(=\) section.course_id
and course.dept_name = 'Physics'
and section.semester \(=\) 'Fall'
and section.year \(=\) '2009';

Find all physics fall 2009 courses in a building.
select course_id
from physics_fall_2009
where building = 'Watson';

\section*{Views}
- Is it different from DBMS's side ?
- Yes; a view may or may not be materialized
- Pros/Cons ?
- Updates into views have to be treated differently - In most cases, disallowed.

\section*{Views vs Tables}
\begin{tabular}{||l|l|l|}
\hline Creating & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Create view V \\
as (select * \\
from A, B \\
where ...)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Create table T \\
as (select * \\
from A, B \\
where ...)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Can be used & \begin{tabular}{l} 
In any select query. \\
Only some update queries.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
It's a new table. \\
You can do what you want.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Maintained as & \begin{tabular}{l} 
1. Evaluate the query and store \\
it on disk as if a table. \\
2. Don't store. Substitute in \\
queries when referenced.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
It's a new table. \\
Stored on disk.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
What if a tuple \\
inserted in A?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
1. If stored on disk, the stored \\
table is automatically \\
updated to be accurate.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
T is a separate table; there \\
is no reason why DBMS \\
should keep it updated. If \\
you want that, you must \\
define a trigger.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{l} 
2. If we are just substituting, \\
there is no need to do \\
anything.
\end{tabular}\(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
(
\end{tabular}

\section*{Views vs Tables}
- Views strictly supercede "create a table and define a trigger to keep it updated"
- Two main reasons for using them:
- Security/authorization
- Can provide a user with "read" access to only the view
- Ease of writing queries
- E.g. PresidentStateReturns, or a view listing who won which state
- Perhaps the only reason to create a table is to force the DBMS to choose the option of "materializing"
- That has efficiency advantages in some cases
- Especially if the underlying tables don't change

\section*{Update of a View}
- Create a view of all instructors while hiding the salary
create view faculty as
select ID, name, dept_name
from instructor;
- Add a new tuple to the view
insert into faculty values ('30765', 'Green', 'Music');
- Options:
- Reject because we don't "salary" information, or
- Insert into "instructors": ('30765', 'Green', 'Music', NULL);
- Updates on more complex views are difficult or impossible to translate, and hence are disallowed.
- Many SQL implementations allow updates only on simple views (without aggregates) defined on a single relation

\section*{Authorization/Security}
- GRANT and REVOKE keywords
- grant select on instructor to \(U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}\)
- revoke select on branch from \(U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}\)
- Can provide select, insert, update, delete privileges
- Can provide this for tables, schemas, "functions/procedures", etc.
- Some databases support doing this at the level of individual "tuples"
- MS SQL Server: https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/sql/relational-databases/security/row-level-security?view=sql-server-ver15
- PostgreSQL: https://www.postgresql.org/docs/10/ddl-rowsecurity.html
- Can also create "Roles" and do security at the level of roles
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\section*{SQL: Integrity Constraints}

\section*{SQL Integrity Constraints}
- Book Chapters (6 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Edition)
4.4
- Key Topics
- Why Constraints
- Different Types of Integrity Constraints
- Referential Integrity
- How to specify in SQL

\section*{IC's}
- Goal: Avoid Semantic Inconsistencies in the Data
- An IC is a predicate on the database
- Must always be true (checked whenever DB gets updated)
- There are the following 4 types of IC's:
- Key constraints (1 table)
e.g., 2 accts can't share the same acct_no
- Attribute constraints (1 table)
e.g., accts must have nonnegative balance
- Referential Integrity constraints ( 2 tables)
E.g. bnames associated w/ loans must be names of real branches
- Global Constraints ( \(n\) tables)
E.g., all loans must be carried by at least 1 customer with a savings acct

\section*{Key Constraints}

Idea: specifies that a relation is a set, not a bag
SQL examples:
1. Primary Key:

CREATE TABLE branch(
bname CHAR(15) PRIMARY KEY, bcity CHAR(20), assets INT);
or
CREATE TABLE depositor(
cname \(\operatorname{CHAR}(15)\), acct_no CHAR(5), PRIMARY KEY(cname, acct_no));
2. Candidate Keys:

CREATE TABLE customer (
ssn CHAR(9) PRIMARY KEY,
cname CHAR(15),
address CHAR(30),
city \(\operatorname{CHAR}(10)\),
UNIQUE (cname, address, city));

\section*{Key Constraints}

Effect of SQL Key declarations
PRIMARY (A1, A2, .., An) or
UNIQUE (A1, A2, ...,'An)

Insertions: check if any tuple has same values for A1, A2, .., An as any inserted tuple. If found, reject insertion

Updates to any of A1, A2, ... An: treat as insertion of entire tuple

Primary vs Unique (candidate)
1. 1 primary key per table, several unique keys allowed.
2. Only primary key can be referenced by "foreign key" (ref integrity)
3. DBMS may treat primary key differently (e.g.: create an index on PK)

\section*{Attribute Constraints}
- Idea:
- Attach constraints to values of attributes
- Enhances types system (e.g.: >= 0 rather than integer)
- In SQL:
1. NOT NULL
e.g.: CREATE TABLE branch( bname CHAR(15) NOT NULL,
)
Note: declaring bname as primary key also prevents null values
2. CHECK
e.g.: CREATE TABLE depositor(
balance int NOT NULL, CHECK( balance >=0),
)
affect insertions, update in affected columns

\section*{Attribute Constraints}

Domains: can associate constraints with DOMAINS rather than attributes
e.g: instead of: CREATE TABLE depositor(
balance INT NOT NULL,
CHECK (balance >=0)
)
One can write:
CREATE DOMAIN bank-balance INT (
CONSTRAINT not-overdrawn CHECK (value >=0),
CONSTRAINT not-null-value CHECK( value NOT NULL));

CREATE TABLE depositor (
.....
balance bank-balance, )

Advantages?

\section*{Attribute Constraints}

\section*{Advantage of associating constraints with domains:}
1. can avoid repeating specification of same constraint for multiple columns
2. can name constraints
e.g.: CREATE DOMAIN bank-balance INT (

CONSTRAINT not-overdrawn
CHECK (value >=0),
CONSTRAINT not-null-value
CHECK( value NOT NULL));
allows one to:
1. add or remove:

ALTER DOMAIN bank-balance
ADD CONSTRAINT capped
CHECK (value \(<=10000\) )
2. report better errors (know which constraint violated)

\section*{Referential Integrity Constraints}

Idea: prevent "dangling tuples" (e.g.: a loan with a bname, Kenmore, when no Kenmore tuple in branch)


Ref Integrity:
ensure that:
foreign key value \(\rightarrow\) primary key value
(note: don't need to ensure \(\leftarrow\), i.e., not all branches have to have loans)

\section*{Referential Integrity Constraints}


In SQL:
```

CREATE TABLE branch(
bname CHAR(15) PRIMARY KEY
....)

```
        CREATE TABLE Ioan (
        FOREIGN KEY bname REFERENCES branch);

Affects:
1) Insertions, updates of referencing relation
2) Deletions, updates of referenced relation

\section*{Referential Integrity Constraints}


A


B
what happens when we try to delete this tuple?

Ans: 3 possibilities
1) reject deletion/ update
2) set \(t_{i}[c], t_{j}[c]=N U L L\)
3) propagate deletion/update

DELETE: delete ti, tj
UPDATE: set ti[c], tj[c] to updated values

\section*{Referential Integrity Constraints}
\(t_{i}\)
\(t_{j}\)

A


B
what happens when we try to delete this tuple?

CREATE TABLE A ( .....
FOREIGN KEY c REFERENCES B action
..........)
Action: 1) left blank (deletion/update rejected)
2) ON DELETE SET NULL/ ON UPDATE SET NULL sets \(\mathrm{ti}[\mathrm{c}]=\mathrm{NULL}, \mathrm{tj}[\mathrm{c}]=\mathrm{NULL}\)
3) ON DELETE CASCADE deletes ti, tj
ON UPDATE CASCADE
sets ti[c], tj[c] to new key values

\section*{Global Constraints}

Idea: two kinds
1) single relation (constraints spans multiple columns)
- E.g.: CHECK (total = svngs + check) declared in the CREATE TABLE
2) multiple relations: CREATE ASSERTION

SQL examples:
1) single relation: All Bkln branches must have assets \(>5 \mathrm{M}\)
```

CREATE TABLE branch (
bcity CHAR(15),
assets INT,
CHECK (NOT(bcity = 'Bkln`) OR assets > 5M))

```

Affects:
insertions into branch updates of bcity or assets in branch

\section*{Global Constraints}

SQL example:
2) Multiple relations: every loan has a borrower with a savings account

CHECK (NOT EXISTS (
SELECT *
FROM loan AS L
WHERE NOT EXISTS(
SELECT *
FROM borrower B, depositor D, account A
WHERE B.cname = D.cname AND
D.acct_no = A.acct_no AND L.Ino = B.Ino)))

Problem: Where to put this constraint? At depositor? Loan? ....
Ans: None of the above:
CREATE ASSERTION loan-constraint CHECK ( .....)

\section*{Summary: Integrity Constraints}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline Constraint Type & Where declared & Affects... & Expense \\
\hline Key Constraints & \begin{tabular}{l} 
CREATE TABLE \\
(PRIMARY KEY, UNIQUE)
\end{tabular} & Insertions, Updates & Moderate \\
\hline Attribute Constraints & \begin{tabular}{l} 
CREATE TABLE \\
CREATE DOMAIN \\
(Not NULL, CHECK)
\end{tabular} & Insertions, Updates & Cheap \\
\hline Referential Integrity & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Table Tag \\
(FOREIGN KEY .... \\
REFERENCES ....)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
1.Insertions into \\
referencing rel'n \\
2. Updates of \\
referencing rel'n of \\
relevant attrs \\
3. Deletions from \\
referenced rel'n
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
1,2: like key constraints. \\
Another reason to \\
indexs/sort on the primary \\
3,4: depends on \\
a. update/delete policy \\
chosen \\
r. Update of \\
referenced rel'n
\end{tabular} \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
b. existence of indexes \\
foreign key
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Global Constraints & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Table Tag (CHECK) \\
or \\
outside table \\
(CREATE ASSERTION)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
1. For single rel'n \\
constraint, with \\
insertion, deletion of \\
relevant attrs \\
2. For assesrtions w/ \\
every db modification
\end{tabular} & 2. very expensive
\end{tabular}
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\section*{NOT IN SYLLABUS}

\section*{SQLMan: Wielding the Superpower of SQL}
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\section*{Fun with SQL}
- https://blog.jooq.org/2016/04/25/10-sql-tricks-that-you-didnt-think-were-possible/
- Long slide-deck linked off of this page
- Complex SQL queries showing how to do things like: do Mandelbrot, solve subset sum problem etc.
- The MADlib Analytics Library or MAD Skills, the SQL; https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.4165
- https://www.red-gate.com/simple-talk/blogs/statistics-sql-simple-linear-regressions/

\section*{1. Everything is a Table}
```

1 SELECT *
FROM (
SELECT *
FROM person
) t

```
SELECT *
FROM (
    VALUES(1),(2),(3)
) \(t(a)\)

Everything is a table. In PostgreSQL, even functions are tables:
1 SELECT *
FROM substring('abcde', 2, 3)

\section*{2. Recursion can be very powerful}
```

WITH RECURSIVE t(v) AS (
SELECT 1 -- Seed Row
UNION ALL
SELECT v + 1 -- Recursion
FROM t
)
SELECT v
FROM t
LIMIT 5

```

It yields

\section*{v}
---
1
2
3
4

\section*{3. Window Functions}
```

SELECT depname, empno, salary, avg(salary) OVER (PARTITION BY depname) FROM empsalary;

```


\section*{4. Correlation Coefficient}
```

SET ARITHABORT ON;
DECLARE @OurData TABLE
(
x NUMERIC (18,6) NOT NULL,
y NUMERIC (18,6) NOT NULL
);
INSERT INTO @OurData
(x, y)
SELECT
x,y
FROM (VALUES
(1,32),(1,23),(3,50),(11,37),(-2,39),(10,44),(27,32),(25,16),(20,23)
(4,5),(30,41),(28,2),(31,52), (29,12), (50,40), (43,18), (10,65), (44,26)
(35,15),(24,37),(52,66),(59,46),(64,95),(79,36), (24,66),(69,58),(88,56)
(61,21),(100,60),(62,54),(10,14),(22,40),(52,97),(81,26),(37,58),(93,71)
(64,82),(24,33),(112,49), (64,90),(53,90),(132,61), (104,35), (60,52),
(29,50), (85,116), (95,104), (131,37), (139,38), (8,124)
)f(x,y)
SELECT
((Sy * Sxx) - (Sx * Sxy))
/ ((N * (Sxx)) - (Sx * Sx)) AS a,
((N * Sxy) - (Sx * Sy))
/ ((N * Sxx) - (Sx * Sx)) AS b,
((N * Sxy) - (Sx * Sy))
/ SORT(
((N * Sxx) - (Sx * Sx))
* ((N * Syy - (Sy * Sy))))) AS r
FROM
SELECT SUM([@OurData].x) AS Sx, SUM([@OurData].y) AS Sy,
SUM([@OurData].x * [@OurData].x) AS Sxx
SUM([@OurData].x * [@OurData].y) AS Sxy,
SUM([@OurData].y * [@OurData].y) AS Syy,
COUNT(*) AS N
FROM @OurData
sums;

```

\section*{5. Page Rank}
- Recursive algorithm to assign weights to the nodes of a graph (Web Link Graph)
- Weight for a node depends on the weights of the nodes that point to it
- Typically done in iterations till "convergence"
- Not obvious that you can do it in SQL, but:
- Each iteration is just a LEFT OUTERJOIN
- Stopping condition is trickier

- Other ways to do it as well
https://devnambi.com/2013/pagerank.html
```

declare @DampingFactor decimal (3,2) = 0.85 --set the damping factor
,@MarginOfError decimal(10,5) = 0.001 --set the stable weight
@@otalNodeCount int
,@IterationCount int = 1
-- we need to know the total number of nodes in the system
set @TotalNodeCount = (select count(*) from Nodes)
-- iterate!
WHILE EXISTS
C
-- stop as soon as all nodes have converged
SELECT *
FROM dbo.Nodes
WHERE HasConverged = 0
)
UPDATE n SET
NodeWeight = 1.0 - @DampingFactor + isnull(x.TransferWeight, 0.0)
-- a node has converged when its existing weight is the same as the weight it would be given
- (plus or minus the stable weight margin of error)
,HasConverged = case when abs(n.NodeWeight - (1.0 - @DampingFactor + isnull(x.TransferWeight, 0.0))) < @MarginOfError then 1
else 0 end
FROM Nodes n
FROM Nodes n
C
-- Here's the weight calculation in place
SELECT
e.TargetNodeId
,TransferWeight = sum(n.NodeWeight / n.NodeCount) * @DampingFactor
FROM Nodes n
INNER JOIN Edges e
ON n.NodeId = e.SourceNodeId
GROUP BY e.TargetNodeId
) as x
ON x.TargetNodeId = n.NodeId
-- for demonstration purposes, return the value of the nodes after each iteration
SELECT
@IterationCount as IterationCount
FROM Nodes

```
END
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\section*{Design Process; E/R Basics}
- Book Chapters (6 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Edition)
- Sections 7.1
- Key Topics
- Steps in application and database design process
- Two approaches to doing database design

\section*{Design Process}
- To create an end-to-end database-backed application, we must:
- Design the database schema for hosting the data
- Design the application programs for accessing and updating the data
- Design security schemes to control access to the data
- Typically an iterative process, involving many decision points and stakeholders
- computing environments, where to deploy, how to host, languages to use, data model, database systems, application frameworks, etc. etc.
- Need clear understanding of user requirements
- Followed by conceptual designs \(\rightarrow\) functional requirements \(\rightarrow\) physical designs \(\rightarrow\) implementation
- Need to keep revisiting earlier decisions as requirements evolve

\section*{What runs where?}

Flask, Django, Tomcat, Node.js, and others
- Accept requests from the client and pass to the application server

browser

1. Web Browser (Firefox, Chrome, Safari, Edge)
2. HTML to render webpages
3. Javascript for "client-side scripting" (running code in your browser without contacting the server)
4. Flash (not supported much - too much security risk)
5. Java "applets" - less common today
- PostgreSQL, Oracle, SQL Server, Amazon RDS (Relational Databases)
- MongoDB (Document/JSON databases)
- SQLite --- not typically for production environments
- Pretty much any database can be used...

\section*{"Database" Design}
- Goal: design the logical database schema
- Try to avoid redundancy
- Can lead to inconsistencies and require manual intervention
- Makes it harder to program against the database
- Need additional code/processes to update everywhere
- Harder to make schema changes and migrate data
- Ensure faithfulness to the requirements
- Need to make sure it supports the use cases and the application requirements
- Capturing all the data properly
- Any data properties not captured cannot be stored in the database
- Capture the constraints accurately
- e.g., don’t want to set `s_id` as the primary key for `advisor(s_id, i_id)` if we expect multiple advisors for a student
- Need a systematic way to do this for large schemas

\section*{"Database" Design}
- Approach 1: Using a logical data model like the EntityRelationship Model
- Easier for humans to work with and visualize
- Abstracts away the details, and allows focusing on the important issues
- Richer than relational model, but allows easy conversion to relational for implementation
- Harder to keep up to date - requires a lot of discipline
- Approach 2: Normalization Theory
- Helps formalize the key design pitfalls and how to avoid them
- The two approaches are complementary and important to know both of them

\section*{Schema "Evolution" and Challenges}
- Initial application schema nicely designed and normalized
- But as business requirements changes,
- Schemas need to be modified
- Data needs to be "migrated" from old schema to new schema
- Ideally the new schema is also normalized and properly designed
- However...
- More changes to schema \(\rightarrow\) More changes to applications running on top
- Incremental schema changes often preferred by developers
- Result: After a few iterations, the schema is not properly normalized any more
- No good solutions to date
- Using "views" can help, but also requires discipline
- Things we discuss here provide the foundations needed...
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\section*{Basics of \(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{R}\) Models}

\section*{Basics of \(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{R}\) Modeling}
- Book Chapters (6 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Edition)

。Sections 7.2, 7.3.1, 7.3.3, 7.5.1-7.5.5
- Key Topics

Basics
Different types of attributes
- Cardinalities of relationships
- How to identify "keys" for relationships

\section*{Entity-Relationship Model}
- Two key concepts

\section*{Entities:}
- An object that exists and is distinguishable from other objects
- Examples: Bob Smith, BofA, CMSC424
- Have attributes (people have names and addresses)
- Form entity sets with other entities of the same type that share the same properties
- Set of all people, set of all classes
- Entity sets may overlap
- Customers and Employees

\section*{Entity-Relationship Model}

\section*{- Two key concepts}

\section*{- Relationships:}
- Relate 2 or more entities
- E.g. Bob Smith has account at College Park Branch
- Form relationship sets with other relationships of the same type that share the same properties
- Customers have accounts at Branches
- Can have attributes:
- has account at may have an attribute start-date
- Can involve more than 2 entities
- Employee works at Branch at Job

\section*{Entities and relationships}


Advisor Relationship, with and without attributes
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 76766 & Crick & 98988 & Tanaka \\
\hline 45565 & Katz & 12345 & Shankar \\
\hline 10101 & Srinivasan & 00128 & Zhang \\
\hline 98345 & Kim & 76543 & Brown \\
\hline 76543 & Singh & 76653 & Aoi \\
\hline 22222 & Einstein & 23121 & Chavez \\
\hline & structor & 44553 & Peltier \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 76766 & Crick & Tanaka \\
\hline 45565 & Katz & Shankar \\
\hline 10101 & Srinivasan & Zhang \\
\hline 98345 & Kim & Brown \\
\hline 76543 & Singh & Aoi \\
\hline 22222 & Einstein & Chavez \\
\hline & tructor & Peltier \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ER Diagram


Alternative representation, used in the book in the past


\section*{Types of Attributes}
- Simple vs Composite
- Single value per attribute ?
- Single-valued vs Multi-valued
- E.g. Phone numbers are multi-valued
- Derived
- If date-of-birth is present, age can be derived
- Can help in avoiding redundancy, enforcing constraints etc...

\section*{Types of Attributes}
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\section*{Relationship Cardinalities}
- We may know:
- One customer can only open one account
-
OR
- One customer can open multiple accounts
- Representing this is important
- Why ?
- Better manipulation of data
- If former, can store the account info in the customer table
- Can enforce such a constraint
- Application logic will have to do it; NOT GOOD
- Remember: If not represented in conceptual model, the domain knowledge may be lost

\section*{Mapping Cardinalities}
- Express the number of entities to which another entity can be associated via a relationship set
- Most useful in describing binary relationship sets

\section*{Mapping Cardinalities}
- One-to-One
- One-to-Many
- Many-to-One
- Many-to-Many


\section*{Mapping Cardinalities}
- Express the number of entities to which another entity can be associated via a relationship set
- Most useful in describing binary relationship sets
- N -ary relationships ?
- More complicated
- Details in the book


Figure 7.13 E-R diagram with a ternary relationship.

\section*{Relationship Set Keys}
- What attributes are needed to represent a relationship completely and uniquely ?
- Union of primary keys of the entities involved, and relationship attributes


Figure 7.8 E-R diagram with an attribute attached to a relationship set.
- \{instructor.ID, date, student.ID\} describes a relationship completely

\section*{Relationship Set Keys}
- Is \{student_id, date, instructor_id\} a candidate key ?
- No. Attribute date can be removed from this set without losing key-ness
- In fact, union of primary keys of associated entities is always a superkey


Figure 7.8 E-R diagram with an attribute attached to a relationship set.

\section*{Relationship Set Keys}
- Is \{student_id, instructor_id\} a candidate key ?
- Depends


Figure 7.8 E-R diagram with an attribute attached to a relationship set.

\section*{Relationship Set Keys}
- Is \{student_id, instructor_id\} a candidate key ?
- Depends


Figure 7.8 E-R diagram with an attribute attached to a relationship set.
- If one-to-one relationship, either \{instructor_id\} or \{student_id\} sufficient
- Since a given instructor can only have one advisee, an instructor entity can only participate in one relationship
- Ditto student

\section*{Relationship Set Keys}
- Is \{student_id, instructor_id\} a candidate key ?
- Depends


Figure 7.8 E-R diagram with an attribute attached to a relationship set.
- If one-to-many relationship (as shown), \{student_id\} is a candidate key
- A given instructor can have many advisees, but at most one advisor per student allowed

\section*{Relationship Set Keys}
- General rule for binary relationships
- one-to-one: primary key of either entity set
- one-to-many: primary key of the entity set on the many side
- many-to-many: union of primary keys of the associate entity sets
- n-ary relationships
- More complicated rules
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\section*{More E/R Constructs}
- Book Chapters (6 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Edition)
- Sections 7.5.4, 7.5.6, 7.8
- Key Topics
- Recursive Relationships and Roles
- Weak Entity Sets
- Specialization/Generalization
- Aggregation

\section*{Recursive Relationships}
- Sometimes a relationship associates an entity set to itself
- Need "roles" to distinguish

\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline course_id & prereq_id \\
\hline \hline BIO-301 & BIO-101 \\
BIO-399 & BIO-101 \\
CS-190 & CS-101 \\
CS-315 & CS-101 \\
CS-319 & CS-101 \\
CS-347 & CS-101 \\
EE-181 & PHY-101 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Weak Entity Sets}
- An entity set without enough attributes to have a primary key
- E.g. Section Entity
- Still need to be able to distinguish between weak entities
- Called "discriminator attributes": dashed underline


\section*{Examples of Weak Entity Sets}


Loan may or may not have an extra unique identifier


\section*{Participation Constraints}
- Allow specifying full participation from an entity set in a relationship
- i.e., every entity from that entity set "must" participate in at least one relationship
- Most common for Weak Entity Sets, but useful otherwise as well


\section*{Specialization/Generalization}

Similar to object-oriented programming: allows inheritance etc.


\section*{Aggregation}
- No relationships allowed between relationships
- Suppose we want to record evaluations of a student by a guide on a project
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\section*{Converting E/R Models to Relations}
- Book Chapters (6 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Edition)
- Sections 7.6, 7.8.6
- Key Topics
- Creating Relational Schema from an E/R Model
- Mapping Entities and Relationships to Relations
- Weak Entity Sets to Relations
- Other E/R Constructs

\section*{E/R Diagrams \(\rightarrow\) Relations}
- Convert entity sets into a relational schema with the same set of attributes


\section*{E/R Diagrams \(\rightarrow\) Relations}
- Convert relationship sets also into a relational schema
- Remember: A relationship is completely described by primary keys of associated entities and its own attributes


Advisor (student ID, instructor ID, date)

\section*{E/R Diagrams \(\rightarrow\) Relations}


Foreign key into Instructor relation
Fold into Student:
Student(ID, name, tot_credits, advisor_ID, date)


Fold into Instructor:
Instructor(ID, name, salary, advisee_ID, date)

\section*{E/R Diagrams \(\rightarrow\) Relations}


Fold into Student:
Student(ID, name, tot_credits, advisor_ID)
OR
Fold into Instructor:
Instructor(ID, name, salary, advisee_ID)

\section*{Weak Entity Sets}


Figure 7.14 E-R diagram with a weak entity set.

Need to copy the primary key from the strong entity set:
Section(course id, sec id, semester, year)

\section*{Multi-valued Attributes}
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline instructor \\
\hline\(\frac{I D}{\text { name }}\) \\
first_name \\
middle_initial \\
last_name \\
address \\
street \\
street_number \\
street_name \\
apt_number \\
city \\
state \\
zip \\
\{phone_number \} \\
date_of_birth \\
age ( ) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

> instructor (ID, first_name, middle_name, last_name, street_number, street_name, apt_number, city, state, zip_code, date_of_birth)

\section*{BUT}

Phone_number needs to be split out into a separate table

Instructor_Phone(Instructor ID, phone number)

\section*{Specialization and Generalization}

A few different ways to handle it


Figure 7.21 Specialization and generalization.
1. Common table for common information and separate tables for additional information
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { person (ID, name, street, city) } \\
& \text { employee (ID, salary) } \\
& \text { student (ID, tot_cred) }
\end{aligned}
\]
2. Separate tables altogether - good idea if an employee can't be a student also querying becomes harder (have to do unions for queries across all "persons")
employee (ID, name, street, city, salary) student (ID, name, street, city, tot_cred)
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\section*{Design Issues; Alternate Notations}
- Book Chapters (6 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Edition)
- Sections 7.7, 7.9 (briefly)
- Key Topics
- Some Common Mistakes
- Choosing between different ways to do the same thing
- Alternate notations commonly used (including UML)
- Recap

\section*{Some Common Mistakes}

(a) Incorrect use of attribute

(b) Erroneous use of relationship attributes

\section*{Some Common Mistakes}

(c) Correct alternative to erroneous E-R diagram (b)

(d) Correct alternative to erroneous E-R diagram (b)

\section*{Design Issues}
- Entity sets vs attributes
- Depends on the semantics of the application
- Consider telephone
\begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ instructor } \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
ID \\
name \\
salary \\
phone_number
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(a)

(b)

\section*{Design Issues}
- Entity sets vs Relationsihp sets
- Consider takes


Figure 7.18 Replacement of takes by registration and two relationship sets

\section*{Design Issues}
- N-ary vs binary relationships
- Possible to avoid n-ary relationships, but there are some cases where it is advantageous to use them


Figure 7.19 Ternary relationship versus three binary relationships.

\section*{Alternate Notations}


\section*{Unified Modeling Language (UML)}
- More comprehensive - covers use cases, flow of tasks between components, implementation diagrams, etc., in addition to data representation

ER Diagram Notation
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline\(E\) \\
\hline A1 \\
M10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
entity with composite,
attributes (simple, multivalued, derived)


E1
 \(R > 0 . . 1 \longdiv { E 2 }\)
cardinality constraints

Equivalent in UML
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline E \\
\hline-A 1 \\
\hline+M 1()
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{l} 
class with simple attributes \\
and methods (attribute \\
prefixes: \(+=\) public, \\
\(-=\) private, \(\#=\) protected \()\)
\end{tabular}


\section*{Thoughts...}
- Nothing about actual data
- How is it stored ?
- No talk about the query languages
- How do we access the data?
- Semantic vs Syntactic Data Models
- Remember: E/R Model is used for conceptual modeling
- Many conceptual models have the same properties
- They are much more about representing the knowledge than about database storage/querying

\section*{Thoughts.}
- Basic design principles
- Faithful
- Must make sense
- Satisfies the application requirements
- Models the requisite domain knowledge
- If not modeled, lost afterwards
- Avoid redundancy
- Potential for inconsistencies
- Go for simplicity
- Typically an iterative process that goes back and forth
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Normalization
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\section*{Relational Database Design}
- Where did we come up with the schema that we used ?
E.g. why not store the student course titles with their names ?
- If from an E-R diagram, then:
- Did we make the right decisions with the E-R diagram ?
- Goals:
- Formal definition of what it means to be a "good" schema.
- How to achieve it.
- More abstract and formal than most other topics we will study

\section*{Normalization}
- Book Chapters (6 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Edition)

Section 8.1, 8.2
- Key Topics
- What makes a "good" schema
- Problems with small schemas
- Problems with large schemas
- Atomic domains and First Normal Form

\section*{Simplified University Database Schema}

Student(student id, name, tot_cred)
Student_Dept(student id, dept name)
Department(dept name, building, budget)
Course(course id, title, dept_name, credits)
Takes(course id, student id, semester, year)

Changed to:
Student_Dept(student id, dept name, name, tot_cred, building, budget)
<Student, Student_Dept, and Department Merged Together>
Course(course id, title, dept_name, credits)
Takes(course id, student id, semester, year)

Student_Dept(student id, dept name, name, tot_cred, building, budget)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline student_id & dept_name & name & tot_cred & building & budget \\
\hline s1 & Comp. Sci. & John & 30 & Iribe Center & 10 M \\
\hline s2 & Comp. Sci. & Alice & 20 & Iribe Center & 10 M \\
\hline s2 & Math & Alice & 20 & Kirwan Hall & 10 M \\
\hline s3 & Comp. Sci. & Mike & 30 & Iribe Center & 10 M \\
\hline s3 & Math & Mike & 30 & Kirwan Hall & 10 M \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Issues:
1. Redundancy \(\rightarrow\) higher storage, inconsistencies ("anomalies")
update anomalies, insertion anamolies
2. Need nulls

Unable to represent some information without using nulls
How to store depts w/o students, or vice versa ?
Can't have NULLs in primary keys

Student_Dept(student_ids, dept_name, names, tot_creds, building, budget)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline student_ids & dept_name & names & tot_creds & building & budget \\
\hline\(\{s 1, s 2, s 3\}\) & Comp. Sci. & \begin{tabular}{l} 
\{John, Alice,, \\
Mike \(\}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\{30,20\), \\
\(30\}\)
\end{tabular} & Iribe Center & 10 M \\
\hline\(\{s 2, \mathrm{~s} 3\}\) & Math & \(\{\) Alice, Mike \(\}\) & \(\{20,30\}\) & Kirwan Hall & 10 M \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Issues:

\section*{3. Avoid sets}
- Hard to represent
- Hard to query
- In this case, too many issues

\section*{Smaller schemas always good ????}

Split Course(course_id, title, dept_name, credits) into:
Course1 (course id, title, dept_name) Course2(course id, credits)???
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline course_id & title & dept_name \\
\hline c1 & "Intro to.." & Comp. Sci. \\
\hline c2 & "Discrete Structures" & Comp. Sci. \\
\hline c3 & "Database Design" & Comp. Sci. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline course_id & credits \\
\hline c1 & 3 \\
\hline c2 & 3 \\
\hline c3 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This process is also called "decomposition"
Issues:
4. Requires more joins (w/o any obvious benefits)
5. Hard to check for some dependencies

What if the "credits" depend on the "dept_name" (e.g., all CS courses must be 3 credits)?

No easy way to ensure that constraint (w/o a join)

\section*{Smaller schemas always good ????}

Decompose Takes(course_id, student_id, semester, year) into:
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline course_id & student_id & semester & year \\
\hline c1 & s1 & Fall & 2020 \\
\hline c1 & s2 & Spring & 2020 \\
\hline c2 & s1 & Spring & 2020 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Takes1(course_id, semester, year)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline course_id & semester & year \\
\hline c1 & Fall & 2020 \\
\hline c1 & Spring & 2020 \\
\hline c2 & Spring & 2020 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Takes2(course_id, student_id)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline course_id & student_id \\
\hline c1 & s1 \\
\hline c1 & s2 \\
\hline c2 & s1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Issues:}
6. "joining" them back (on course_id) results in more tuples than what we started with (c1, s1, Spring 2020) \& (c1, s2, Fall 2020)
This is a "lossy" decomposition
We lost some constraints/information
The previous example was a "lossless" decomposition.

\section*{Desiderata}
- No sets
- Correct and faithful to the original design
- Must avoid lossy decompositions
- As little redundancy as possible
- To avoid potential anomalies
- No "inability to represent information"

Nulls shouldn't be required to store information
- Dependency preservation
- Should be possible to check for constraints

Not always possible.
We sometimes relax these for:
simpler schemas, and fewer joins during queries.

\section*{Overall Approach}
1. We will encode and list all our knowledge about the schema
- e.g., Functional dependencies (FDs)

SSN \(\rightarrow\) name (means: SSN "implies" length)
- If two tuples have the same "SSN", they must have the same "name"
movietitle \(\rightarrow\) length ???? Not true.
- But, (movietitle, movieYear) \(\rightarrow\) length --- True.
2. We will define a set of rules that the schema must follow to be considered good
- "Normal forms": 1NF, 2NF, 3NF, BCNF, 4NF, ...
- A normal form specifies constraints on the schemas and FDs
3. If not in a "normal form", we modify the schema

\section*{Atomic Domains and \(1^{\text {st }}\) Normal Form}
- A domain is called "atomic" if the elements can be considered indivisible
- i.e., not composite or sets
- Somewhat subjective and depends on how it is being used
- What about CMSC424?
- A natural split into "CMSC" and " 424 ".
- Technically not atomic since programs/analysis often split it
- Often treated as atomic, but better to keep as separate columns
- As long as all attributes are atomic \(\rightarrow 1^{\text {st }}\) Normal Form
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\section*{Functional Dependencies}
- Book Chapters (6 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Edition)
- Section 8.3.1
- Key Topics
- Definition of a FD
- Examples
- Holding on an instance vs on all "legal" instances
- FDs and Redundancies

\section*{Functional Dependencies}
- On a relational schema: \(R(A, B, C, \ldots)\)
\[
A \rightarrow B \quad(A \text { "implies" B) }
\]
means that if two tuples have the same value for \(A\), they have the same value for \(B\)
- A way to reason about duplication in a relational schema

\section*{FDs: Example 1}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline student_id & dept_name & name & tot_cred & building & budget \\
\hline s1 & Comp. Sci. & John & 30 & Iribe Center & 10 M \\
\hline s2 & Comp. Sci. & Alice & 20 & Iribe Center & 10 M \\
\hline s2 & Math & Alice & 20 & Kirwan Hall & 10 M \\
\hline s3 & Comp. Sci. & Mike & 30 & Iribe Center & 10 M \\
\hline s3 & Math & Mike & 30 & Kirwan Hall & 10 M \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
student_id \(\rightarrow\) name
student_id \(\rightarrow\) name, tot_cred
dept_name \(\rightarrow\) building
dept_name \(\rightarrow\) building, budget

\section*{FDs: Example 2}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
State \\
Name
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
State \\
Code
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
State \\
Population
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
County \\
Name
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
County \\
Population
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Senator \\
Name
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Senator \\
Elected
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Senator \\
Born
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Senator \\
Affiliatio \\
\(n\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Alabama & AL & 4779736 & Autauga & 54571 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Jeff \\
Sessions
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Alabama & AL & 4779736 & Baldwin & 182265 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Jeff \\
Sessions
\end{tabular} & 1997 & 1946 & 'R' \\
\hline Alabama & AL & 4779736 & Barbour & 27457 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Jeff \\
Sessions
\end{tabular} & 1997 & 1946 & 'R' \\
\hline Alabama & AL & 4779736 & Autauga & 54571 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Richard \\
Shelby
\end{tabular} & 1987 & 1934 & 'R' \\
\hline Alabama & AL & 4779736 & Baldwin & 182265 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Richard \\
Shelby
\end{tabular} & 1987 & 1934 & 'R' \\
\hline Alabama & AL & 4779736 & Barbour & 27457 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Richard \\
Shelby
\end{tabular} & 1987 & 1934 & 'R' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

> State Name \(\rightarrow\) State Code
> State Code \(\rightarrow\) State Name
> Senator Name \(\rightarrow\) Senator Born

\section*{FDs: Example 3}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Course
ID & Course Name & Dept Name & Credits & Section ID & Semester & Year & Building & Room No. & Capacity & Time Slot ID \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Functional dependencies
course_id \(\rightarrow\) title, dept_name, credits
building, room_number \(\rightarrow\) capacity
course_id, section_id, semester, year \(\rightarrow\) building, room_number, time_slot_id

\section*{Functional Dependencies}
- Let \(R\) be a relation schema and
\[
\alpha \subseteq R \text { and } \beta \subseteq R
\]
- The functional dependency
\[
\alpha \rightarrow \beta
\]
holds on \(R\) iff for any legal relations \(r(\mathrm{R})\), whenever two tuples \(t_{1}\) and \(t_{2}\) of \(r\) have same values for \(\alpha\), they have same values for \(\beta\).
\[
t_{1}[\alpha]=t_{2}[\alpha] \Rightarrow t_{1}[\beta]=t_{2}[\beta]
\]
- Example:
\begin{tabular}{|ll|}
\multicolumn{1}{l}{ A } & B \\
\hline 1 & 4 \\
1 & 5 \\
3 & 7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- On this instance, \(A \rightarrow B\) does NOT hold, but \(B \rightarrow A\) does hold.

\section*{Functional Dependencies}

Difference between holding on an instance and holding on all legal relation
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline student_id & dept_name & name & tot_cred & building & budget \\
\hline s1 & Comp. Sci. & John & 30 & Iribe Center & 10 M \\
\hline s2 & Comp. Sci. & Alice & 20 & Iribe Center & 10 M \\
\hline s2 & Math & Alice & 20 & Kirwan Hall & 10 M \\
\hline s3 & Comp. Sci. & Mike & 30 & Iribe Center & 10 M \\
\hline s3 & Math & Mike & 30 & Kirwan Hall & 10 M \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Name \(\rightarrow\) Tot_Cred holds on this instance

Is this a true functional dependency ? No.
Two students with the same name can have the different credits.
Can't draw conclusions based on a single instance
Need to use domain knowledge to decide which FDs hold

\section*{FDs and Redundancy}
- Consider a table: \(\mathrm{R}(\underline{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}) \text { : }}\)
- With FDs: \(B \rightarrow C\), and \(A \rightarrow B C\)
- So " \(A\) " is a Key, but " \(B\) " is not
- So: there is a FD whose left hand side is not a key
- Leads to redundancy

Since \(B\) is not unique, it may be duplicated Every time B is duplicated, so is \(C\)

Not a problem with \(A \rightarrow B C\)
A can never be duplicated
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline A & B & C \\
\hline a1 & b1 & c1 \\
\hline a2 & b1 & \\
\hline c1 \\
a3 & b1 & c1 \\
\hline a4 & b2 & c2 \\
\hline a5 & b2 & c2 \\
\hline a6 & b3 & c3 \\
\hline a7 & b4 & c1 \\
\hline & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Not a duplication \(\rightarrow\) Two different tuples just happen to have the same value for C

\section*{FDs and Redundancy}
- Better to split it up
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline A & B \\
\hline a1 & b1 \\
\hline a2 & b1 \\
\hline a3 & b1 \\
\hline a4 & b2 \\
\hline a5 & b2 \\
\hline a6 & b3 \\
\hline a7 & b4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Not a duplication \(\rightarrow\) Two different tuples just happen to have the same value for C

\section*{Functional Dependencies}
- Functional dependencies and keys
- A key constraint is a specific form of a FD.
- E.g. if \(A\) is a superkey for \(R\), then:
\[
A \rightarrow R
\]
- Similarly for candidate keys and primary keys.
- Deriving FDs
- A set of FDs may imply other FDs
- e.g. If \(A \rightarrow B\), and \(B \rightarrow C\), then clearly \(A \rightarrow C\)
- We will see a formal method for inferring this later

\section*{Definitions}
1. A relation instance \(r\) satisfies a set of functional dependencies, \(F\), if the FDs hold on the relation
2. F holds on a relation schema \(R\) if no legal (allowable) relation instance of \(R\) violates it
3. A functional dependency, \(A \rightarrow B\), is called trivial if:
- \(B\) is a subset of \(A\)
- e.g. Movieyear, length \(\rightarrow\) length
4. Given a set of functional dependencies, \(F\), its closure, \(F^{+}\), is all the FDs that are implied by FDs in \(F\).
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\section*{Working with Functional Dependencies}
- Book Chapters (6th Edition)
- Section 8.4.1, 8.4.2, 8.4.3
- Key Topics
- Closure of an attribute and attribute set
- Armstrong Axioms
- Extraneous Attributes
- Canonical Cover
- Sufficient to get a high-level idea of these - don't need to understand the entire theory to follow rest of this

\section*{1. Closure}
- Given a set of functional dependencies, \(F\), its closure, \(F^{+}\), is all FDs that are implied by FDs in \(F\).
- e.g. If \(A \rightarrow B\), and \(B \rightarrow C\), then clearly \(A \rightarrow C\)
- We can find F+ by applying Armstrong's Axioms:
- if \(\beta \subseteq \alpha\), then \(\alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad\) (reflexivity)
\(\circ\) if \(\alpha \rightarrow \beta\), then \(\gamma \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \beta \quad\) (augmentation)
。if \(\alpha \rightarrow \beta\), and \(\beta \rightarrow \gamma\), then \(\alpha \rightarrow \gamma\) (transitivity)
- These rules are
- sound (generate only functional dependencies that actually hold) - complete (generate all functional dependencies that hold)

\section*{Additional rules}
- If \(\alpha \rightarrow \beta\) and \(\alpha \rightarrow \gamma\), then \(\alpha \rightarrow \beta \gamma\) (union)
- If \(\alpha \rightarrow \beta \gamma\), then \(\alpha \rightarrow \beta\) and \(\alpha \rightarrow \gamma\) (decomposition)
- If \(\alpha \rightarrow \beta\) and \(\gamma \beta\), then \(\alpha \gamma \rightarrow \delta\) (pseudotransitivity)
- The above rules can be inferred from Armstrong's axioms.

\section*{Example}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& R=(A, B, C, G, H, I) \\
& F=\{A \rightarrow B \\
& A \rightarrow C \\
& C G \rightarrow H \\
& C G \rightarrow I \\
& B\rightarrow H\}
\end{aligned}
\]
- Some members of \(F^{+}\)
- A \(\rightarrow \mathrm{H}\)
- by transitivity from \(A \rightarrow B\) and \(B \rightarrow H\)
- AG \(\rightarrow\) I
- by augmenting \(A \rightarrow C\) with \(G\), to get \(A G \rightarrow C G\) and then transitivity with \(C G \rightarrow I\)
- CG \(\rightarrow \mathrm{HI}\)
- by augmenting \(C G \rightarrow I\) to infer \(C G \rightarrow C G I\), and augmenting of \(C G \rightarrow H\) to infer \(\mathrm{CGI} \rightarrow \mathrm{HI}\), and then transitivity

\section*{2. Closure of an attribute set}
- Given a set of attributes \(A\) and a set of FDs \(F\), closure of \(A\) under \(F\) is the set of all attributes implied by \(A\)
- In other words, the largest \(B\) such that: \(A \rightarrow B\)
- Redefining super keys:
- The closure of a super key is the entire relation schema
- Redefining candidate keys:
1. It is a super key
2. No subset of it is a super key

\section*{Computing the closure for \(A\)}
- Simple algorithm
1. Start with \(B=A\).
2. Go over all functional dependencies, \(\beta \rightarrow \gamma\), in \(F^{+}\)
- 3. If \(\beta \subseteq B\), then

Add \(\gamma\) to \(B\)
- 4. Repeat till \(B\) changes

\section*{Example}
- \(R=(A, B, C, G, H, I)\)
\[
F=\{A \rightarrow B
\]
\[
A \rightarrow C
\]
\[
C G \rightarrow H
\]
\[
C G \rightarrow I
\]
\[
B \rightarrow H\}
\]
- (AG) \({ }^{+}\)?
- 1. result = AG
- 2. result \(=A B C G \quad(A \rightarrow C\) and \(A \rightarrow B)\)
- 3. result \(=A B C G H \quad\) (CG \(\rightarrow H\) and \(C G \subseteq A G B C\) )
- 4. result \(=\mathrm{ABCGHI} \quad\) (CG \(\rightarrow\) I and \(C G \subseteq \mathrm{AGBCH}\)
- Is (AG) a candidate key ?
1. It is a super key.
2. \((\mathrm{A}+)=\mathrm{ABCH},(\mathrm{G}+)=\mathrm{G}\).

YES.

\section*{Uses of attribute set closures}
- Determining superkeys and candidate keys
- Determining if \(A \rightarrow B\) is a valid FD
- Check if \(A+\) contains \(B\)
- Can be used to compute F+

\section*{3. Extraneous Attributes}
- Consider \(F\), and a functional dependency, \(A \rightarrow B\).
- "Extraneous": Are there any attributes in \(A\) or \(B\) that can be safely removed?

Without changing the constraints implied by F
- Example: Given \(F=\{A \rightarrow C, A B \rightarrow C D\}\)
- \(C\) is extraneous in \(A B \rightarrow C D\) since \(A B \rightarrow C\) can be inferred even after deleting C
\(\circ\) ie., given: \(A \rightarrow C\), and \(A B \rightarrow D\), we can use Armstrong Axioms to infer \(A B \rightarrow C D\)

\section*{4. Canonical Cover}
- A canonical cover for \(F\) is a set of dependencies \(F_{c}\) such that
- F logically implies all dependencies in \(F_{c}\), and
\(-F_{c}\) logically implies all dependencies in \(F\), and
- No functional dependency in \(F_{C}\) contains an extraneous attribute, and
- Each left side of functional dependency in \(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}\) is unique
- In some (vague) sense, it is a minimal version of \(F\)
- Read up algorithms to compute \(F_{c}\)
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\section*{Lossless and Lossy Decompositions}
- Book Chapters (6 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Edition)
- Section 8.4.4
- Key Topics
- How to decompose a schema in a lossless manner
- Dependency preserving decompositions

\section*{Decompositions}
- Splitting a relational schema \(R\) into two relations R1, R2, typically for normalization
- e.g., \(R(A, B, C, D, E)\) can be decomposed into:
- R1(A, B, C), R2(D, E)
- R1(A, B, C, D), R2(D, E)
\(\qquad\)
- When is this okay to do?
- The two resulting relations must be equivalent to the original relation... always
- Otherwise, it is a "lossy" decomposition, and not allowed

\section*{Loss-less Decompositions}
- Definition: A decomposition of \(R\) into ( \(R 1, R 2\) ) is called lossless if, for all legal instances of \(r(R)\) :
\[
r=\prod_{R 1}(r) \bowtie \prod_{R 2}(r)
\]
- In other words, projecting on R1 and R2, and joining back, results in the relation you started with
- Rule: A decomposition of \(R\) into \((R 1, R 2)\) is lossless, iff:
\[
R 1 \cap R 2 \rightarrow R 1 \quad \text { or } \quad R 1 \cap R 2 \rightarrow R 2
\]
in F+.
- Why? The join attributes then form a key for one of the relations
- Each tuple from the other relation joins with exactly one from that relation

\section*{Loss-less Decompositions}
- Example: R(A, B, C), FDs: A \(\rightarrow\) B
- Decomposition into R1(A, B) and R2(A, C) is lossless
- \((R 1 \cap R 2=) A \rightarrow(R 1=) A B\)
- Decomposition into R1 \((A, B)\) and \(R 2(B, C)\) is not lossless
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline A & B & C \\
\hline al & b1 & c1 \\
\hline a1 & b1 & c2 \\
\hline a2 & b1 & c3 \\
\hline a3 & b1 & c4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline A & B \\
\hline al & b1 \\
\hline a2 & b1 \\
\hline a3 & b1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline B & C & \multirow{4}{*}{\(=\)} & A & B & C \\
\hline b1 & c1 & & al & b1 & cl \\
\hline b1 & c2 & & al & b1 & c2 \\
\hline b1 & c3 & & al & b1 & c3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{9}{*}{b1} & c4 & & al & b1 & c4 \\
\hline & & & a2 & b1 & cl \\
\hline & & & a2 & b1 & c2 \\
\hline & & & a2 & b1 & c3 \\
\hline & & & a2 & b1 & c4 \\
\hline & & & a3 & b1 & c1 \\
\hline & & & a3 & b1 & c2 \\
\hline & & & a3 & b1 & c3 \\
\hline & & & a3 & bl & c4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Dependency-preserving Decompositions}

Is it easy to check if the dependencies in \(F\) hold ?
Okay as long as the dependencies can be checked in the same table.
Consider \(R=(A, B, C)\), and \(F=\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C\}\)
1. Decompose into R1 \(=(A, B)\), and \(R 2=(A, C)\)

Lossless ? Yes.
But, makes it hard to check for \(B \rightarrow C\)
The data is in multiple tables.
2. On the other hand, \(R 1=(A, B)\), and \(R 2=(B, C)\),
is both lossless and dependency-preserving
Really ? What about \(A \rightarrow C\) ?
If we can check \(A \rightarrow B\), and \(B \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow C\) is implied.

\section*{Dependency-preserving Decompositions}
- Definition:
- Consider decomposition of \(R\) into \(R 1\), ..., Rn.
- Let \(F_{i}\) be the set of dependencies \(F^{+}\)that include only attributes in \(R_{i}\).
- The decomposition is dependency preserving, if
\[
\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup \ldots \cup F_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{+}=F^{+}
\]
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\section*{Boyce Codd Normal Form}
- Book Chapters (6 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Edition)
- Section 8.3.2
- Key Topics
- Definition
- How BCNF helps avoid redundancy
- How to decompose a schema into BCNF

\section*{Approach}
1. We will encode and list all our knowledge about the schema
- Functional dependencies (FDs)
- Also:
- Multi-valued dependencies (briefly discuss later)
- Join dependencies etc...
2. We will define a set of rules that the schema must follow to be considered good
- "Normal forms": 1NF, 2NF, 3NF, BCNF, 4NF, ...
- A normal form specifies constraints on the schemas and FDs
3. If not in a "normal form", we modify the schema

\section*{BCNF: Boyce-Codd Normal Form}
- A relation schema \(R\) is "in BCNF" if:
- Every functional dependency \(A \rightarrow B\) that holds on it is EITHER:
1. Trivial \(O R\)
2. \(A\) is a superkey of \(R\)
, Why is BCNF good ?
Guarantees that there can be no redundancy because of a functional dependency
Consider a relation \(r(A, B, C, D)\) with functional dependency
\(A \rightarrow B\) and two tuples: (a1, b1, c1, d1), and (a1, b1, c2, d2)
- \(\quad b 1\) is repeated because of the functional dependency
- BUT this relation is not in BCNF
\(A \rightarrow B\) is neither trivial nor is \(A\) a superkey for the relation

\section*{BCNF and Redundancy}
- Why does redundancy arise ?
- Given a FD, \(A \rightarrow B\), if \(A\) is repeated \((B-A)\) has to be repeated
1. If rule 1 is satisfied, \((B-A)\) is empty, so not a problem.
2. If rule 2 is satisfied, then A can't be repeated, so this doesn't happen either
- Hence no redundancy because of FDs
- Redundancy may exist because of other types of dependencies
- Higher normal forms used for that (specifically, 4NF)

Data may naturally have duplicated/redundant data
- We can't control that unless a FD or some other dependency is defined

\section*{BCNF}
- What if the schema is not in BCNF ?
- Decompose (split) the schema into two pieces.
- From the previous example: split the schema into:
- r1(A, B), r2(A, C, D)
- The first schema is in BCNF, the second one may not be (and may require further decomposition)
- No repetition now: \(r 1\) contains (a1, b1), but b1 will not be repeated
- Careful: you want the decomposition to be lossless

No information should be lost
- The above decomposition is lossless

\section*{Achieving BCNF Schemas}

For all dependencies \(A \rightarrow B\) in \(F+\), check if \(A\) is a superkey
By using attribute closure

If not, then
Choose a dependency in F+ that breaks the BCNF rules, say \(A \rightarrow B\)
Create R1 = A B
Create R2 = A ( \(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{A}\) )
Note that: R1 \(\cap R 2=A\) and \(A \rightarrow A B(=R 1)\), so this is lossless decomposition

Repeat for R1, and R2
By defining F1+ to be all dependencies in F that contain only attributes in R1
Similarly F2+

\section*{Example 1}
\[
\begin{gathered}
R=(A, B, C) \\
F=\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C\}
\end{gathered}
\]

Candidate keys \(=\{\mathrm{A}\}\) \(B C N F=\) No. \(B \rightarrow C\) violates.

\(\mathrm{R} 1=(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C})\)
\(F 1=\{B \rightarrow C\}\)
Candidate keys \(=\{B\}\)
\(B C N F=\) true
\(R 2=(A, B)\)
\(F 2=\{A \rightarrow B\}\)
Candidate keys \(=\{\mathrm{A}\}\)
\(B C N F=\) true

Example 2-1
\[
\begin{gathered}
R=(A, B, C, D, E) \\
F=\{A \rightarrow B, B C \rightarrow D\}
\end{gathered}
\]

Candidate keys \(=\{A C E\}\)
\(B C N F=\) Violated by \(\{A \rightarrow B, B C \rightarrow D\}\) etc...
\(\mathrm{R} 1=(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})\)
\(F 1=\{A \rightarrow B\}\)
Candidate keys \(=\{A\}\)
BCNF = true

Dependency preservation ???
We can check:
\(\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{R} 1), \mathrm{AC} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{R} 3)\),
but we lost \(B C \rightarrow D\)
So this is not a dependency
-preserving decomposition

\[
\mathrm{R} 2=(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}
\]
\[
F 2=\{A C \rightarrow D\}
\]
\[
\text { Candidate keys }=\{\mathrm{ACE}\}
\]
\[
\text { BCNF = false }(A C \rightarrow D)
\]

\(R 4=(A, C, E)\)
F4 \(=\{ \}[\) [ only trivial ]]
Candidate keys \(=\{\) ACE \(\}\) BCNF = true

Example 2-2
\[
\begin{gathered}
R=(A, B, C, D, E) \\
F=\{A \rightarrow B, B C \rightarrow D\}
\end{gathered}
\]

Candidate keys \(=\{A C E\}\)
\(B C N F=\) Violated by \(\{A \rightarrow B, B C \rightarrow D\}\) etc \(\ldots\)

R1 = (B, C, D)
\[
\mathrm{F} 1=\{\mathrm{BC} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}\}
\]
\[
\begin{gathered}
R 2=(B, C, A, E) \\
F 2=\{A \rightarrow B\}
\end{gathered}
\]

Candidate keys \(=\{B C\}\)
\[
\text { Candidate keys }=\{A C E\}
\]
BCNF = true

Dependency preservation ???
We can check:
\(B C \rightarrow D(R 1), A \rightarrow B(R 3)\),
Dependency-preserving
decomposition

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{R} 3=(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}) & \mathrm{R} 4=(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}) \\
\mathrm{F} 3=\{\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}\} & \mathrm{F} 4=\{ \}[[\text { only trivial }]] \\
\text { Candidate keys }=\{\mathrm{A}\} & \text { Candidate keys }=\{\mathrm{ACE}\} \\
\mathrm{BCNF}=\text { true } & \mathrm{BCNF}=\text { true } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Example 3
\(R=(A, B, C, D, E, H)\)
\(F=\{A \rightarrow B C, E \rightarrow H A\}\)
Candidate keys \(=\{D E\}\)
BCNF \(=\) Violated by \(\{A \rightarrow B C\}\) etc...

\(\mathrm{R} 1=(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C})\)
\(F 1=\{A \rightarrow B C\}\)
Candidate keys \(=\{\mathrm{A}\}\)
BCNF = true

Dependency preservation ???
We can check:
\(A \rightarrow B C(R 1), E \rightarrow H A(R 3)\),
Dependency-preserving decomposition

R3 \(=(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{A})\)
\(F 3=\{E \rightarrow H A\}\)
Candidate keys \(=\{\mathrm{E}\}\)
BCNF = true
R2 = (A, D, E, H)
\(F 2=\{E \rightarrow H A\}\)
Candidate keys \(=\{\mathrm{DE}\}\)
\(B C N F=\) false \((E \rightarrow H A)\)


R4 = (ED)

F4 \(=\{ \}\) [[ only trivial ]]
Candidate keys \(=\{\mathrm{DE}\}\) BCNF = true
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\section*{\(3^{\text {rd }}\) and \(4^{\text {th }}\) Normal Forms}
- Book Chapters (6 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Edition)
- Section 8.3.4, 8.3.5, 8.5.2, 8.6 (at a high level)
- Key Topics
- BCNF can't always preserve dependencies
- How 3NF fixes that
- BCNF causes redundancy because of "multi-valued dependencies"
- How 4NF fixes that

\section*{Issue 1: BCNF may not preserve dependencies}
- \(R=(J, K, L\}\)
- \(F=\{J K \rightarrow L, L \rightarrow K\}\)
- Two candidate keys \(=J K\) and \(J L\)
- \(R\) is not in BCNF
- Any decomposition of \(R\) will fail to preserve
\[
J K \rightarrow L
\]
- This implies that testing for \(J K \rightarrow L\) requires a join

\section*{Issue 1: BCNF may not preserve dependencies}
- Not always possible to find a dependency-preserving decomposition that is in BCNF.
- PTIME to determine if there exists a dependencypreserving decomposition in BCNF
- in size of \(F\)
- NP-Hard to find one if it exists
- Better results exist if F satisfies certain properties

\section*{3NF (3 \({ }^{\text {rd }}\) Normal Form)}
- Definition: Prime attributes

An attribute that is contained in a candidate key for \(R\)
- Example 1:
- \(R=(A, B, C, D, E, H\}, F=\{A \rightarrow B C, E \rightarrow H A\}\),
- Candidate keys \(=\{E D\}\)
- Prime attributes: D, E
- Example 2:
- \(R=(J, K, L), F=\{J K \rightarrow L, L \rightarrow K\}\),
- Candidate keys \(=\{J L, J K\}\)
- Prime attributes: J, K, L
- Observation/Intuition:
1. A key has no redundancy (is not repeated in a relation)
2. A prime attribute has limited redundancy

\section*{3NF ( \({ }^{\text {rd }}\) Normal Form)}
- Given a relation schema \(R\), and a set of functional dependencies \(F\), if every FD, \(A \rightarrow B\), is either:
1. Trivial, or
2. \(A\) is a superkey of \(R\), or
3. All attributes in \((B-A)\) are prime

Then, \(R\) is in \(3 N F\) ( \(3^{r d}\) Normal Form)

Why is 3NF good?

\section*{3NF and Redundancy}
- Why does redundancy arise ?
- Given a \(F D, A \rightarrow B\), if \(A\) is repeated \((B-A)\) has to be repeated
1. If rule 1 is satisfied, \((B-A)\) is empty, so not a problem.
2. If rule 2 is satisfied, then \(A\) can't be repeated, so this doesn't happen either
3. If not, rule 3 says \((B-A)\) must contain only prime attributes This limits the redundancy somewhat.
- So 3NF relaxes BCNF somewhat by allowing for some (hopefully limited) redundancy
- Why ?

\section*{Decomposing into 3NF}
- A synthesis algorithm
- Start with the canonical cover, and construct the 3NF schema directly
, Homework assignment.

\section*{Issue 2: BCNF and redundancy}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline MovieTitle & MovieYear & StarName & Address \\
\hline Star wars & 1977 & Harrison Ford & Address 1, LA \\
\hline Star wars & 1977 & Harrison Ford & Address 2, FL \\
\hline Indiana Jones & \(198 x\) & Harrison Ford & Address 1, LA \\
\hline Indiana Jones & \(198 x\) & Harrison Ford & Address 2, FL \\
\hline Witness & \(19 x x\) & Harrison Ford & Address 1, LA \\
\hline Witness & \(19 x x\) & Harrison Ford & Address 2, FL \\
\hline\(\ldots\) & \(\ldots\) & \(\ldots\) & \(\ldots\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Lot of redundancy
FDs ? No non-trivial FDs.
So the schema is trivially in BCNF (and 3NF)
What went wrong ?

\section*{Multi-valued Dependencies}
- The redundancy is because of multi-valued dependencies
- Denoted:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { starname } \rightarrow \rightarrow \text { address } \\
& \text { starname } \rightarrow \rightarrow \text { movietitle, movieyear }
\end{aligned}
\]
- Should not happen if the schema is constructed from an E/R diagram
- Functional dependencies are a special case of multi-valued dependencies

\section*{4NF}
- Similar to BCNF, except with MVDs instead of FDs.
- Given a relation schema \(R\), and a set of multi-valued dependencies \(F\), if every MVD, \(A \rightarrow \rightarrow B\), is either:
1. Trivial, or
2. \(A\) is a superkey of \(R\)

Then, \(R\) is in 4NF (4th Normal Form)
- 4NF \(\rightarrow \mathrm{BCNF} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{NF} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NF} \rightarrow\) 1NF:
- If a schema is in 4NF, it is in BCNF.
- If a schema is in BCNF, it is in 3NF.
- Other way round is untrue.

\section*{Comparing the normal forms}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline & 3NF & BCNF & 4NF \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Eliminates redundancy \\
because of FD's
\end{tabular} & Mostly & Yes & Yes \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Eliminates redundancy \\
because of MVD's
\end{tabular} & No & No & Yes \\
\hline Preserves FDs & Yes. & Maybe & Maybe \\
\hline Preserves MVDs & Maybe & Maybe & Maybe \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

4NF is typically desired and achieved.
A good E/R diagram won't generate non-4NF relations at all
Choice between 3NF and BCNF is up to the designer
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\section*{Recap and Other Issues}
- Book Chapters (6 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Edition)
- Section 8.8
- Key Topics
- Database design process
- Denormalization
- Other normal forms
- Recap

\section*{Database design process}
- Three ways to come up with a schema
1. Using \(E / R\) diagram
- If good, then little normalization is needed
- Tends to generate 4NF designs
2. A universal relation \(R\) that contains all attributes.
- Called universal relation approach
- Note that MVDs will be needed in this case
3. An ad hoc schema that is then normalized
- MVDs may be needed in this case

\section*{Recap}
- What about \(1^{\text {st }}\) and \(2^{\text {nd }}\) normal forms ?
- 1NF:
- Essentially says that no set-valued attributes allowed
- Formally, a domain is called atomic if the elements of the domain are considered indivisible
- A schema is in 1NF if the domains of all attributes are atomic
- We assumed 1NF throughout the discussion
- Non 1NF is just not a good idea
- 2NF:

Mainly historic interest
- See Exercise 7.15 in the book

\section*{Recap}
- We would like our relation schemas to:
- Not allow potential redundancy because of FDs or MVDs
- Be dependency-preserving:
- Make it easy to check for dependencies
- Since they are a form of integrity constraints

\section*{- Functional Dependencies/Multi-valued Dependencies}
- Domain knowledge about the data properties
- Normal forms
- Defines the rules that schemas must follow
- \(4 N F\) is preferred, but 3NF is sometimes used instead

\section*{Recap}
- Denormalization
- After doing the normalization, we may have too many tables
- We may denormalize for performance reasons
- Too many tables \(\rightarrow\) too many joins during queries
- A better option is to use views instead
- So if a specific set of tables is joined often, create a view on the join
- More advanced normal forms
project-join normal form (PJNF or 5NF)
- domain-key normal form
- Rarely used in practice

\section*{CMSC424: Database Design}

\section*{Module: Database Implementation}

Instructor: Amol Deshpande amol@cs.umd.edu

\section*{Database Implementation}
- Shifting into discussing the internals of a DBMS
- How data stored? How queries/transactions executed?
- Topics:
- Storage: How is data stored? Important features of the storage devices (RAM, Disks, SSDs, etc)
- File Organization: How are tuples mapped to blocks
- Indexes: How to quickly find specific tuples of interest (e.g., all 'friends' of 'user0')
- Query processing: How to execute different relational operations? How to combine them to execute an SQL query?
- Query optimization: How to choose the best way to execute a query?


\section*{CMSC424: Database Design}

\section*{Module: Database Implementation}

\section*{Storage: Storage Hierarchy}

\section*{Storage Hierarchy}
- Book Chapters
- 10.1 (and some other online resources)
- Key topics:
- Differences between storage media
- Storage hierarchy
- Caches

\section*{Storage Options}
- At various points, data stored in different storage hardware
- Memory, Disks, SSDs, Tapes, Cache
- Tradeoffs between speed and cost of access
- CPU needs the data in memory and cache to operate on it
- Volatile vs nonvolatile
- Volatile: Loses contents when power switched off
- Sequential vs random access
- Sequential: read the data contiguously
- select * from employee
- Random: read the data from anywhere at any time
- select * from employee where name like ' \(\qquad\) b'

source: http://cse1.net/recaps/4-memory.html
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\section*{AMD Ryzen CPU Architecture}


Die shot overlaid with functional units
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline & & \\
\hline & Access time & Relative access time \\
\hline Storage type & 0.5 ns & Blink of an eye \\
\hline L1 cache & 7 ns & 4 seconds \\
\hline L2 cache & 0.25 ms & 5 days \\
\hline 1MB from RAM & 1 ms & 23 days \\
\hline 1MB from SSD & 10 ms & 231 days \\
\hline HDD seek & 20 ms & 1.25 years \\
\hline 1MB from HDD & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Storage Options}
- Primary
- e.g. Main memory, cache; typically volatile, fast
- Secondary
- e.g. Disks; Solid State Drives (SSD); non-volatile
- Tertiary
- e.g. Tapes; Non-volatile, super cheap, slow
- Each storage media has different performance characteristics
- Important to understand in order to write systems or optimize queries or tasks

\section*{Storage Hierarchy: Cache}
- Cache
- Super fast; volatile; Typically on chip
- L1 vs L2 vs L3 caches ???
- L1 about 64 KB or so; L2 about 1MB; L3 8MB (on chip) to 256 MB (off chip)
- Huge L3 caches available now-a-days
- Becoming more and more important to care about this
- Cache misses are expensive
- Similar tradeoffs as were seen between main memory and disks

\title{
Storage Hierarchy: Cache
}

source: http://cse1.net/recaps/4-memory.html
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\section*{Storage Hierarchy: Main Memory}
- Data must be brought from disks/SSDs into Memory (and then into Caches) for the CPU to access it
- CPU has no "direct" connection to the disks
- 10s or 100s of ns; Volatile (so will not survive a power failure)
- Pretty cheap and dropping: 1 GByte \(<\$ 10\) today
- Main memory databases very common now-a-days
- Dramatically changes the tradeoffs
- Don't need to worry about the disks or SSDs as much

\section*{Storage Hierarchy}
- Magnetic Disk (Hard Drive)
- Non-volatile
- Sequential access much much faster than random access
- Discuss in more detail later
- Optical Storage - CDs/DVDs; Jukeboxes
- Used more as backups... Why ?
- Very slow to write (if possible at all)
- Tape storage
- Backups; super-cheap; painful to access
- IBM just released a secure tape drive storage solution

\section*{How important is this today?}
- Trade-offs shifted drastically over last 10-15 years

- Especially with fast network, SSDs, and high memories
- However, the volume of data is also growing quite rapidly
- Some observations:
- Cheaper to access another computer's memory than accessing your own disk
- Cache is playing more and more important role
- Enough memory around that data often fits in memory of a single machine, or a cluster of machines
- "Disk" considerations less important
- Still: Disks are where most of the data lives today
- Similar reasoning/algorithms required though

\section*{CMSC424: Database Design}

\section*{Module: Database Implementation}

\section*{Storage: Disks and SSDs}

Instructor: Amol Deshpande
amol@cs.umd.edu

\section*{Disks and SSDs}
- Book Chapters
- 10.2
- Key topics:
- Key components
- Characteristics
- Solid State Drives
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2006
Western Digital
500GB
Weight (max. g): 600g



375

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline "Typical" Values &  \\
\hline Diameter: & 1 inch \(\rightarrow 15\) inches \\
\hline Cylinders: & \(100 \rightarrow 2000\) \\
\hline Surfaces: & 1 or 2 \\
\hline (Tracks/cyl) 2 (flop & pies) \(\rightarrow 30\) \\
\hline Sector Size: & 512B \(\rightarrow\) 50K \\
\hline Capacity \(\rightarrow\) & 360 KB to 2TB (as of Feb 2010) \\
\hline Rotations per minute (rpm) \(\rightarrow\) & 5400 to 15000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Accessing Data}
- Accessing a sector
- Time to seek to the track (seek time)
- average 4 to 10 ms
- Waiting for the sector to get under the head (rotational latency)
- average 4 to 11 ms
- + Time to transfer the data (transfer time)
- very low
- About 10 ms per access
- So if randomly accessed blocks, can only do 100 block transfers
- \(100 \times 512\) bytes \(=50 \mathrm{~KB} / \mathrm{s}\)
- Data transfer rates
- Rate at which data can be transferred (w/o any seeks)
- 30-50MB/s to up to 200MB/s (Compare to above)
- Seeks are bad!

\section*{Reliability}
- Mean time to/between failure (MTTF/MTBF):
- 57 to 136 years
- Consider:
- 1000 new disks
- 1,200,000 hours of MTTF each
- On average, one will fail 1200 hours \(=50\) days !
- Need to assume disk failures are common
- Handled today through keeping data in duplicate, or triplicate
- If a disk fails, replace with a new disk and copy data over

\section*{Disk Controller}
- Interface between the disk and the CPU
- Accepts the commands
- checksums to verify correctness
- Remaps bad sectors


\section*{Optimizing block accesses}
- Typically sectors too small
- Block: A contiguous sequence of sectors
- 512 bytes to several Kbytes
- All data transfers done in units of blocks
- Scheduling of block access requests ?
- Considerations: performance and fairness
- Elevator algorithm

\section*{Solid State Drives}
- Essentially flash that emulates hard disk interfaces


\section*{Solid State Drives}
- Still support the same "block-oriented" interface
- So reads/writes happen in units of blocks
- No seeks \(\rightarrow\) Much better random reads performance
- Writes are more complicated
- Must write an entire block at a time, after first "erasing" it
- Limit on how many times you can erase a block
- Wear leveling
- Distributes writes across the SSD for uniform wearing out
- Flash Translation Layer (FTL) takes care of these issues
- About a factor of 5-10 more expensive right now
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\section*{Virtual memory and buffer manager}
- Book Chapters
- 10.7 and other resources (VM not covered in book)
- Key topics:
- Role of a Buffer Manager
- Buffer Manager Replacement Policies
- Key requirements and definitions for Buffer Manager
- Brief recap of Virtual Memory and Why it matters in practice

\section*{Query Processing/Storage}
 requests


Buffer Management


Space Management on Persistent Storage (e.g., Disks)
- Given an input user query, decide how to "execute" it
- Specify sequence of pages to be brought in memory
- Operate upon the tuples to produce results
- Bringing pages from disk to memory
- Managing the limited memory
- Storage hierarchy
- How are relations mapped to files?
- How are tuples mapped to disk blocks?

\section*{Buffer Manager}
- When the QP wants a block, it asks the "buffer manager"
- The block must be in memory to operate upon
- Buffer manager:
- If block already in memory: return a pointer to it
- If not:
- Evict a current page
- Either write it to temporary storage,
- or write it back to its original location,
- or just throw it away (if it was read from disk, and not modified)
- and make a request to the storage subsystem to fetch it

\section*{Buffer Manager}

Page Requests from Higher Levels


\section*{Buffer Manager}
- Similar to virtual memory manager
- Buffer replacement policies
- What page to evict?
- LRU: Least Recently Used
- Throw out the page that was not used in a long time
- MRU: Most Recently Used
- The opposite
- Why ? Works better for database "scan" operations
- LRU-k
- Look at the penultimate access rather than the last access
- Does as well as MRU for scans

\section*{Replacement Policy: Example}
- Say Buffer can hold 3 pages, and pages are: A, B, C, D, E, F
- For LRU-2: we look at the second-last access
- If no second-last access, then treat it as: \(-\infty\)
- Break ties based on last access
- Once a page goes to disk, the accesses reset
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Page Request & Lru state & mru state & Lru. 2 state & \\
\hline A & A & A & A & Order of eviction \\
\hline в & A, B & B, A & A, B & ev \\
\hline c & A, B, C & C, B, A & \(A_{A, B, C}\) & \\
\hline D & B, C, D & D, B, A & B, C, D & \\
\hline A & C, D, A & A, D, B & C, D, A & \\
\hline c & D, A, C & C, D, B & D, A, C & \\
\hline в & A, C, B & B, C, D & \(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C} \Longleftarrow\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Different from LRU - B will be evicted earlier Penultimate access for \(C\) is earlier than \(B\) (- infinity for \(B\) )

\section*{Buffer Manager}
- Pinning a block
- Not allowed to write back to the disk
- Force-output (force-write)
- Force the contents of a block to be written to disk
- Order the writes
- This block must be written to disk before this block
- Critical for fault tolerant guarantees
- Otherwise the database has no control over whats on disk and whats not on disk

\section*{Reality Check...}
- Most operating systems don't provide user programs with direct access to memory
- Some DBs built their own OSs because of this in the early days
- Most databases today run on top of your OSes
- Including our PostgreSQL
- Causes several problems
- OS Buffer Manager doesn't provide the required functionality
- No real control over when pages are written back
- Can't "pin" pages, or "force-write"

\section*{Reality Check...}
- Memory-mapped files help with many of these issues
- Allow mapping a disk file directly to virtual memory
- More efficient than going through the OS
- With increasing memory sizes, most databases now-a-days fit in memory
- Many newer database systems redesigned to exploit this
- Issues of cache/memory, how memory is managed, etc. becoming increasingly important
- Distributed/parallel architectures also add more complexity to this
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\section*{File Organization}

\section*{File Organization \& Indexes Overview}
- Book Chapters
- 10.5, 10.6
- Key topics:
- Different ways the tuples mapped to disk blocks
- Pros and cons of the different approaches



\section*{File Organization}
- Requirements and Performance Goals:
- Allow insertion/deletions of tuples/records in relations
- Fetch a particular record (specified by record id)
- Find all tuples that match a condition (say SSN =123)?
- Fetch all tuples from a specific relation (scans)
- Faster if they are all sequential/in contiguous blocks
- Allow building of "indexes"
- Auxiliary data structures maintained on disks and in memory for faster retrieval
- And so on...

\section*{File System or Not}
- Option 1: Use OS File System
- File systems are a standard abstraction provided by Operating Systems (OS) for managing data
- Major Con: Databases don't have as much control over the physical placement anymore --- OS controls that
- E.g., Say DBMS maps a relation to a "file"
- No guarantee that the file will be "contiguous" on the disk
- OS may spread it across the disk, and won't even tell the DBMS
- Option 2: DBMS directly works with the disk or uses a lightweight/custom OS
- Increasingly uncommon - most DBMSs today run on top of OSes (e.g., PostgreSQL on your laptop, or on linux VMs in the cloud, or on a distributed HDFS)

\section*{Through a File System}
- Option 1: Allocate a single "file" on the disk, and treat it as a contiguous sequence of blocks
- This is what PostgreSQL does
- The blocks may not actually be contiguous on disk
- Option 2: A different file per relation
- Some of the simpler DBMS use this approach
- Either way: we have a set of relations mapped to a set of blocks on disk
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\section*{Within block: Fixed Length Records}

- \(\mathrm{n}=\) number of bytes per record
- Store record \(i\) at position:
- \(\mathrm{n}^{*}(\mathrm{i}-1)\)
- Records may cross blocks
- Not desirable
- Stagger so that that doesn't happen
- Inserting a tuple ?
- Depends on the policy used
- One option: Simply append at the end of the record
- Deletions?
\begin{tabular}{||c|c|l|c||}
\hline record 0 & A-102 & Perryridge & 400 \\
\cline { 2 - 4 } record 1 & A-305 & Round Hill & 350 \\
\cline { 2 - 4 } record 2 & A-215 & Mianus & 700 \\
\cline { 2 - 4 } record 3 & A-101 & Downtown & 500 \\
\cline { 2 - 4 } record 4 & A-222 & Redwood & 700 \\
\cline { 2 - 4 } record 5 & A-201 & Perryridge & 900 \\
\cline { 2 - 4 } record 6 & A-217 & Brighton & 750 \\
\cline { 2 - 4 } record 7 & A-110 & Downtown & 600 \\
\cline { 2 - 4 } & A-218 & Perryridge & 700 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- Option 1: Rearrange
- Option 2: Keep a free list and use for next insert


\section*{Within block: Variable-length Records}

\section*{Slotted page/block structure}

- Indirection:
- The records may move inside the page, but the outside world is oblivious to it
- Why?
- The headers are used as a indirection mechanism
- Record ID 1000 is the 5th entry in the page number \(X\)

\section*{Across Blocks of a Relation}
- Which block should a record go to ?
- Anywhere ?
- How to search for "SSN = 123" ?
- Called "heap" organization
- Sorted by SSN ?
- Called "sequential" organization
- Keeping it sorted would be painful
- How would you search?
- Based on a "hash" key
- Called "hashing" organization
- Store the record with \(\mathrm{SSN}=\mathrm{x}\) in the block number \(\mathrm{x} \% 1000\)
- Why?

\section*{Across Blocks: Sequential File Organization}
- Keep sorted by some search key
- Insertion
- Find the block in which the tuple should be
- If there is free space, insert it
- Otherwise, must create overflow pages
- Deletions
- Delete and keep the free space
- Databases tend to be insert heavy, so free space gets used fast
- Can become fragmented
- Must reorganize once in a while

\section*{Across Blocks: Sequential File Organization}
- What if I want to find a particular record by value ?
- Account info for SSN = 123
- Binary search
- Takes \(\log (n)\) number of disk accesses
- Random accesses
- Too much
- \(\mathrm{n}=1,000,000,000--\log (\mathrm{n})=30\)
- Recall each random access approx 10 ms
- 300 ms to find just one account information
- < 4 requests satisfied per second

Indexes - next topic

\section*{Advanced Topics}
- Row vs columnar representation:
- We are largely focused on row representation
- Column-based organization much more efficient for queries
- But are not as efficient to update
- Used by most modern warehouses


Column Database stores column values together

\section*{Advanced Topics}
- Data Storage Formats used in "big data" world - Parquet, Avro, and many others
- Sophisticated on-disk and in-memory representations for maintaining very large volumes of data as "files"
- That can be emailed, shared, interpreted by many different programs
- Typically tend to be "column-oriented"
- Are not designed to be easy to update (by and large)
- Lot of work in recent years on this
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\section*{File Organization \& Indexes Overview}
- Book Chapters
- 11.1, 11.2
- Key topics:
- How an "index" helps efficiently find tuples that satisfy a condition?
- What are key characteristics of indexes?

\section*{Index}
- A data structure for efficient search through large databaess
- Two key ideas:
- The records are mapped to the disk blocks in specific ways
- Sorted, or hash-based
- Auxiliary data structures are maintained that allow quick search
- Search key:
- Attribute or set of attributes used to look up records
- E.g. SSN for a persons table
- Two types of indexes
- Ordered indexes
- Hash-based indexes
- Think library index/catalogue


\section*{Ordered Indexes}
- Primary index
- The relation is sorted on the search key of the index
- Secondary index - It is not
- Can have only one primary index on a relation


Relation

\section*{Primary Sparse Index}
- Every key doesn't have to appear in the index
- Allows for very small indexes
- Better chance of fitting in memory
- Tradeoff: Must access the relation file even if the record is not present
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 10101 & & 10101 & Srinivasan & Comp. Sci. & 65000 & \\
\hline 32343 & \(\chi\) & 12121 & Wu & Finance & 90000 & \\
\hline 76766 & & 15151 & Mozart & Music & 40000 & \\
\hline & & 22222 & Einstein & Physics & 95000 & \\
\hline & & 32343 & El Said & History & 60000 & \\
\hline & & 33456 & Gold & Physics & 87000 & \\
\hline & & 45565 & Katz & Comp. Sci. & 75000 & \\
\hline & & 58583 & Califieri & History & 62000 & \\
\hline & & 76543 & Singh & Finance & 80000 & \\
\hline & & 76766 & Crick & Biology & 72000 & \\
\hline & & 83821 & Brandt & Comp. Sci. & 92000 & \\
\hline & & 98345 & Kim & Elec. Eng. & 80000 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Primary Dense Index}
- Every key must appear in the index
- Index becomes pretty large, but can often avoid having to go to the relation
- E.g., select * from instructor where ID \(=10000\)
- Not found in the index, so can return immediately
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 10101 & & 10101 & Srinivasan & Comp. Sci. & 65000 & \\
\hline 12121 & & 12121 & Wu & Finance & 90000 & \\
\hline 15151 & & 15151 & Mozart & Music & 40000 & \\
\hline 22222 & & 22222 & Einstein & Physics & 95000 & \\
\hline 32343 & & 32343 & El Said & History & 60000 & \\
\hline 33456 & & 33456 & Gold & Physics & 87000 & \\
\hline 45565 & & 45565 & Katz & Comp. Sci. & 75000 & \\
\hline 58583 & & 58583 & Califieri & History & 62000 & \\
\hline 76543 & & 76543 & Singh & Finance & 80000 & \\
\hline 76766 & & 76766 & Crick & Biology & 72000 & \\
\hline 83821 & & 83821 & Brandt & Comp. Sci. & 92000 & \\
\hline 98345 & \(\checkmark\) & 98345 & Kim & Elec. Eng. & 80000 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Secondary Index}
- Relation sorted on ID
- But we want an index on salary
- Must be dense
- Every search key must appear in the index


\section*{Multi-level Indexes}
- What if the index itself is too big for memory ?
- Relation size \(=\mathrm{n}=1,000,000,000\)
- Block size \(=100\) tuples per block
- So, number of pages \(=10,000,000\)
- Keeping one entry per page takes too much space
- Solution
- Build an index on the index itself


\section*{Multi-level Indexes}
- How do you search through a multi-level index?
- What about keeping the index up-to-date ?
- Tuple insertions and deletions
- This is a static structure
- Need overflow pages to deal with insertions
- Works well if no inserts/deletes
- Not so good when inserts and deletes are common
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\section*{B+-Trees}
- Book Chapters
- 11.3
- Key topics:
- B+-Trees as a multi-level index, and basic properties
- How to search in a B+-Tree?

\section*{Example B+-Tree Index}

Index Disk Blocks


\section*{B+-Tree Node Structure}
- Typical node
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline\(P_{1}\) & \(K_{1}\) & \(P_{2}\) & \(\cdots\) & \(P_{n-1}\) & \(K_{n-1}\) & \(P_{n}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- \(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}\) are the search-key values
- \(P_{i}\) are pointers to children (for non-leaf nodes) or pointers to records or buckets of records (for leaf nodes).
- The search-keys in a node are ordered
\[
K_{1}<K_{2}<K_{3}<\ldots<K_{n-1}
\]

\section*{Properties of B+-Trees}
- It is balanced
- Every path from the root to a leaf is same length
- Leaf nodes (at the bottom)
- P1 contains the pointers to tuple(s) with key K1
- ...
- Pn is a pointer to the next leaf node
- Must contain at least \(n / 2\) entries
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline\(P_{1}\) & \(K_{1}\) & \(P_{2}\) & \(\cdots\) & \(P_{n-1}\) & \(K_{n-1}\) & \(P_{n}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Properties}
- Interior nodes
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c||}
\hline\(P_{1}\) & \(K_{1}\) & \(P_{2}\) & \(\ldots\) & \(P_{n-1}\) & \(K_{n-1}\) & \(P_{n}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- All tuples in the subtree pointed to by P1, have search key < K1
- To find a tuple with key \(K 1\) ' \(<K 1\), follow P1
- ...
- Finally, search keys in the tuples contained in the subtree pointed to by Pn, are all larger than Kn -1
- Must contain at least \(\mathrm{n} / 2\) entries (unless root)

\section*{B+-Trees - Searching}
- How to search?
- Follow the pointers
- Logarithmic
- \(\log _{B / 2}(N)\), where \(B=\) Number of entries per block
- \(B\) is also called the order of the \(B+\)-Tree Index
- Typically 100 or so
- If a relation contains \(1,000,000,000\) entries, takes only 4 random accesses
- The top levels are typically in memory
- So only requires 1 or 2 random accesses per request
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\section*{Example B+-Tree Index}


If this were a "primary" index, then not all "keys" are present in the index

\section*{B+ Trees in Practice}
- Typical order: 100. Typical fill-factor: \(67 \%\).
- average fanout = 133
- Typical capacities:
- Height \(3: 133^{3}=2,352,637\) entries
- Height 4: \(133^{4}=312,900,700\) entries
- Can often hold top levels in buffer pool:
- Level \(1=1\) page \(=8\) Kbytes
- Level \(2=133\) pages \(=1\) Mbyte
- Level \(3=17,689\) pages \(=133\) MBytes
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\section*{B+-Trees: Inserts}
- Book Chapters
- 11.3.3.1
- Key topics:
- How to insert a new entry in the index while keeping it balanced and satisfying half-full guarantees

\section*{Tuple Insertion}
- Find the leaf node where the search key should go
- If already present
- Insert record in the file. Update the bucket if necessary
- This would be needed for secondary indexes
- If not present
- Insert the record in the file
- Adjust the index
- Add a new (Ki, Pi) pair to the leaf node
- Recall the keys in the nodes are sorted
- What if there is no space?


\section*{Tuple Insertion}
- Splitting a node
- Node has too many key-pointer pairs
- Needs to store \(n\), only has space for \(n-1\)
- Split the node into two nodes
- Put about half in each
- Recursively go up the tree
- May result in splitting all the way to the root
- In fact, may end up adding a level to the tree
- Pseudocode in the book!!


Figure 11.13 Insertion of "Adams" into the \(\mathrm{B}^{+}\)-tree of Figure 11.9.

\section*{B+-Trees: Insertion}


Figure 11.14 Insertion of "Lamport" into the \(\mathrm{B}^{+}\)-tree of Figure 11.13.

\section*{Another B+Tree Insertion Example}

\section*{INITIAL TREE}


Next slides show the insertion of (125) into this tree According to the Algorithm in Figure 12.13, Page 495


Insert the lowest value in L'(130) upward into the parent \(P\)

\section*{Another Example: INSERT (125)}

Step 2: Insert (130) into P by creating a temp node T


\section*{Another Example: INSERT (125)}

Step 3: Create \(P^{\prime}\); distribute from \(T\) into \(P\) and \(P^{\prime}\)


New P has only 1 key, but two pointers so it is OKAY. This follows the last 4 lines of Figure 12.13 (note that " \(n\) " = 4) \(K_{440} K^{\prime \prime}=130\). Insert upward into the root

\section*{Another Example: INSERT (125)}

Step 4: Insert (130) into the parent (R); create R'


Once again following the insert_in_parent() procedure, K" = 1000
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\section*{B+-Trees: Deletions}
- Book Chapters
- 11.3.3.2
- Key topics:
- How to delete an existing entry in the index while keeping it balanced and satisfying half-full guarantees

\section*{Updates on \(\mathrm{B}^{+}\)-Trees: Deletion}
- Find the record, delete it.
- Remove the corresponding (search-key, pointer) pair from a leaf node
- Note that there might be another tuple with the same search-key
- In that case, this is not needed
- Issue:
- The leaf node now may contain too few entries
- Why do we care?
- Solution:

See if you can borrow some entries from a sibling
2. If all the siblings are also just barely full, then merge (opposite of split)
- May end up merging all the way to the root
- In fact, may reduce the height of the tree by one

\section*{Examples of \(\mathbf{B}^{+}\)-Tree Deletion}


Deleting "Katz" - No issues

Deleting "Gold" - Just delete from the leaf
Gold can stay in the "interior" node - no need to delete it
The purpose of the search keys in the interior nodes is to "direct" searches




Figure 11.16 Deletion of "Srinivasan" from the \(\mathrm{B}^{+}\)-tree of Figure 11.13.

- Rightmost two leaves merged into a single one: (Katz, Kim, Mozart)
- Need to remove a pointer from parent node (Kim), which also becomes underful and merged with its sibling (Califieri, Einstein) \(\rightarrow\) New root
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\section*{Module: File Organization and Indexes}

\section*{Hash Indexes; Miscellaneous}

Instructor: Amol Deshpande amol@cs.umd.edu

\section*{Hash Indexes}
- Book Chapters
- 11.6, 11.7 (at a high level), 11.4.1, 11.4.5, 11.5, 11.9 (briefly)
- Key topics:
- Hash-based file organization
- Static hashing-based indexes
- Handling of bucket overflows
- B-Tree Indexes, B+-Tree File Organization
- Multi-key indexes, Bitmap indexes, R-Trees

\section*{Hash-based File Organization}

Store record with search key \(k\) in block number \(h(k)\)
e.g. for a person file,
\(h(S S N)=S S N \% 4\)
Blocks called "buckets"
What if the block becomes full?
Overflow pages
Uniformity property:
Don't want all tuples to map to the same bucket
\(h(S S N)=S S N \% 2\) would be bad


Hash functions should also be random Should handle different real datasets

\section*{Overflow Pages}

- Overflow chaining - the overflow buckets of a given bucket are chained together in a linked list.
- Above scheme is called closed hashing.
- An alternative, called open hashing, which does not use overflow buckets, is not suitable for database applications.

\section*{Hash-based File Organization}

Hashed on "branch-name"

Hash function:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& a=1, b=2, \ldots, z=26 \\
& h(a b z) \\
& \quad=(1+2+26) \% 10 \\
& \quad=9
\end{aligned}
\]

bucket 2
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 32343 & El Said & History & 80000 \\
\hline 58583 & Califieri & History & 60000 \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
bucket 3
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 22222 & Einstein & Physics & 95000 \\
\hline 33456 & Gold & Physics & 87000 \\
\hline 98345 & Kim & Elec. Eng. & 80000 \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

bucket 6

bucket 7



\section*{Hash Indexes}
- Very fast search on equality
- Can't search for "ranges" at all
- Must scan the file
- Inserts/Deletes
- Overflow pages can degrade the performance
- Can do periodic reorganization (by modifying hash functions)
- A better approach is to use "dynamic hashing"
- Allow use of a hash function that can be modified
- e.g., Extendable Hashing, or Linear Hashing

\section*{Comparison of Ordered Indexing and Hashing}
- Cost of periodic re-organization
- Relative frequency of insertions and deletions
- Is it desirable to optimize average access time at the expense of worst-case access time?
- Expected type of queries:
- Hashing is generally better at retrieving records having a specified value of the key.
- If range queries are common, ordered indices are to be preferred
- Hashing very common in distributed settings (e.g., in key-value stores)

\section*{B-Tree Index Example}


B-tree (above) and B+-tree (below) on same data - BTrees have "record pointers" at interior nodes


\section*{B-Tree Index Files (Cont.)}
- Advantages of B-Tree indices:
- May use less tree nodes than a corresponding B+-Tree.
- Sometimes possible to find search-key value before reaching leaf node.
- Disadvantages of B-Tree indices:
- Only small fraction of all search-key values are found early
- Non-leaf nodes are larger, so fan-out is reduced. Thus, B-Trees typically have greater depth than corresponding \(\mathrm{B}^{+}\)-Tree
- Insertion and deletion more complicated than in \(\mathrm{B}^{+}\)-Trees
- Implementation is harder than \(\mathrm{B}^{+}\)-Trees.
- Typically, advantages of B-Trees do not outweigh disadvantages.

\section*{B+-Tree File Organization}
- Store the records at the leaves
- Sorted order etc..


461

\section*{Multiple-Key Access}
select ID
from instructor
where dept_name = "Finance" and salary = 80000
- Possible strategies for processing query using indices on single attributes:
- Use index on dept_name to find instructors with department name Finance; test salary \(=80000\)
- Use index on salary to find instructors with a salary of \$80000; test dept_name = "Finance".
- Use dept_name index to find pointers to all records pertaining to the "Finance" department. Similarly use index on salary. Take intersection of both sets of pointers obtained.
- Called "INDEX-ANDING"

\section*{Indices on Multiple Keys}
- Composite search keys are search keys containing more than one attribute
- E.g. (dept_name, salary)
- Lexicographic ordering: \(\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)<\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\) if either
- \(a_{1}<b_{1}\), or
- \(a_{1}=b_{1}\) and \(a_{2}<b_{2}\)
- Ideal for something like:
where dept_name = "Finance" and salary \(=80000\)
- Can also efficiently handle
where dept_name = "Finance" and salary < 80000
- But cannot efficiently handle
where dept_name < "Finance" and balance \(=80000\)

\section*{Bitmap Indices}
- Specialized indexes used in data warehouses
- Assume records numbered sequentially from 0
- Given a number \(n\) it must be easy to retrieve record \(n\)
- Particularly easy if records are of fixed size
- Best for attributes that with a small domain
- E.g., gender, country, state, ...
- E.g., income-level (income broken up into a small number of levels such as 0-9999, 10000-19999, 20000-50000, 50000- infinity)
- A bitmap is simply an array of bits

\section*{Bitmap Indices (Cont.)}
- Bitmap index on an attribute has one bitmap for each value of the attribute
- Bitmap has as many bits as records
- Keeps track of whether a record has that value for the attr


\section*{Bitmap Indices (Cont.)}
- Not particularly useful for single attribute queries
- But consider a query: gender \(=\mathrm{m}\) and income_level \(=\mathrm{L} 1\)
- Retrieve individual bitmaps for those two
- Do an AND to find all records that satisfy both conditions
- Retrieve only those records
- Can also be used for gender = m or income_level = L1
- Really useful when queries have many predicates, and relations are large (i.e., a data warehouse)
- Updating bitmap indexes is very expensive


\section*{Conclusions}
- Indexing Goal: "Quickly find the tuples that match certain conditions"
- Equality and range queries most common
- Hence B+-Trees the predominant structure for on-disk representation
- Hashing is used more commonly for in-memory operations
- Many many more types of indexing structures exist
- For different types of data
- For different types of queries
- E.g. "nearest-neighbor" queries

\section*{CMSC424: Database Design}

\section*{Module: Database Implementation}

Instructor: Amol Deshpande amol@cs.umd.edu

\section*{Database Implementation}
- Shifting into discussing the internals of a DBMS
- How data stored? How queries/transactions executed?
- Topics:
- Storage: How is data stored? Important features of the storage devices (RAM, Disks, SSDs, etc)
- File Organization: How are tuples mapped to blocks
- Indexes: How to quickly find specific tuples of interest (e.g., all 'friends' of 'user0')
- Query processing: How to execute different relational operations? How to combine them to execute an SQL query?
- Query optimization: How to choose the best way to execute a query?


\section*{CMSC424: Database Design}

\section*{Module: Database Implementation}

\section*{Query Processing: Overview, and Cost Measures}

\section*{Overview and Cost Measures}
- Book Chapters
- 12.1, 12.2
- Key topics:
- Main steps in Query Processing
- How to measure the "cost" of an operation so we can compare alternatives?



\section*{"Cost"}
- Complicated to compute, but very important to decide early on
- Need to know what you are "optimizing" for
- Many competing factors in today's computing environment
- CPU Instructions
- Disk I/Os
- Network Usage - either peak or average (for distributed settings)
- Memory Usage
- Cache Misses
- ... and so on
- Want to pick the one (or combination) that's actually a bottleneck
- No sense in optimizing for "memory usage" if you have a TB of memory and a single disk
- Can do combinations by doing a weighted sum: e.g., 10 * Memory + 50 * Disk I/Os

\section*{"Cost"}
- We will focus on disk for simplicity:
- Number of I/Os ?
- Not sufficient
- Number of seeks matters a lot... why ?
- \(t_{T}\) - time to transfer one block
- \(t_{S}\) - time for one seek
- Cost for \(b\) block transfers plus \(S\) seeks
\[
b^{*} t_{T}+S{ }^{*} t_{S}
\]
- Measured in seconds
- Real systems do take CPU cost into account

\section*{"Cost" Example}
- \(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{s}}=10 \mathrm{~ms}\) (seek time)
- \(t_{\top}=\) ?
- Typical block size \(=4 \mathrm{kB}\)
- Say transfer rate \(=200 \mathrm{MB} / \mathrm{s} \rightarrow 200 \mathrm{kB} / \mathrm{ms} \rightarrow 0.02 \mathrm{~ms}\) per 4 kB
- If a plan makes 100 seeks, and transfer 100 blocks:
- Cost \(=100\) * \(10+0.02\) * \(100=1002 \mathrm{~ms}\)
- If a plan makes 1 seek, and transfer 5000 blocks:
- Cost \(=10+0.02\) * \(5000=110 \mathrm{~ms}\)
- Transfer rates keep going up (through better hardware and parallelization), but seek times are constant
- The gap keeps increasing

\section*{Next...}
- For each relational operation, we will discuss different techniques for doing them
- The basic technique usually straightforward, adaptations more complex
- For each technique, we will try to figure out roughly the number of seeks and I/Os
- Try to focus on the abstract principles involved, and not the details
- Very similar techniques used in data processing in other systems like Apache Spark, Hadoop, Python Pandas, etc.
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\section*{Module: Query Processing}

\section*{Selection Operation}

\section*{Selections}
- Book Chapters
- 12.3
- Key topics:
- Different ways to do a "selection" operation ("where" clause) based on the properties of the predicates and the availability of indexes

\section*{Selection Operation}
- select * from person where SSN = "123"
- Option 1: Sequential Scan
- Read the relation start to end and look for " 123 "
- Can always be used (not true for the other options)
- Cost?
- Let \(b_{r}=\) Number of relation blocks
- Then:
- 1 seek and \(b_{r}\) block transfers
- So:
- \(t_{S}+b_{r}{ }^{*} t_{T} \mathrm{sec}\)
- Improvements:
- If SSN is a key, then can stop when found

So on average, \(b_{r} / 2\) blocks accessed

\section*{Selection Operation}
- select * from person where \(\mathrm{SSN}=\) " 123 "
- Option 2 : Use Index
- Pre-condition:
- An appropriate index must exist
- Use the index
- Find the first leaf page that contains the search key
- Retrieve all the tuples that match by following the pointers
- If primary index, the relation is sorted by the search key

Go to the relation and read blocks sequentially
- If secondary index, must follow all pointers using the index
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Selection w/ B+-Tree Indexes} \\
\hline & cost of finding the first leaf & cost of retrieving the tuples \\
\hline primary index, candidate key, equality & \(h_{i}{ }^{*}\left(t_{T}+t_{S}\right)\) & \(1{ }^{*}\left(t_{T}+t_{S}\right)\) \\
\hline primary index, not a key, equality & \(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{*}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
1 *\left(t_{T}+t_{S}\right)+(b-1) * t_{T}
\] \\
Note: primary \(==\) sorted \(b=\) number of pages tha contain the matches
\end{tabular} \\
\hline secondary index, candidate key, equality & \(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{*}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)\) & \(1{ }^{*}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)\) \\
\hline secondary index, not a key, equality & \(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{*}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
n^{*}\left(t_{T}+t_{S}\right)
\] \\
\(n=\) number of records \\
that match \\
This can be bad
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{Selection Operation}
- Complex selections
- Conjunctive: select * from accounts where balance > 100000 and SSN = "123"
- Disjunctive: select * from accounts where balance \(>100000\) or \(\operatorname{SSN}=\) " 123 "
- Option 1: Sequential scan
- Option 2 (Conjunctive only): Using an appropriate index on one of the conditions
- E.g. Use SSN index to evaluate \(\operatorname{SSN}=\) " 123 ". Apply the second condtion to the tuples that match
- Or do the other way around (if index on balance exists)
- Which is better ?
- Option 3 (Conjunctive only) : Choose a multi-key index
- Not commonly available

\section*{Selection Operation}
- Complex selections
- Conjunctive: select * from accounts where balance > 100000 and SSN = "123"
- Disjunctive: select * from accounts where balance > 100000 or SSN = " 123 "
- Option 4: Conjunction or disjunction of record identifiers
- Use indexes to find all RIDs that match each of the conditions
- Do an intersection (for conjunction) or a union (for disjunction)
- Sort the records and fetch them in one shot
- Called "Index-ANDing" or "Index-ORing"
- Heavily used in commercial systems
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\section*{Joins}

\section*{Joins}
- Book Chapters
- 12.5.1, 12.5.2, 12.5.3, 12.5.5
- Key topics:
- Simplest way to do a join as a nested for loop
- Block Nested Loops Joins
- Using "indexes" for more efficient joins
- Hash Joins
- Sort-merge Joins

\section*{Join}
- select * from R, S where R.a = S.a
- Called an "equi-join"
- select * from R, S where \(\mid\) R. \(a-S . a \mid<0.5\)
- Not an "equi-join"
- Goal: For each tuple r in R, find all "matching" tuples in S (or vice versa)
- Simplest Algorithm ("nested loops" join)
for each tuple \(r\) in \(R\)
for each tuple s in \(S\)
\[
\text { check if r.a = s.a (or whether } \mid \text { r. } a-s . a \mid<0.5 \text { ) }
\]
- Complexity too high- also not disk efficient
- e.g., imagine if \(|R|\) and \(|S|\) both in millions of tuples

\section*{Block Nested-loops Join}
- Simple modification to the basic "nested-loops join" that is disk efficient
- Read a chunk of blocks of \(R\) from disk at a time; go through \(S\) for each chunk for each \(k\) blocks of \(R\) for each block \(B_{s}\) of \(S\)
for each tuple \(r\) in those \(k\) blocks of \(R\)
for each tuple s in Bs
check if r.a = s.a (or whether |r. \(a-s . a \mid<0.5\) )
- Cost?
- Blocks Read of \(\mathrm{R}:|\mathrm{Br}|\) (every block read exactly once)
- Blocks Read of \(\mathrm{S}:|\mathrm{Bs}|^{*}|\mathrm{Br}| / \mathrm{k}\) (every block of S read \(|\mathrm{Br}| / \mathrm{k}\) times)
- Seeks: 2 * \(|\mathrm{Br}| / \mathrm{k}\)
- Choose \(k\) to be as large as possible (but can't be more than M)
- However: We are still comparing every \(R\) tuple with every \(S\) tuple \(\rightarrow\) high CPU cost

\section*{Index Nested-loops Join}
- select * from R, S where R.a = S.a
- Called an "equi-join"
- Let's say there is an "index" on S.a for each tuple \(r\) in \(R\) use the index to find \(S\) tuples with S.a = r.a
- Blocks read of R: Br
- Blocks read of S : depends on the index (see previous formulas)
- Seeks: Br for R, but seeks for \(S\) depend on the index

\section*{Index Nested-loops Join}
- Restricted applicability
- An appropriate index must exist
- What about \(|R . a-S . a|<5\) ?
- Great for queries with joins and selections select *
from accounts, customers
where accounts.customer-SSN = customers.customer-SSN and accounts.acct-number \(=\) " \(A-101 "\)
- Only need to access one SSN from the other relation

\section*{Hash Join}
- Case 1: Smaller relation (S) fits in memory
read S in memory and build a hash index on it for each tuple \(r\) in \(R\) use the hash index on S to find tuples such that S.a = r.a
- Cost: \(b_{r}+b_{s}\) transfers, 2 seeks
- Why good?
- CPU cost is much better (even though we technically don't care about it in our cost function, in reality, it matters a lot)
- Performs much better than nested-loops join when \(S\) doesn't fit in memory (next)

\section*{Hash Join}
- Case 2: Smaller relation (S) doesn't fit in memory
- Two "phases"
- Phase 1:
- Read the relation \(R\) block by block and partition it using a hash function, h1(a)
- Create one partition for each possible value of \(h 1\) (a)
- Write the partitions to disk
- R gets partitioned into R1, R2, ..., Rk
- Similarly, read and partition S, and write partitions S1, S2, ..., Sk to disk
- Only requirement:
- Each S partition fits in memory
- Requires SQRT(Bs) Memory
- Can do "recursive" partitioning if not enough memory - rarely the case today

\section*{Hash Join}
- Case 2: Smaller relation (S) doesn't fit in memory
- Two "phases"
- Phase 2:
- Read S1 into memory, and bulid a hash index on it (S1 fits in memory)
- Using a different hash function, \(h_{2}(a)\)
- Read R1 block by block, and use the hash index to find matches.
- Repeat for S2, R2, and so on.

\section*{Hash Join}
- Case 2: Smaller relation (S) doesn't fit in memory
- Two "phases":
- Phase 1:
- Partition the relations using one hash function, \(h_{1}(a)\)
- Phase 2:
- Read \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}\) into memory, and bulid a hash index on it ( \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}\) fits in memory)
- Read \(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}\) block by block, and use the hash index to find matches.
- Cost?
- \(3\left(b_{r}+b_{s}\right)+4 * n_{h}\) block transfers \(+2\left(\left\lceil b_{r} / b_{b}\right\rceil+\left\lceil b_{s} / b_{b}\right\rceil\right)\) seeks
- Where \(b_{b}\) is the size of each output buffer
- Much better than Nested-loops join under the same conditions

\section*{Hash Join}


\section*{Hash Join: Issues}
- How to guarantee that the partitions of \(S\) all fit in memory?
- Say S = 10000 blocks, Memory = M = 100 blocks
- Use a hash function that hashes to 100 different values ?
- Eg. h1 (a) = a \% 100 ?
- Problem: Impossible to guarantee uniform split
- Some partitions will be larger than 100 blocks, some will be smaller
- Use a hash function that hashes to \(100^{*} f\) different values
- \(f\) is called fudge factor, typically around 1.2
- So we may consider h1(a) = a \% 120.
- This is okay IF a is uniformly distributed
- What if the hash function turns out to be bad?
- Repartition using a different hash function (at run time)
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\section*{Aggregates}

\section*{Group By and Aggregation}
select a, count(b)
from \(R\)
group by a;
- Hash-based algorithm
- Steps:
- Create a hash table on a, and keep the count(b) so far
- Read \(R\) tuples one by one
- For a new \(R\) tuple, "r"
- Check if \(r\).a exists in the hash table
- If yes, increment the count
- If not, insert a new value

\section*{Group By and Aggregation}
select a, count(b)
from \(R\)
group by a;
- Sort-based algorithm
- Steps:
- Sort \(R\) on a
- Now all tuples in a single group are contigous
- Read tuples of \(R\) (sorted) one by one and compute the aggregates

\section*{Group By and Aggregation}
select a, \(A G G R(b)\) from \(R\) group by \(a ;\)
- sum(), count(), min(), max(): only need to maintain one value per group - Called "distributive"
- average() : need to maintain the "sum" and "count" per group
- Called "algebraic"
- \(\operatorname{stddev}()\) : algebraic, but need to maintain some more state
- median(): can do efficiently with sort, but need two passes (called "holistic")
- First to find the number of tuples in each group, and then to find the median tuple in each group
- count(distinct b): must do duplicate elimination before the count
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\section*{Sorting and Merge Joins; Some Other Operators}

\section*{Sorting; Merge Joins}
- Book Chapters
- 12.4, 12.5.4, 12.6
- Key topics:
- How to sort when data doesn't fit in memory
- Using sorting for joins
- Duplicate elimination
- Set operations
- Outerjoins

\section*{Sorting}
- Commonly required for many operations
- Duplicate elimination, group by's, sort-merge join
- Queries may have ASC or DSC in the query
- One option:
- Read the lowest level of the index
- May be enough in many cases
- But if relation not sorted, this leads to too many random accesses
- If relation small enough...
- Read in memory, use quick sort (qsort() in C)
- What if relation too large to fit in memory ?
- External sort-merge

\section*{External sort-merge}
- Divide and Conquer !!
- Let \(M\) denote the memory size (in blocks)
- Phase 1:
- Read first M blocks of relation, sort, and write it to disk
- Read the next M blocks, sort, and write to disk ...
- Say we have to do this "N" times
- Result: \(N\) sorted runs of size \(M\) blocks each
- Phase 2:
- Merge the \(N\) runs ( \(N\)-way merge)
- Can do it in one shot if \(N<M\)

\section*{External sort-merge}
- Phase 1:
- Create sorted runs of size \(M\) each
- Result: \(N\) sorted runs of size \(M\) blocks each
- Phase 2:
- Merge the \(N\) runs ( \(N\)-way merge)
- Can do it in one shot if \(N<M\)
- What if \(N>M\) ?
- Do it recursively
- Not expected to happen
- If \(M=1000\) blocks \(=4 \mathrm{MB}\) (assuming blocks of 4 KB each)
- Can sort: \(4000 \mathrm{MB}=4 \mathrm{~GB}\) of data

Example: External Sorting Using Sort-Merge
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\section*{External Merge Sort (Cont.)}
- Cost analysis:
- Total number of merge passes required: \(\left\lceil\log _{M-1}\left(b_{r} / M\right)\right\rceil\).
- Disk accesses for initial run creation as well as in each pass is \(2 b_{r}\)
- for final pass, we don't count write cost
- we ignore final write cost for all operations since the output of an operation may be sent to the parent operation without being written to disk
Thus total number of disk accesses for external sorting:
\[
b_{r}\left(2\left\lceil\log _{M-1}\left(b_{r} / M\right)\right\rceil+1\right)
\]
- What about seeks?
- More complicated

\section*{Merge-Join (Sort-merge join)}
- Pre-condition:
- The relations must be sorted by the join attribute
- If not sorted, can sort first, and then use this algorithms
- Called "sort-merge join" sometimes
select *
from \(r\), \(s\)
where r.a1 = s.a1

Step:
1. Compare the tuples at pr and ps
2. Move pointers down the list
- Depending on the join condition
3. Repeat


\section*{Merge-Join (Sort-merge join)}
- Cost:
- If the relations sorted, then just
- \(b_{r}+b_{s}\) block transfers, some seeks depending on memory size
- What if not sorted?
- Then sort the relations first
- In many cases, still very good performance
- Typically comparable to hash join
- Observation:
- The final join result will also be sorted on a1
- This might make further operations easier to do
- E.g. duplicate elimination

\section*{Joins: Summary}
- Block Nested-loops join
- Can always be applied irrespective of the join condition
- Index Nested-loops join
- Only applies if an appropriate index exists
- Hash joins - only for equi-joins
- Join algorithm of choice when the relations are large
- Hybrid hash join
- An optimization on hash join that is always implemented
- Sort-merge join
- Very commonly used - especially since relations are typically sorted
- Sorted results commonly desired at the output
- To answer group by queries, for duplicate elimination, because of ASC/DSC

\section*{Duplicate Elimination}
select distinct a
from \(R\);
- Best done using sorting - Can also be done using hashing
- Steps:
- Sort the relation \(R\)
- Read tuples of \(R\) in sorted order
- prev = null;
- for each tuple \(r\) in \(R\) (sorted)
- if \(r\) != prev then
- Output \(r\)
- prev = r
- else
- Skip \(r\)

\section*{Set operations}
(select * from R) union (select * from S) ;
(select * from R) intersect (select * from \(S\) );
(select * from R) union all (select * from S) ;
(select * from R) intersect all (select * from S) ;
- Remember the rules about duplicates
- "union all": just append the tuples of \(R\) and \(S\)
- "union": append the tuples of \(R\) and \(S\), and do duplicate elimination
- "intersection": similar to joins
- Find tuples of R and S that are identical on all attributes
- Can use hash-based or sort-based algorithm

\section*{Outer Joins}
- Say: R FULL OUTER JOIN S, on R.a = S.a
- Need to keep track of which tuples of R "do not match" any tuples from S , and vice versa
- Hash-based, with a hash index on S:
- For a tuple \(r\) in \(R\), if the probe returns NULL, output \(r\) padded with NULLs
- For each tuple \(s\) in \(S\), maintain a Boolean variable (in the hash table) to track whether \(s\) was returned for any probes
- At the end, go through the hash table, and look for \(S\) tuples that did not match anything
- Merge join can also be adapted in a similar way
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\section*{Putting it all together}
- Book Chapters
- 12.7
- Key topics:
- How to put it all together in a query plan
- Pipelining vs Materialization
- Iterator Interface

\section*{Evaluation of Expressions}
select customer-name
from account a, customer c where a.SSN = c.SSN and a.balance < 2500

- Two options:
- Materialization
- Pipelining

\section*{Evaluation of Expressions}
- Materialization
- Evaluate each expression separately
- Store its result on disk in temporary relations
- Read it for next operation
- Pipelining
- Evaluate multiple operators simultaneously
- Skip the step of going to disk
- Usually faster, but requires more memory
- Also not always possible..
- E.g. Sort-Merge Join
- Harder to reason about

\section*{Materialization}
- Materialized evaluation is always applicable
- Cost of writing results to disk and reading them back can be quite high
- Our cost formulas for operations ignore cost of writing results to disk, so
- Overall cost \(=\) Sum of costs of individual operations + cost of writing intermediate results to disk
- Double buffering: use two output buffers for each operation, when one is full write it to disk, while the other is getting filled
- Allows overlap of disk writes with computation and reduces execution time

\section*{Pipelining}
- Evaluate several operations simultaneously, passing the results of one operation on to the next.
- E.g., in previous expression tree, don't store result of
\(\sigma_{\text {balance }<2500}\) (account)
- instead, pass tuples directly to the join.. Similarly, don't store result of join, pass tuples directly to projection.
- Much cheaper: no need to store a temporary relation to disk.
- Requires higher amount of memory
- All operations are executing at the same time (say as processes)
- Somewhat limited applicability
- A "blocking" operation: An operation that has to consume entire input before it starts producing output tuples

\section*{Pipelining}
- Need operators that generate output tuples while receiving tuples from their inputs
- Selection: Usually yes.
- Sort: NO. The sort operation is blocking
- Sort-merge join: The final (merge) phase can be pipelined
- Hash join: The partitioning phase is blocking; the second phase can be pipelined
- Aggregates: Typically no. Need to wait for the entire input before producing output
- However, there are tricks you can play here
- Duplicate elimination: Since it requires sort, the final merge phase could be pipelined
- Set operations: see duplicate elimination

\section*{Pipelining: Demand-driven}

\section*{- Iterator Interface}
- Each operator implements:
- init(): Initialize the state (sometimes called open())
- get_next(): get the next tuple from the operator
- close(): Finish and clean up
- Sequential Scan:
- init(): open the file
- get_next(): get the next tuple from file
- close(): close the file
- Execute by repeatadly calling get_next() at the root
- root calls get_next() on its children, the children call get_next() on their children etc...
- The operators need to maintain internal state so they know what to do when the parent calls get_next()

\section*{Hash-Join Iterator Interface}
- open():
- Call open() on the left and the right children
- Decide if partitioning is needed (if size of smaller relation > allotted memory)
- Create a hash table
- get_next(): ((( assuming no partitioning needed )))
- First call:
- Get all tuples from the right child one by one (using get_next()), and insert them into the hash table
- Read the first tuple from the left child (using get_next())
- All calls:
- Probe into the hash table using the "current" tuple from the left child
- Read a new tuple from left child if needed
- Return exactly "one result"
- Must keep track if more results need to be returned for that tuple

\section*{Hash-Join Iterator Interface}
- close():
- Call close() on the left and the right children
- Delete the hash table, other intermediate state etc...
- get_next(): (((partitioning needed )))
- First call:
- Get all tuples from both children and create the partitions on disk
- Read the first partition for the right child and populate the hash table
- Read the first tuple from the left child from appropriate partition
- All calls:
- Once a partition is finished, clear the hash table, read in a new partition from the right child, and re-populate the hash table
- Not that much more complicated
- Take a look at the postgreSQL codebase

\section*{Pipelining (Cont.)}

\section*{- In produce-driven or eager pipelining}
- Operators produce tuples eagerly and pass them up to their parents
- Buffer maintained between operators, child puts tuples in buffer, parent removes tuples from buffer
- if buffer is full, child waits till there is space in the buffer, and then generates more tuples
- System schedules operations that have space in output buffer and can process more input tuples

\section*{Recap: Query Processing}
- Many, many ways to implement the relational operations
- Numerous more used in practice
- Especially in data warehouses which handles TBs (even PBs) of data
- However, consider how complex SQL is and how much you can do
- Compared to that, this isn't much
- Most of it is very nicely modular
- Especially through use of the iterator() interface
- Can plug in new operators quite easily
- PostgreSQL query processing codebase very easy to read and modify
- Having so many operators does complicate the codebase and the query optimizer though
- But needed for performance

\section*{CMSC424: Database Design}

\section*{Module: Query Processing}

\section*{Query Optimization}

Instructor: Amol Deshpande
amol@umd.edu

\section*{Query Optimization: Overview}
- Key topics:
- Why query optimization is so important?
- Key steps in query optimization
- High-level concepts
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\section*{Query Optimization}
- Why ?
- Many different ways of executing a given query
- Huge differences in cost
- Example:
- select * from person where ssn = " 123 "
- Size of person = 1GB
- Sequential Scan:
- Takes 1GB / (20MB/s) = 50s
- Use an index on SSN (assuming one exists):
- Approx 4 Random I/Os = 40ms

\section*{Query Optimization}
- Many choices
- Using indexes or not, which join method (hash, vs merge, vs NL)
- What join order ?
- Given a join query on \(R, S, T\), should \(I\) join \(R\) with \(S\) first, or \(S\) with T first?
- This is an optimization problem
- Similar to say traveling salesman problem
- Number of different choices is very very large
- Step 1: Figuring out the solution space
- Step 2: Finding algorithms/heuristics to search through the solution space

\section*{Query Optimization: Goal}
- Find the best (or a good enough) execution plan

- Execution plans = Evaluation expressions annotated with the methods used


Figure 16.2 An evaluation plan.

\section*{Query Optimization}
- Steps:
- Generate all possible execution plans for the query
- Figure out the cost for each of them
- Choose the best
- Not done exactly as listed above
- Too many different execution plans for that
- Typically interleave all of these into a single efficient search algorithm

\section*{Equivalence of Expressions}
- Equivalent relational expressions
- Drawn as a tree
- List the operations and the order

(a) Initial expression tree

(b) Transformed expression tree

\section*{Equivalence of Expressions}
- Two relational expressions equivalent iff:
- Their result is identical on all legal databases
- Equivalence rules:
- Allow replacing one expression with another
- Examples:
1. \(\sigma_{\theta_{1} \wedge \theta_{2}}(E)=\sigma_{\theta_{1}}\left(\sigma_{\theta_{2}}(E)\right)\)
2. Selections are commutative
\[
\sigma_{\theta_{1}}\left(\sigma_{\theta_{2}}(E)\right)=\sigma_{\theta_{2}}\left(\sigma_{\theta_{1}}(E)\right)
\]

\section*{Equivalence Rules}
- Examples:
3. \(\Pi_{L_{1}}\left(\Pi_{L_{2}}\left(\ldots\left(\Pi_{L n}(E)\right) \ldots\right)\right)=\Pi_{L_{1}}(E)\)
5. \(E_{1} \bowtie_{\theta} E_{2}=E_{2} \bowtie_{\theta} E_{1}\)

7(a). If \(\theta_{0}\) only involves attributes from \(E_{1}\)
\[
\sigma_{\theta 0}\left(E_{1} \bowtie_{\theta} E_{2}\right)=\left(\sigma_{\theta 0}\left(E_{1}\right)\right)^{\bowtie}{ }_{\theta} E_{2}
\]
- And so on...
- Many rules of this type


\section*{Equivalence of Expressions}
- The rules give us a way to enumerate all equivalent expressions
- Note that the expressions don't contain physical access methods, join methods etc...
- Simple Algorithm:
- Start with the original expression
- Apply all possible applicable rules to get a new set of expressions
- Repeat with this new set of expressions
- Till no new expressions are generated

\section*{Equivalence of Expressions}
- Works, but is not feasible
- Consider a simple case:
- \(R 1 \bowtie(R 2 \bowtie(R 3 \bowtie(\ldots \bowtie R n))) \ldots\).
- Just join commutativity and associativity will give us:
- At least:
- \(\mathrm{n}^{\wedge} 2\) * \(2^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\)
- At worst:
- n! * \(2^{\wedge} n\)
- Typically the process of enumeration is combined with the search process

\section*{Evaluation Plans}
- We still need to choose the join methods etc..
- Option 1: Choose for each operation separately
- Usually okay, but sometimes the operators interact
- Consider joining three relations on the same attribute:
- \(R 1 \bowtie_{a}\left(R 2 \bowtie_{a} R 3\right)\)
- Best option for R2 join R3 might be hash-join
- But if R1 is sorted on a, then sort-merge join is preferable
- Because it produces the result in sorted order by a
- Also, we need to decide whether to use pipelining or materialization
- Such issues are typically taken into account when doing the optimization

\section*{Query Optimization}
- Steps:
- Generate all possible execution plans for the query
- First generate all equivalent expressions
- Then consider all annotations for the operations
- Figure out the cost for each of them
- Compute cost for each operation
- Using the formulas discussed before
- One problem: How do we know the number of result tuples for, say, \(\sigma_{\text {balance }<2500}\) (account)
- Add them !
- Choose the best

\section*{Cost estimation}
- Computing operator costs requires information like:
- Primary key ?
- Sorted or not, which attribute
- So we can decide whether need to sort again
- How many tuples in the relation, how many blocks ?
- RAID ?? Which one ?
- Read/write costs are quite different
- How many tuples match a predicate like "age > 40" ?
- E.g. Need to know how many index pages need to be read
- Intermediate result sizes
- E.g. (R JOIN S) is input to another join operation - need to know if it fits in memory
- And so on...

\section*{Cost estimation}
- Some information is static and is maintained in the metadata
- Primary key ?
- Sorted or not, which attribute
- So we can decide whether need to sort again
- How many tuples in the relation, how many blocks ?
- RAID ?? Which one ?
- Read/write costs are quite different
- Typically kept in some tables in the database
- "all_tab_columns" in Oracle
- Most systems have commands for updating them

\section*{Cost estimation}
- However, others need to be estimated somehow
- How many tuples match a predicate like "age > 40" ?
- E.g. Need to know how many index pages need to be read
- Intermediate result sizes
- The problem variously called:
- "intermediate result size estimation"
- "selectivity estimation"
- Very important to estimate reasonably well
- e.g. consider "select * from R where zipcode \(=20742\) "
- We estimate that there are 10 matches, and choose to use a secondary index (remember: random I/Os)
- Turns out there are 10000 matches
- Using a secondary index very bad idea
- Optimizer also often choose Nested-loop joins if one relation very small... underestimation can result in very bad

\section*{Selectivity Estimation}
- Basic idea:
- Maintain some information about the tables
- More information \(\rightarrow\) more accurate estimation
- More information \(\rightarrow\) higher storage cost, higher update cost
- Make uniformity and randomness assumptions to fill in the gaps
- Example:
- For a relation "people", we keep:
- Total number of tuples \(=100,000\)
- Distinct "zipcode" values that appear in it \(=100\)
- Given a query: "zipcode = 20742"
- We estimated the number of matching tuples as: \(100,000 / 100=1000\)
- What if I wanted more accurate information?
- Keep better statistics/summaries...

\section*{Examples}
- Consider a range query: \(x<R . a<y\)
- Let \(\operatorname{Max}(a, R)=\) maximum value of a in \(R\)
- Let \(\operatorname{Min}(a, R)=\) minimum value of \(a\) in \(R\)
- Then: fraction of tuples that satisfy \(=(y-x) /(\) Max - Min \()\)
- Assuming all tuples are distributed uniformly and randomly
- If \(y>\) Max or \(x<\) Min \(\rightarrow\) adjust accordingly
- Better summary statistics (like histograms) can help with refining these estimates

\section*{Example: Joins}
- R JOIN S: R.a = S.a
- \(|R|=10,000 ;|S|=5000\)
- CASE 1: a is key for S
- Each tuple of \(R\) joins with exactly one tuple of \(S\)
- So: \(\mid\) R JOIN S| \(=|R|=10,000\)
- Assumption: Referential integrity holds
- What if there is a selection on R or S
- Adjust accordingly
- Say: S.b=100, with selectivity 0.1
- THEN: \(\mid \mathrm{R}\) JOIN S \(|=|\mathrm{R}|\) * \(0.1=100\)
- CASE 2: a is key for R
- Similar

\section*{Joins}
- R JOIN S: R.a = S.a
- \(|R|=10,000 ;|S|=5000\)
- CASE 3: a is not a key for either
- Reason with the distributions on a
- Say: the domain of a: \(V(A, R)=100\) (the number of distinct values a can take)
- THEN, assuming uniformity
- For each value of a
- We have \(10,000 / 100=100\) tuples of \(R\) with that value of a
- We have 5000/100 = 50 tuples of \(S\) with that value of a
- All of these will join with each other, and produce \(100 * 50=5000\)
- So total number of results in the join:
- 5000 * \(100=500000\)
- We can improve the accuracy if we know the distributions on a better - Say using a histogram

\section*{Query Optimization}
- Steps:
- Generate all possible execution plans for the query
- First generate all equivalent expressions
- Then consider all annotations for the operations
- Figure out the cost for each of them
- Compute cost for each operation
- Using the formulas discussed before
- One problem: How do we know the number of result tuples for, say, \(\sigma_{\text {balance }<2500}\) (account)
- Add them !
- Choose the best

\section*{Optimization Algorithms}
- Two types:
- Exhaustive: That attempt to find the best plan
- Heuristical: That are simpler, but are not guaranteed to find the optimal plan
- Consider a simple case
- Join of the relations R1, ..., Rn
- No selections, no projections
- Still very large plan space

\section*{Searching for the best plan}
- Option 1:
- Enumerate all equivalent expressions for the original query expression
- Using the rules outlined earlier
- Estimate cost for each and choose the lowest
- Too expensive !
- Consider finding the best join-order for \(r_{1} \bowtie r_{2} \bowtie \ldots r_{n}\).
- There are \((2(n-1))!/(n-1)\) ! different join orders for above expression. With \(n=7\), the number is 665280, with \(n=10\), the number is greater than 176 billion!

\section*{Searching for the best plan}
- Option 2:
- Dynamic programming
- There is too much commonality between the plans
- Also, costs are additive
- Caveat: Sort orders (also called "interesting orders")
- Reduces the cost down to \(O\left(n 3^{\wedge} n\right)\) or \(O\left(n 2^{\wedge} n\right)\) in most cases
- Interesting orders increase this a little bit
- Considered acceptable
- Typically \(\mathrm{n}<10\).
- Switch to heuristic if not acceptable

\section*{Heuristic Optimization}
- Dynamic programming is expensive
- Use heuristics to reduce the number of choices
- Typically rule-based:
- Perform selection early (reduces the number of tuples)
- Perform projection early (reduces the number of attributes)
- Perform most restrictive selection and join operations before other similar operations.
- Some systems use only heuristics, others combine heuristics with partial cost-based optimization.

\section*{Summary}
- Integral component of query processing
- Why ?
- One of the most complex pieces of code in a database system
- Active area of research
- E.g. XML Query Optimization ?
- What if you don't know anything about the statistics
- Better statistics
- Etc ...
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\section*{NoSQL and Big Data Systems: Motivation}

■ Book Chapters
\(\star\) 10.1, 10.2 ( \(7^{\text {TH }}\) EDITION)
■ Key topics:
\(\star\) Big data motivating scenarios
* Why systems so far (relational databases, data warehouses, parallel databases) don't work

\section*{RDBMS: Application Scenarios}

\section*{Online Transaction Processing (OLTP)}
\(\star\) E-commerce, Airline Reservations, Class registrations, etc.
Simple queries (get all orders for a customer)
Many updates (inserts, updates, deletes)
*Need ACID properties (consistency, etc.)
\(\square\) Online Analytical Processing (OLAP)
Decision-support, data mining, ML (today), etc.
\(\star\) Huge volumes of data, but not updated
*Complex, but read-only queries (many joins, group-by's)

\section*{RDBMS Evolution}

Original database systems aimed to support both use cases Slowly, specialized systems were built, starting late 80's-early 90 's, especially for decision support (Data Warehouses)

Today, different RDBMSs systems for different use cases, e.g.,:

> VoltDB for OLTP - fully in-memory, very fast transactions, but no complex queries
> *eradata, Aster Data, Snowflake, AWS Redshift - handle PBs of data, but batch updates only - many indexes and summary structures (cubes) for queries typically "parallel" (i.e., use many machines)

Fundamental and wide differences in the technology

But both still support SQL as the primary interface (with visualizations, exploration, and other tools on top)

\section*{NoSQL + Big Data Systems: Motivation}
- Very large volumes of data being collected
\(\star\) Driven by growth of web, social media, and more recently internet-of-things
\(\star\) Web logs were an early source of data
> Analytics on web logs has great value for advertisements, web site structuring, what posts to show to a user, etc

Big Data: differentiated from data handled by earlier generation databases
* Volume: much larger amounts of data stored
\(\star\) Velocity: much higher rates of insertions
* Variety: many types of data, beyond relational data


\section*{Some motivating scenarios}

Deciding what to show a user in a social network, or news aggregator
Advertising on the Web or Mobile
Analyzing user behavior on web sites to optimize or increase engagement
- Analyzing large numbers of images and building search indexes on them
- Text analytics for topic modelling, summarization, ...
- Internet of things...
- And many many others...

\section*{Two Primary Use Cases}

\section*{OLTP-like}
\(\star\) Simple queries, but lots of updates
* Need to support distributed users
* Need to support non-relational data (e.g., graphs, JSONs)
* Need to scale fast (10 users to 10s of Millions of Users)
\(\star\) Need to work well in 3-tier Web Apps
* Need to support fast schema changes

\section*{OLAP-like}
* Complex analysis on large volumes of data
\(\star\) Often no "real-time" component, and no updates
* Mostly non-relational data (images, webpages, text, etc)
* Tasks often procedural in nature (analyse webpages for searching, data cleaning, ML)

\section*{Why (Parallel) Databases Don't Work}
- The data is often not relational in nature
* E.g., images, text, graphs
- The analysis/queries are not relational in nature
* E.g., Image Analysis, Text Analytics, Natural Language Processing, Web Analytics, Social Network Analysis, Machine Learning, etc.
* Databases don't really have constructs to support this
> User-defined functions can help to some extent
* Need to interleave relational-like operations with non-relational (e.g., data cleaning, etc.)
* Domain users are more used to procedural languages

■ The operations are often one-time
* Only need to analyse images once in a while to create a "deep learning" model
* Databases are really better suited for repeated analysis of the data
- Much of the analysis not time-sensitive
- Parallel databases too expensive given the data volumes
\(\star\) Were designed for large enterprises, with typically big budgets

\section*{Parallel and Distributed Architectures}

\section*{Ability to scale "up" a computer is limited \(\rightarrow\) Use many computers together \\ * Called cluster or network of computers (and today, just a "data center")}

Also need to "meet" where the users are
To minimize interactive latencies (e.g., social networks)

Has made parallel and distributed architectures very common today

\section*{Parallel Architectures}

■ Shared-nothing vs. shared-memory vs. shared-disk


\section*{Parallel Architectures}


Figure 20.6 Architecture of a modern shared-memory system.


\section*{Parallel Architectures}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline & Shared Memory & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Shared Disk } & Shared Nothing \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Communication \\
between \\
processors
\end{tabular} & Extremely fast & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Disk interconnect \\
is very fast
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Over a LAN, so \\
slowest
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Scalability? & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Not beyond 32 or \\
64 or so (memory \\
bus is the \\
bottleneck)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Not very scalable \\
(disk interconnect \\
is the bottleneck)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Very very \\
scalable
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Notes & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Cache-coherency \\
an issue
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Transactions \\
complicated; \\
natural fault- \\
tolerance.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Distributed \\
transactions are \\
complicated \\
(deadlock \\
detection etc);
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Main use & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Low degrees of \\
parallelism
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Not used very \\
often
\end{tabular} & Everywhere \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Parallel Systems}
- A coarse-grain parallel machine \(\rightarrow\) a small number of powerful processors
- A massively parallel or fine grain parallel machine \(\rightarrow\) thousands of smaller processors.
- We see a variety of mixes of these today, especially with the rise of multi-core machines
- Two main performance measures:
- throughput --- the number of tasks that can be completed in a given time interval
- response time --- the amount of time it takes to complete a single task from the time it is submitted

\section*{Speed-Up and Scale-Up}
- Speedup: a fixed-sized problem executing on a small system is given to a system which is N -times larger.
- Measured by:
speedup \(=\frac{\text { small system elapsed time }}{\text { large system elapsed time }}\)
- Speedup is linear if equation equals \(N\).


Scaleup: increase the size of both the problem and the system
- \(N\)-times larger system used to perform \(N\)-times larger job
- Measured by:
scaleup = small system small problem elapsed time
big system big problem elapsed time
- Scale up is linear if equation equals 1.


\section*{Factors Limiting Speedup and Scaleup}
- Sequential computation: Some parts may not be parallalelizable
- Amdahl's Law: If " \(p\) " is the fraction of the task that can be parallelized, then the best speedup you can get is: \(\frac{1}{(1-p)+(p / n)}\).
- Startup costs: Cost of starting up multiple processes may dominate computation time, if the degree of parallelism is high.
- Interference: Processes accessing shared resources (e.g., system bus, disks, or locks) compete with each other, thus spending time waiting on other processes, rather than performing useful work.
- Skew: Increasing the degree of parallelism increases the variance in service times of parallely executing tasks. Overall execution time determined by slowest of parallely executing tasks.

\section*{What about "Distributed" Systems?}
- Over a wide area network
- Typically not done for performance reasons
* For that, use a parallel system
- Done because of necessity
* Imagine a large corporation with offices all over the world
\(\star\) Or users distributed across the globe
* Also, for redundancy and for disaster recovery reasons


Figure 20.9 A distributed system.
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\section*{Data Replication; Sharding; Failures}

\section*{Parallel or Distributed Systems}

■ Key Questions from Data Management Perspective:
- How to partition (or "shard") data across a collection of storage devices/machines
- How to execute an "operation" across a group of computers
, In different configurations (shared-memory vs shareddisk vs shared-nothing vs NUMA)
- Trade-offs and bottlenecks can be vastly different
- How to execute an "update" across a group of computers
- Need to ensure consistency
- How to deal with "failures"


\section*{Data Partitioning}
- Partition a relation or a dataset across machines
- Typically through "hashing"
- Advantages:
- In-memory computation: data fits in memory across machines
- Parallelism: simple read/write queries can be distributed across machines
- Disadvantages:
- Complex queries: require combining data across all partitions, especially "joins" are tricky


Machine 1 can directly read R1, S1

If it wants R2, Machine 2 must read it and send it to Machine 1

\section*{Data Replication}
- A data item (file, relation, relation fragment, object, tuple) is replicated if it is stored redundantly in two or more sites
- Advantages:
- Availability: failures can be handled through replicas
- Parallelism: queries can be run on any replica
- Reduced data transfer: queries can go to the "closest" replica
- Disadvantages:
- Increased cost of updates: both computation as well as latency
- Increased complexity of concurrency control: need to update all copies of a data item/tuple


Read queries can go to any machine

Write queries must go to "all" machines (if we want consistency)
e.g., what if Application 1 writes to Machine 1, and Application 2 sends its write to Machine 3
-- May result in an inconsistent state

\section*{Data Sharding + Replication}

■ Many data management systems today combine both
\(\star\) Partition a dataset/file/relation into smaller pieces and distributed it across machines

Replicate each of the pieces multiple times
- This may be done:
\(\star\) In a data center with very fast networks, or
* In a wide-area setting with slower networks and higher latencies

\section*{So need to worry about:}
* Efficient execution of complex queries
\(\star\) Consistency for updates
Recovery from failures

\section*{Failures}

Need to consider:
* Disk failures: one of the disks (hard drives or SSDs) fails > Not uncommon with 10's of thousands of disks
\(\star\) Network failures: machines may not be able to talk to each other
\(\star\) Machine failure: a machine crashes during the execution of a query or a transaction

\section*{Required guarantees:}
* Shouldn’t lose any data if a disk fails
\(\star\) Consistency (when making updates) shouldn't be affected if one of the involved machines fails
> Or if machines are not able to talk to each other
* Shouldn't have to restart a complex analytics task entirely if one of the involved machines fails
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\section*{Overview (Cntd)}

\section*{Two Primary Use Cases}

\section*{OLTP-like}
* Simple queries, but lots of updates
* Need to support distributed users
* Need to support non-relational data (e.g., graphs, JSONs)
* Need to scale fast (10 users to 10s of Millions of Users)
\(\star\) Need to work well in 3-tier Web Apps
* Need to support fast schema changes
- OLAP-like
* Complex analysis on large volumes of data
* Often no "real-time" component, and no updates
* Mostly non-relational data (images, webpages, text, etc)
* Tasks often procedural in nature (analyse webpages for searching, data cleaning, ML)

\section*{Examples of Systems}

Too much variety in the systems out there today
\(\star\) different types of data models supported
> Files/Objects (HDFS, AWS S3), Document (MongoDB), Graph (Neo4j), Widetable (Cassandra, DynamoDB), Multi-Model (Azure CosmosDB)
* different types of query languages or frameworks or workloads
> SQL (Snowflake, Redshift, ...), MongoQL, Cassandra QL, DataFrames (Spark), MapReduce (Hadoop), TensorFlow for ML, ...
* different environmental assumptions
> Distributed vs parallel, disks or in-memory only, single-machine or not, streaming or static, etc.
* different performance focus and/or guarantees
> e.g., consistency guarantees in a distributed setting differ quite a bit
Many of these systems work with each
* e.g., Spark can read data from most of the storage systems
\(\star\) Interoperability increasing a requirement

\section*{What We Will Cover}
- Apache Spark
* Current leader in big data (OLAP-style) frameworks
* Supports many query/analysis models, including a light version of SQL
- MongoDB
* Perhaps the most popular NoSQL system, uses a "document" (JSON) data model
* Focus primarily on OLTP
* Doesn't really support joins (some limited ability today) - have to do that in the app
- How to "Parallelize" Operations
* Useful to understand how Spark and other systems actually work
* Often times you have to build these in the application layer
* The original MapReduce framework
> Led to development of much work on large-scale data analysis (OLAP-style)
> Basically a way to execute a group-by at scale on non-relational data
- Hadoop Distributed File System (briefly)
* A key infrastructure piece, with no real alternative
* Basic file system interface, with replication and redundancy built in for failures
- Quick overview of other NoSQL data models
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\section*{Apache Spark}

\section*{Apache Spark}

\section*{Book Chapters}
10.4 ( \(7^{\text {TH }}\) EDITION) covers this topic, but Spark programming guide is a better resource
Assignments will refer to the programming guide

\section*{Key topics:}
\(\star\) A Resilient Distributed Dataset (RDD)
\(\star\) Operations on RDDs

\section*{Spark}
- Open-source, distributed cluster computing framework
- Much better performance than Hadoop MapReduce through inmemory caching and pipelining
- Originally provided a low-level RDD-centric API, but today, most of the use is through the "Dataframes" (i.e., relations) API
* Dataframes support relational operations like Joins, Aggregates, etc.


\section*{Resilient Distributed Dataset (RDD)}

RDD = Collection of records stored across multiple machines in-memory


\section*{Spark}

\section*{Why "Resilient"?}
\(\star\) Can survive the failure of a worker node
* Spark maintains a "lineage graph" of how each RDD partition was created
* If a worker node fails, the partitions are recreated from its inputs
* Only a small set of well-defined operations are permitted on the RDDs
> But the operations usually take in arbitrary "map" and "reduce" functions


Fault tolerance for the "driver" is trickier
* Drivers have arbitrary logic (cf., the programs you are writing)
* In some cases (e.g., Spark Streaming), you can do fault tolerance
\(\star\) But in general, driver failure requires a restart

\section*{Example Spark Program}


\section*{Spark}

\section*{Operations often take in a "function" as input}

■ Using the inline "lambda" functionality
flatMap(lambda line: line.split(" "))

\section*{Or a more explicit function declaration}
```

def split(line):
return line.split(" ")
flatMap(split)

```

Similarly "reduce" functions essentially tell Spark how to do pairwise aggregation
reduceByKey(lambda a, b: a + b)
* Spark will apply this to the dataset pair of values at a time
* Difficult to do something like "median"


\section*{Spark: groupByKey}

InputRDD: [(a1, b1), (a2, b2), (a1, b3), (a1, b4), (a2, b5)...]

InputRDD must be a collection of 2-tuples Usually called (Key, Value) pairs
Value can be anything (e.g., dicts, tuples, bytes)
groupByKey()

outputRDD: \([(a 1,[b 1, b 3, b 4, \ldots]),(a 2,[b 3, b 5, \ldots]), \ldots]\)

\section*{Spark: reduceByKey}

InputRDD: [(a1, b1), (a2, b2), (a1, b3), (a1, b4), (a2, b5)...]

def func(V1, V2): return V3

All of V1, V2, and V3 be of the same type
outputRDD: [(a1, ...func(func(b1, b3), b4)...),
(a2, ...func(func(b2, b5), ...)...),]
"func" executed in parallel in a pairwise fashion


\section*{Spark: cogroup}

InputRDD1: [(a1, b1), (a2, b2), (a1, b3), (a1, b4), (a2, b5)...]
InputRDD2: [(a1, c1), (a2, c2), (a1, c3), (a1, c4), (a2, c5)...]
InputRDD1 and InputRDD2 both must be a collection of 2-tuples

inputRDD1.cogroup(inputRDD2)

outputRDD: [ (a1, ([b1, b3, b4, ...], [c1, c3, c4, ...]), (a2, ([b2, b5, ...], [c2, c5, ...]), ...

\section*{RDD Operations}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Transformations} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{The following table lists some of the common transformations supported by Spark. Refer to the RDD API doc (Scala, Java, Python, R) and pair RDD functions doc (Scala, Java) for details.} \\
\hline Transtormation & Meaning \\
\hline map(func) & Return a new distributed dataset formed by passing each element of the source through a function func. \\
\hline filtor(func) & Return a new dataset formed by selecting those elements of the source on which func returns true. \\
\hline flatMap(iunc) & Sinilar to map, but each input item can be mapped to 0 or more output items (so func should return a Seq rather than a single item). \\
\hline mapPartitions(func) & Similar to map, but runs separately on each partition (block) of the RDD, so func must be of type Iterator \(<T>\Rightarrow>\mid\) terator<U> when running on an RDD of type \(T\). \\
\hline mapPartitionsWithIndex(func) & Similar to mapPartitions, but also provides func with an integer value representing the index of the parition, so func must be of type (int. Iterator \(<T>\) ) \(\Rightarrow>\) Iterator \(<U>\) when running on an RDD of type \(T\). \\
\hline sample(withReplacement, fraction, seec) & Sample a fraction fraction of the data, with or without replacement, using a given random number generator seed. \\
\hline union(otheroatasef) & Return a new dataset that contains the union of the elements in the source dataset and the argument. \\
\hline intersection(therdataset) & Return a new FDD that contains the intersection of elements in the source dataset and the argument. \\
\hline distinot([rumParitions])) & Return a now dataset that contains the distinot tiements of the sourco dataset. \\
\hline groupsyKey(InumPartions) & When called on a dataset of ( \(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{V}\) ) pairs, returns a dataset of ( K , Iterable \(<\mathrm{V}>\) ) pairs. Note: If you are grouping in order to perform an aggregation (such as a sum or average) over each key, using reduceByKey or aggregateByKey will yield much better performance. Note: By default, the level of parallelism in the output depends on the number of partitions of the parent RDD. You can pass an optional numPartitions argument to set a different number of tasks. \\
\hline reduceByKeyifuno, [rumPartitions) & When called on a dataset of ( \(K, V)\) pairs, returns a dataset of ( \(K, V\) ) pars where the values for each key are aggregated using the given reduce function func, which must be of type \((N, V)\) \(\Rightarrow\) V. Like in groupByKey, the number of reduce tasks is configurable through an optional second argument \\
\hline aggregateByKey(zeroValue)(seq, \(O p\), combOp, [numPartitions]) & When called on a dataset of \((\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{V})\) pairs, returns a dataset of \((\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{U})\) pairs where the values for each key are aggregated using the given combine functions and a neutral "zero" value. Allows an aggregated value type that is different than the input value type, while avoiding unnecessary allocations. Like in groupByKey, the number of reduce tasks is configurable through an optional second argument. \\
\hline soriByKey(ascendingl, [numPartitions) & When called on a dataset of \((K, V)\) pairs where \(K\) implements Ordered, returns a dataset of (K. , \(V\) ) pairs sorted by keys in ascending or descending order. as specified in the boolean \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{Dataframes Example}
```

def basic_df_example(spark):
\# $example on:create_df$
\# spark is an existing SparkSession
df = spark.read.json("examples/src/main/resources/people.json")
df.show()
\# \# +------------+
\#\# +----+-------+
\#\# 30| Andy|
\# +-----------+
\# $example on:untyped_ops$
\# Print the schema in a tree format
df.printSchema()
\# \# |-- age: long (nullable = true)
\# |-- age: long (nullable = true)
\# |-- name: string (nullable = true)
\# Select only the "name" column
df.select("name").show()
\# +-------+
\# |Michael|
\#\# Michaely
\# Select everybody, but increment the age by
df.select(df['name'], df['age'] + 1).show()
\# \# +-------+---------+
\# +-------+---------+
\# A Andy| 311
spark, df are from the previous exampl

```
FSelect people older than 21
df.filter(df['age']>21).show()
\# +--------


\section*{Summary}
- Spark is a popular and widely used framework for large-scale computing
- Simple programming interface
* You don't need to typically worry about the parallelization
\(\star\) That's handled by Spark transparently
* In practice, may need to fiddle with number of partitions etc.

■ Managed services supported by several vendors including Databricks (started by the authors of Spark), Cloudera, etc.
- Many other concepts that we did not discuss
* Shared accumulator and broadcast variables
* Support for Machine Learning, Graph Analytics, Streaming, and other use cases
Alternatives include: Apache Tez, Flink, and several others

\section*{CMSC424: Database Design}

\section*{Module: NoSQL; Big Data Systems}

\section*{MongoDB}

\section*{MongoDB: History 1}
- A prototypical NoSQL database
- Short for humongous
- First version in 2009!
- Still very popular
- IPO in 2017
- Now worth >7B in market capital (as of 2020)

\section*{MongoDB: History 1}
- A prototypical NoSQL database
- Short for humongous
- First version in 2009!
- Still very popular
- IPO in 2017
- Now worth >7B in market capital (as of 2020)

\section*{MongoDB: History 2}
- Internet \& social media boom led to a demand for
- Rapid data model evolution: "a move fast and break things" mentality to system dev
- E.g., adding a new attrib to a Facebook profile
- Contrary to DBMS wisdom of declaring schema upfront and changing rarely (costly!)
- Rapid txn support, even at the cost of losing some updates or non-atomicity
- Contrary to DBMS wisdom of ACID, esp. with distribution/2PC (costly!)
- Early version centered around storing and querying json documents quickly
- Made several tradeoffs for speed
- No joins \(\rightarrow\) now support left outer joins
- Limited query opt \(\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}\) still limited, but many improvements
- No txn support apart from atomic writes to json docs \(\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}\) limited support for multi-doc txns
- No checks/schema validation \(\rightarrow\) now support json schema validation (rarely used!)

\section*{MongoDB: History 3}
- Most egregious: no durability or write ahead logging!

> We get lots of questions about why MongoDB doesn't have full single server durability, and there are many people that think this is a major problem. We wanted to shed some light on why we haven't done single server durability, what our suggestions are, and our future plans.

Excuse 1:
Durability is overrated

> damage, fire, some hardware problems, etc... no matter how durable the software is, data can be lost. Yes - there are ways to mitigate some of these, but those add another layer of complexity, that has to be tested, proofed, and adds more variables which can fail.

Excuse 2:
It's hard to implement
uses a transaction log tor durability, you either have to turn ott hardware buttering or have a battery backed RAID controller. Without hardware buffering, transaction logs are very slow. Battery backed raid controllers will work well, but you have to really have one. With the move towards the cloud and
Sure enough, outsourced hosting, custom hardware is not always an option.

\section*{MongoDB: History 4}

\section*{Bottomline:}

MongoDB has now evolved into a mature "DBMS" with some different design decisions, and relearning many of the canonical DBMS lessons

We'll focus on two primary design decisions:
- The data model
- The query language

Will discuss these two to start with, then some of the architectural issues

\section*{MongoDB Data Model}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline MongoDB & DBMS \\
\hline Database & Database \\
\hline Collection & Relation \\
\hline Document & Row/Record \\
\hline Field & Column \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Document \(=\{\ldots\), field: value, \(\ldots\}\)
Where value can be:
- Atomic
- A document
- An array of atomic values
- An array of documents
\{ qty : 1, status: "D", size : \{h:14, w:21\}, tags : ["a", "b"] \},

Can also mix and match, e.g., array of atomics and documents, or array of arrays
[Same as the JSON data model]

Internally stored as BSON = Binary JSON
- Client libraries can directly operate on this natively

\section*{MongoDB Data Model 2}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline MongoDB & DBMS \\
\hline Database & Database \\
\hline Collection & Relation \\
\hline Document & Row/Record \\
\hline Field & Column \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Document \(=\{\ldots\), field: value, \(\ldots\}\)
Can use JSON schema validation
- Some integrity checks, field typing and ensuring the presence of certain fields
- Rarely used, and we'll skip for our discussion

Special field in each document: _id
- Primary key
- Will also be indexed by default
- If it is not present during ingest, it will be added
- Will be first attribute of each doc.
- This field requires special treatment during projections as we will see later

\section*{MongoDB Query Language (MQL)}
- Input = collections, output = collections
- Very similar to Spark
- Three main types of queries in the query language
- Retrieval: Restricted SELECT-WHERE-ORDER BY-LIMIT type queries
- Aggregation: A bit of a misnomer; a general pipeline of operators
- Can capture Retrieval as a special case
- But worth understanding Retrieval queries first...
- Updates
- All queries are invoked as
- db.collection.operation1(...).operation2(...)...
- collection: name of collection
- Unlike SQL which lists many tables in a FROM clause, MQL is centered around manipulating a single collection (like Spark)

\section*{Some MQL Principles: Dot (.) Notation}
- "." is used to drill deeper into nested docs/arrays
- Recall that a value could be atomic, a nested document, an array of atomics, or an array of nested documents
- Examples:
- "instock.qty" \(\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}\) qty field within the instock field
- "instock. 1 " \(\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}\) second element within the instock array
- Element could be an atomic value or a nested document

。 "instock.1.qty" \(\rightarrow\) qty field within the second document within the instock array
- Note: such dot expressions need to be in quotes

\section*{Some MQL Principles : Dollar (\$) Notation}
- \$ indicates that the string is a special keyword
- E.g., \$gt, \$lte, \$add, \$elemMatch, ...
- Used as the "field" part of a "field : value" expression
- So if it is a binary operator, it is usually done as:
- \{LOperand : \{ \$keyword: ROperand\}\}
- e.g., \{qty : \{\$gt : 30\}\}
- Alternative: arrays
- \{\$keyword : [argument list]\}
- e.g., \{\$add : [ 1, 2]\}
- Exception: \$fieldName, used to refer to a previously defined field on the value side
- Purpose: disambiguation
- Only relevant for aggregation pipelines
- Let's not worry about this for now.

\section*{Retrieval Queries Template}


\section*{Retrieval Queries: Basic Queries}

I> db.inventory.find()

db.collection.find(<predicate>, optional <projection>)
- find( \{status: "D"\})
- all documents with status D \(\rightarrow\) paper, planner
- find ( \(\{\) qty : \{\$gte : 50\} \})
- all documents with qty >=50 \(\rightarrow\) notebook, paper, planner
- find ( \(\{\) status : "D", qty : \{\$gte : 50\} \})
- all documents that satisfy both \(\rightarrow\) paper, planner
- find( \{ \$or: [ \{ status : "D" \}, \{ qty : \{ \$lt : 30 \} \}] \} )
- all documents that satisfy either \(\rightarrow\) journal, paper, planner


\section*{Retrieval Queries: Nested Documents}

db.collection.find(<predicate>, optional <projection>)
- find( \{ size: \{ h: 14, w: 21, uom: "cm" \} \} )
- exact match of nested document, including ordering of fields! journal
- find ( \{ "size.uom" : "cm", "size.h" : \{\$gt : 14 \})
- querying a nested field \(\rightarrow\) planner
- Note: when using . notation for sub-fields, expression must be in quotes
- Also note: binary operator handled via a nested document

\section*{Retrieval Queries: Arrays}


Slightly different example dataset for Arrays and Arrays of Document Examples db.collection.find(<predicate>, optional <projection>)
, find( \{ tags: ["red", "blank"] \})
Exact match of array \(\rightarrow\) notebook
- find( \{ tags: "red" \})

If one of the elements matches red \(\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}\) journal, notebook, paper, planner
- find( \{ tags: "red", tags: "plain" \})

If one matches red, one matches plain \(\rightarrow\) paper
- find( \(\{\operatorname{dim}:\{\$ \mathrm{gt:} 15, \$ \mathrm{lt}: 20\}\})\)

If one element is >15 and another is <20 \(\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}\) journal, notebook, paper, postcard
- find( \{ dim: \{\$elemMatch: \{ \$gt: 15, \$lt: 20 \} \} \} )

If a single element is \(>15\) and \(<20 \rightarrow\) postcard
- find( \{ "dim.1": \{\$gt: 25 \}\})

If second item \(>25 \rightarrow\) planner
- Notice again that we use quotes to when using . notation

\section*{Retrieval Queries: Arrays of Documents}

db.collection.find(<predicate>, optional <projection>)
- find( \{ instock: \{ loc: "A", qty: 5 \} \} )

Exact match of document [like nested doc/atomic array case] \(\rightarrow\) journal
- find( \{ "instock.qty": \{ \$gte : 20 \} \} )
- One nested doc has \(>=20 \rightarrow\) paper, planner, postcard
- find( \{ "instock.O.qty": \{ \$gte : 20 \} \})
- First nested doc has \(>=20 \rightarrow\) paper, planner
- find( \{ "instock": \{ \$elemMatch: \{ qty: \{ \$gt: 10, \$lte: 20 \} \} \} \} )
- One doc has \(20>=\) qty \(>10 \rightarrow\) paper, journal, postcard
- find( \{ "instock.qty": \{\$gt: 10, \$lte: 20\(\}\) \})
- One doc has \(20>=\) qty, another has qty \(>10 \rightarrow\) paper, journal, postcard, planner

\section*{Retrieval Queries Template: Projection}

db.collection.find(<predicate>, optional <projection>)
- Use 1s to indicate fields that you want
- Exception: _id is always present unless explicitly excluded
, OR Use Os to indicate fields you don't want
- Mixing 0 s and 1 s is not allowed for non _id fields
- find( \{ \}, \{item: 1\})
\{"Id" : Objectld(""fb59ab9f50b800678c0e196"), "item": "journal" \}
\{"_id" : Objectld(" 5 fb59ab9f50b800678c0e 197"), "item" : "notebook" \}
("id" : Objectld("5fb59ab9550b800678c0e 198"), "item" : "paper" \}
(" id" : Objectld(" "5fb59ab9950b800678c0e199"), "item" : "planner" \}
\{ "_id" : Objectld(""5fb59ab9f50b800678c0e19a"), "item": "postcard" \}
- find( \(\},\{\) item: 1, _id : 0\})
\(\{\) "item" : "journal" \(\}\)
\{"item" : "notebook" \(\}\)
\{ "item": "paper" \}
\{"item": "planner" \}
\{"item": "postcard" \}
- find(\{\},\{item : 1, tags: 0, _id : 0\})

Error: error: \{
"ok" : 0,
"errmsg" : "Cannot do exclusion on field tags in inclusion projection",
"code" : 31254,
"codeName" : "Location31254" \}
- find((\},\{item : 1, "instock.loc": 1, _id : 0\})
\{ "item" : "journal", "instock" : [ " loc" : "A" \}, \{ "loc" : "C" \}]\}
\{ "item" : "notebook", "instock" : [ \{ "loc" : "C" \}]\}
\{ "item" : "paper", "instock" : [ \{ "loc" : "A" \}, \{ "loc" : "B" \}] \}
\{ "item" : "planner", "instock" : [ "loc" : "A" \}, \{ "loc" : "B" \}]\}
\{ "item" : "postcard", "instock" : [ \{ "loc" : "B" \}, \{ "loc" : "C" \}] \}

\section*{Retrieval Queries: Addendum}
: objectId("5fb59ab9f50b800678cee196"), "item": "journa1", "instock": [ \{ "1oc": "A", "qty": 5 \}, \{"10c": "C", "qty": 15 \} ], "tags": [ "blank", "red" ], "dim": [ 14, 21 ] \}
ObjectId("5fb59ab9f50b800678c0e196"), "item"
ObjectId("5fb59ab9f5bb8e0678c0e197"), "item"
ObjectId ("55f599ab9f56b800678c6e199")
: objectId("5fb59ab9f50b800678c0e19a")," "item"

Two additional operations that are useful for retrieval:
- Limit (k) like LIMIT in SQL
- e.g., db.inventory.find( \{ \} ).limit(1)
- Sort (\{ \}) like ORDER BY in SQL
- List of fields, -1 indicates decreasing 1 indicates ascending
- e.g., db.inventory.find( \{ \}, \{_id : 0, instock : 0\} ).sort( \{ "dim.0": -1, item:

1\})
\{ "item" : "planner", "tags" : [ "blank", "red" ], "dim" : [ 22.85, 30 ] \}
\{ "item" : "journal", "tags" : [ "blank", "red" ], "dim" : [ 14, 21] \}
\{ "item" : "notebook", "tags" : [ "red", "blank" ], "dim" : [ 14, 21 ] \}
\{ "item" : "paper", "tags" : [ "red", "blank", "plain" ], "dim" : [ 14, 21 ] \}
\{ "item" : "postcard", "tags" : [ "blue" ], "dim" : [ 10, 15.25 ] \}

\section*{Retrieval Queries: Summary}
find ()\(=\) SELECT \(<\) projection>
FROM Collection
WHERE <predicate>
limit() \(=\) LIMIT
sort() \(=\) ORDER BY
db.inventory.find(
\{ tags: red \},
\{_id: 0, instock: 0\})
.sort ( \{ "dim.0": -1, item: 1 \})
.limit (2)

FROM
WHERE
SELECT
ORDER BY
LIMIT

\section*{What did we not cover?}
- The use of regexes for matching
- \$all : all entries in an array satisfy a condition
- \$in : checking if a value is present in an array of atomic values
- The presence or absence of fields
- Can use special "null" values
- \{field : null\} checks if a field is null or missing
- \$exists : checking the presence/absence of a field

\section*{MongoDB Query Language (MQL)}
- Input = collections, output = collections
- Very similar to Spark
- Three main types of queries in the query language
- Retrieval: Restricted SELECT-WHERE-ORDER BY-LIMIT type queries
- Aggregation: A bit of a misnomer; a general pipeline of operators
- Can capture Retrieval as a special case
- But worth understanding Retrieval queries first...
- Updates
- All queries are invoked as
- db.collection.operation1(...).operation2(...)...
- collection: name of collection
- Unlike SQL which lists many tables in a FROM clause, MQL is centered around manipulating a single collection (like Spark)

\section*{Aggregation Pipelines}
- Composed of a linear pipeline of stages
- Each stage corresponds to one of:
- match // first arg of find ( )
- project // second arg of find ( ) but
more expressiveness
- sort/limit // same as retrieval
- group
- unwind
- lookup
- ... lots more!!
- Each stage manipulates the existing collection in some way

- Syntax:
db.collection.aggregate ( [
\{ \$stage1Op: \{ \} \},
\{ \$stage2Op: \{ \} \},
\{ \$stageNOp: \{ \} \}
])

\section*{Next Set of Examples}
\{ "_id" : "01020", "city" : "CHICOPEE", "loc" : [ -72.576142, 42.176443 ], "pop" : 31495, "state" : "MA" \}
\{ "_id" : "01028", "city" : "EAST LONGMEADOW", "loc" : [ -72.505565, 42.067203 ], "pop" : 13367, "state" : "MA" \}
\{ "_id" : "01030", "city" : "FEEDING HILLS", "loc" : [ -72.675077, 42.07182 ], "pop" : 11985, "state" : "MA" \}
\{ "_id" : "01032", "city" : "GOSHEN", "loc" : [ -72.844092, 42.466234 ], "pop" : 122, "state" : "MA" \}
\{ "_id" : "01012", "city" : "CHESTERFIELD", "loc" : [ \(-72.833309,42.38167\) ], "pop" : 177, "state" : "MA" \}
[ 72.18845 , 416543 ] " "MA"
[ "_id" : "01010", "city" : "BRIMFIELD", "10c" : [ -72.188455, 42.116543 ], "pop" : 3706, "state" : "MA"
\{ "_id" : "01034", "city" : "TOLLAND", "loc" : [ \(-72.908793,42.070234\) ], "pop" : 1652, "state" : "MA" \}
\{ "_id" : "01035", "city" : "HADLEY", "loc" : [ -72.571499, 42.36062 ], "pop" : 4231, "state" : "MA" \}
\{ "_id" : "01001", "city" : "AGAWAM", "loc" : [ -72.622739, 42.070206 ], "pop" : 15338, "state" : "MA" \}
\{ "_id" : "01040", "city" : "HOLYOKE", "loc" : [ -72.626193, 42.202007 ], "pop" : 43704, "state" : "MA" \}
\{ "-id" : "01008", "city" : "BLANDFORD", "loc" : [ -72.936114, 42.182949], "pop" : 1240, "state" : "MA" \}
\{ "_id" : "01050", "city" : "HUNTINGTON", "loc" : [ -72.873341, 42.265301 ], "pop" : 2084, "state" : "MA" \}
\{ "_id" : "01054", "city" : "LEVERETT", "loc" : [ -72.499334, 42.46823 ], "pop" : 1748, "state" : "MA" \}

One document per zipcode: 29353 zipcodes

\section*{Grouping (with match/sort) Simple Example}
```

> db.zips.find()
{ "_id" : "01022", "city" : "WESTOVER AFB", "loc" : [ -72.558657, 42.196672 ], "pop" : 1764, "state" : "MA" }
{ "_id" : "01011", "city" : "CHESTER", "loc" : [ -72.988761, 42.279421 ], "pop" : 1688, "state" : "MA" }
{ "_id" : "01026", "city" : "CUMMINGTON", "loc" : [ -72.905767, 42.435296 ], "pop" : 1484, "state" : "MA" }

```

Find states with population \(>15 \mathrm{M}\), sort by decending order db.zips.aggregate([ GROUP BY AGGS. \{ \$group: \{ _id: "\$state", totalPop: \{ \$sum: "\$pop" \}\}\}, \{ \$match: \{ totalPop: \{ \$gte: 15000000 \} \} \},
\{ \$sort : \{ totalPop : -1 \} \}
])
\{ "_id" : "CA", "totalPop" : 29754890 \}
\{ "_id" : "NY", "totalPop" : 17990402 \}
\{ "_id" : "TX", "totalPop" : 16984601 \}
...
Q: what would the SQL query for this be?


\section*{SELECT state AS id, SUM(pop) AS totalPop} FROM zips GROUP BY state
HAVING totalPop >= 15000000
ORDER BY totalPop DESCENDING

\section*{Grouping Syntax}
\$group: \{
_id: <expression>, // Group By Expression <field1>: \{<aggfunc1>: <expression1> \}, ... \}

Returns one document per unique group, indexed by _id
Agg.func. can be standard ops like \$sum, \$avg, \$max
Also MQL specific ones:
- \$first : return the first expression value per group makes sense only if docs are in a specific order [usually done after sort]
- \$push : create an array of expression values per group didn't make sense in a relational context because values are atomic
- \$addToSet : like \$push, but eliminates duplicates

\section*{Multiple Attrib. Grouping Example}


\section*{Group by 2 atirios,}
giving nested id

\section*{aggregate( [}

\{ "_id" : "GA", "avgCityPop" : 11547.62210338681 \}
\{ "_id" : "WI", "avgCityPop" : 7323.00748502994 \}
\{ "_id" : "FL", "avgCityPop" : 27400.958963282937 \}
\{ "_id" : "OR", "avgCityPop" : 8262.561046511628 \}
\{ "_id" : "SD", "avgCityPop" : 1839.6746031746031 \}
\{ "_id" : "NM", "avgCityPop" : 5872.360465116279 \}
\{ "_id" : "MD", "avgCityPop" : 12615.775725593667 \}

\section*{Multiple Agg. Example}
```

> db.zips.find()
{ "_id" : "01011", "city" : "CHESTER", "loc" : [ -72.988761, 42.279421 ], "pop" : 1688, "state" : "MA" }

```
\{ "_id" : "01026", "city" : "CUMMINGTON", "loc" : [ -72.905767, 42.435296 ], "pop" : 1484, "state" : "MA" \}

Find, for every state, the biggest city and its population

\section*{aggregate( [}
\{ \$group: \{_id: \{ state: "\$state", city: "\$city" \}, pop: \{ \$sum: "\$pop" \} \} \},
\{ \$sort: \{ pop: -1 \} \},
\{ \$group: \{_id : "\$_id.state", bigCity: \{ \$first: "\$_id.city" \}, bigPop: \{ \$first: "\$pop" \}\} \},
\{\$sort : \{bigPop : -1 \} \}
])

\section*{Approach:}
* Group by pair of city and state, and compute population per city

- Order by population descending
- Group by state, and find first city and population per group (i.e., the highest population city)
- Order by population descending
\{"_id" : "IL", "bigCity" : "CHICAGO", "bigPop" : 2452177 \}
\{ "_id" : "NY", "bigCity" : "BROOKLYN", "bigPop" : 2300504 \}
\{ "_id" : "CA", "bigCity" : "LOS ANGELES", "bigPop" : 2102295 \}
\{ "_id" : "TX", "bigCity" : "HOUSTON", "bigPop" : 2095918 \}
\{ " id" : "PA", "bigCity" : "PHILADELPHIA", "bigPop" : 1610956 \}
"id" : "MI", "biscity": "DETROIT", "bigPop" : 963243 \}

\section*{Multiple Agg. with Vanilla Projection Example}
```

> db.zips.find()
{ "_id" : "01022", "city" : "WESTOVER AFB", "loc" : [ -72.558657, 42.196672 ], "pop" : 1764, "state" : "MA" }
{ "_id" : "01011", "city" : "CHESTER", "loc" : [ -72.988761, 42.279421 ], "pop" : 1688, "state" : "MA" }
{ "_id" : "01011", "city" : "CHESTER", "loc" : [ -72.988761, 42.279421 ], "pop" : 1688, "state" : "MA" }

```

If we only want to keep the state and city ...
aggregate( [
\{ \$group: \{ _id: \{ state: "\$state", city: "\$city" \}, pop: \{ \$sum: "\$pop" \} \} \},
\{ \$sort: \{ pop: -1 \} \},
\{ \$group: \{_id : "\$_id.state", bigCity: \{ \$first: "\$_id.city" \}, bigPop: \{ \$first: "\$pop" \} \} \},
\{\$sort : \{bigPop:-1\} \}
\{\$project : \{bigPop: 0\} \}
])
\{ "_id" : "IL", "bigCity" : "CHICAGO" \}
\{ "_id" : "NY", "bigCity" : "BROOKLYN" \}
\{ "_id" : "CA", "bigCity" : "LOS ANGELES" \}
\{ "_id": "TX", "bigCity": "Houston" \}

\{ "_id" : "PA", "bigCity" : "PHILADELPHIA" \}

\section*{Multiple Agg. with Adv. Projection Example}
```

> db.zips.find(
{ "_id" : "01022", "city" : "WESTOVER AFB", "loc" : [ -72.558657, 42.196672 ], "pop" : 1764, "state" : "MA" }
{ "-id" : "01011", "city" : "CHESTER", "loc" : [ -72.988761, 42.279421 ], "pop" : 1688, "state" : "MA" }
{ "_id" : "01026", "city" : "CUMMINGTON", "loc" : [ -72.905767, 42.435296 ], "pop" : 1484, "state" : "MA" }

```

If we wanted to nest the name of the city and population into a nested doc


\section*{aggregate( [}
\{ \$group: \{_id: \{ state: "\$state", city: "\$city" \}, pop: \{ \$sum: "\$pop" \} \} \},
\{\$sort: \{pop: -1 \}\},
\{ \$group: \{_id : "\$_id.state", bigCity: \{ \$first: "\$_id.city" \}, bigPop: \{ \$first: "\$pop" \} \} \},
\{ \$sort : \{bigPop : -1\} \},
\{ \$project : \{_id : 0, state : "\$_id", bigCityDeets: \{ name: "\$bigCity", pop: "\$bigPop" \} \} \}
])
\{ "state" : "IL", "bigCityDeets" : \{ "name" : "CHICAGO", "pop" : 2452177 \}\}
\{ "state" : "NY", "bigCityDeets" : \{ "name" : "BROOKLYN", "pop" : 2300504 \}\}
\{ "state" : "CA", "bigCityDeets" : \{ "name" : "LOS ANGELES", "pop" : 2102295 \} \}
\{ "state" : "TX", "bigCityDeets" : \{ "name" : "HOUSTON", "pop" : 2095918 \}\}
\{ "state" : "PA", "bigCityDeets" : \{ "name" : "PHILADELPHIA", "pop" : 1610956 \} \}

\section*{Advanced Projection vs. Vanilla Projection}
- In addition to excluding/including fields like in projection during retrieval (find), projection in the aggregation pipeline allows you to:
- Rename fields
- Redefine new fields using complex expressions on old fields
- Reorganize fields into nestings or unnestings
- Reorganize fields into arrays or break down arrays
- Try them at home!

\section*{Aggregation Pipelines}
- Composed of a linear pipeline of stages
- Each stage corresponds to one of:
- match // first arg of find ()
- project // second arg of find ( ) but more expressiveness
- sort/limit // same
- group
- unwind
- lookup
- ... lots more!!
- Each stage manipulates the existing collection in some way

- Syntax: db.collection.aggregate ( [
\{ \$stage1Op: \{ \} \},
\{ \$stage2Op: \{ \} \},
\{\$stageNOp: \{\}\}
]\}
Unwinding Arrays


Unwind expands an array by constructing documents one per element of the array

Somewhat like flatMap in Spark
Going back to our old example with an array of tags

Notice no relational analog here: no arrays so no unwinding [in fact, some RDBMSs do support arrays, but not in the rel. model]
aggregate( [
\{ \$unwind: "\$tags" \},
\{ \$project : \{_id: 0, instock: 0\}\}
])
\{ "item" : "journal", "tags" : "blank", "dim" : [ 14, 21] \}
\{ "item" : "journal", "tags" : "red", "dim" : [ 14, 21] \}
\{ "item" : "notebook", "tags" : "red", "dim" : [ 14, 21] \}
\{ "item" : "notebook", "tags" : "blank", "dim" : [14, 21] \}
\{ "item" : "paper", "tags" : "red", "dim" : [ 14, 21 ] \}
\{ "item" : "paper", "tags" : "blank", "dim" : [14, 21] \}
\{ "item" : "paper", "tags" : "plain", "dim" : [ 14, 21] \}
\{ "item" : "planner", "tags" : "blank", "dim" : [ 22.85, 30 ] \}
\{ "item" : "planner", "tags" : "red", "dim" : [ 22.85, 30 ] \}
\{ "item" : "postcard", "tags" : "blue", "dim" : [ 10, 15.25 ] \}

\section*{Unwind: A Common Template}


Q: Imagine if we want to find sum of qtys across items. How would we do this?
A common recipe in MQL queries is to unwind and then group by
aggregate( [
\{ \$unwind: "\$instock" \},
\{ \$group : \{_id : "\$item", totalqty : \{\$sum : "\$instock.qty"\}\}\}
])
\{ "_id" : "notebook", "totalqty" : 5 \}
\{"_id" : "postcard", "totalqty": 50 \}
\{ "_id" : "journal", "totalqty" : 20 \}
\{ "_id" : "planner", "totalqty" : 45 \}
\{ "_id" : "paper", "totalqty" : 75 \}

\section*{Looking Up Other Collections}

\{\$lookup: \{
from: <collection to join>, localField: <referencing field>, foreignField: <referenced field>, as: <output array field>
\} \}

Conceptually, for each document
, find documents in other coll that join (equijoin)
local field must match foreign field
v place each of them in an array

Thus, a left outer equi-join, with the join results stored in an array

Straightforward, but kinda gross. Let's see...

Say, for each item, I want to find other items located in the same location \(=\) self-join
db.inventory.aggregate( [
\{ \$lookup: \{from : "inventory", localField: "instock.loc", foreignField: "instock.loc", as:"otheritems"\}\},
\{\$project : \{id : 0, tags: 0, dim : 0 \} \}
])
\{ "item" : "journal", "instock" : [ \{ "loc" : "A", "qty" : 5 \}, \{ "loc" : "C", "qty" : 15 \}], "otheritems" : [
\{ "id" : Objectld("5fb6f9605f0594e0227d3c24"), "item" : "journal",
"instock" : [ \{ "loc": "A", "qty" : 5 \}, \{ "loc": "C", "qty" : 15 \}],
"tags" : [ "blank", "red" ], "dim" : [ 14, 21] \},
\{ "_id" : Objectld("5fb6f9605f0594e0227d3c25"), "item":
"notebook", "instock" : [ \{ "loc" : "C", "qty" : 5 \}], "tags" : [ "red", "blank" ], "dim" : [ 14, 21]\},
\{ "_id" : Objectld("5fb6f9605f0594e0227d3c26"), "item" : "paper",
"instock" : [ \{ "loc" : "A", "qty" : 60\(\}\) \}, "loc" : "B", "qty" : 15 \}],
"tags" : [ "red", "blank", "plain" ], "dim" : [ 14, 21 ] \},
]\}
And many other records!

\section*{Lookup... after some more projection}

db.inventory.aggregate( [
\{ \$lookup : \{from:"inventory", localField:"instock.loc", foreignField:"instock.loc", as:"otheritems"\}\},
\(\{\$\) project : \{_id : 0, tags :0, dim :0, "otheritems._id":0, "otheritems.tags":0, "otheritems.dim":0,
"otheritems.instock.qty":0\}\}] )
```

{ "item" : "journal", "instock" : [ { "loc" : "A", "qty" : 5 }, { "loc" : "C", "qty" : 15 } ], "otheritems" : [

```
\{ "item" : "journal", "instock" : [ \{ "loc" : "A" \}, \{ "loc" : "C" \}] \},
\{ "item" : "notebook", "instock" : [ \{ "loc" : "C" \}] \},
\{ "item" : "paper", "instock" : [ " "loc" : "A" \}, \{ "loc" : "B" \}] \},
\{ "item" : "planner", "instock" : [ \{ "loc" : "A" \}, \{ "loc" : "B" \}] \},
\{ "item" : "postcard", "instock" : [ " loc" : "B" \}, \{ "loc" : "C" \}] \}] \}
\{ "item" : "notebook", "instock" : [ \{ "loc" : "C", "qty" : 5 \} ], "otheritems" : [
\{ "item" : "journal", "instock" : [ \{ "loc" : "A" \}, \{ "loc" : "C" \}] \},
\{ "item" : "notebook", "instock" : [ \{ "loc" : "C" \}] \},
\{ "item" : "postcard", "instock" : [ \{ "loc" : "B" \}, \{ "loc" : "C" \}] \}] \}

\section*{Some Rules of Thumb when Writing Queries}
- \$project is helpful if you want to construct or deconstruct nestings (in addition to removing fields or creating new ones)
- \$group is helpful to construct arrays (using \$push or \$addToSet)
- \$unwind is helpful for unwinding arrays
- \$lookup is your only hope for joins. Be prepared for a mess. Lots of \$project needed

\section*{MongoDB Query Language (MQL)}
- Input = collections, output = collections
- Very similar to Spark
- Three main types of queries in the query language
- Retrieval: Restricted SELECT-WHERE-ORDER BY-LIMIT type queries
- Aggregation: A bit of a misnomer; a general pipeline of operators
- Can capture Retrieval as a special case
- But worth understanding Retrieval queries first...
- Updates
- All queries are invoked as
- db.collection.operation1(...).operation2(...)...
- collection: name of collection
- Unlike SQL which lists many tables in a FROM clause, MQL is centered around manipulating a single collection (like Spark)

\section*{Update Queries: InsertMany}

\section*{[Insert/Delete/Update] [One/Many]}

Many is more general, so we'll discuss that instead
db.inventory.insertMany [ [
\{ item: "journal", instock: [ \{ loc: "A", qty: 5 \}, \{ loc: "C", qty: 15 \}], tags: ["blank", "red"], dim: [ 14, 21 ] \},
\{ item: "notebook", instock: [ l loc: "C", qty: 5 \} ], tags: ["red", "blank"], dim: [ 14, 21 ]\},
\{ item: "paper", instock: [ \{ loc: "A", qty: 60 \}, \{ loc: "B", qty: 15 \} ], tags: ["red", "blank", "plain"] , dim: [ 14, 21 ]\},
\{ item: "planner", instock: [ \{ loc: "A", qty: 40 \}, \{ loc: "B", qty: 5 \}], tags: ["blank", "red"], dim: [ 22.85, 30 ] \},
\{ item: "postcard", instock: [ \{loc: "B", qty: 15 \}, \{ loc: "C", qty: 35 \}], tags: ["blue"] , dim: [ \(10,15.25\) ] \}
J);

Several actions will be taken as part of this insert:
- Will create inventory collection if absent [No schema specification/DDL needed!]
- Will add the _id attrib to each document added (since it isn't there)
- _id will be the first field for each document by default

\section*{Update Queries: UpdateMany}


Syntax: updateMany ( \{<condition>\}, \{<change>\}) db.inventory.updateMany (
\{"dim.0": \{ \$lt: 15 \} \},
\{ \$set: \{ "dim.0": 15, status: "InvalidWidth"\} \}
) // if any width <15, set it to 15 and set status to InvalidWidth.




Analogous to: UPDATE R SET <change> WHERE <condition>

\section*{Update Queries: UpdateMany 2}


Syntax: updateMany ( \{<condition>\}, \{<change>\})
db.inventory.updateMany (
\{"dim.0": \{ \$lt: 15 \} \},
\{ \$inc: \{ "dim.0": 5\},
\$set: \{status: "InvalidWidth"\} \})
// if any width <15, increment by 5 and set status to InvalidWidth.


Analogous to: UPDATE R SET <change> WHERE <condition

\section*{MongoDB Internals}
- MongoDB is a distributed NoSQL database
- Collections are partitioned/sharded based on a field [rangebased]
- Each partition stores a subset of documents
- Each partition is replicated to help with failures
- The replication is done asynchronously
- Failures of the main partition that haven't been propagated will be lost
- Limited heuristic-based query optimization (will discuss later)
- Atomic writes to documents within collections by default. Multi-document txns are discouraged (but now supported).

\section*{MongoDB Internals}
- Weird constraint: intermediate results of aggregations must not be too large (100MB)
- Else will end up spilling to disk

Not clear if they perform any pipelining across aggregation operators
- Optimization heuristics
- Will use indexes for \$match if early in the pipeline [user can explicitly declare]
- \$match will be merged with other \$match if possible
- Selection fusion
- \$match will be moved early in the pipeline sometimes
- Selection pushdown
- But: not done always (e.g., not pushed before \$lookup)
- No cost-based optimization as far as one can tell

\section*{MongoDB: Summary}

Bottomline:
MongoDB has now evolved into a mature "DBMS" with some different design decisions, and relearning many of the canonical DBMS lessons

MongoDB has a flexible data model and a powerful (if confusing) query language.

Many of the internal design decisions as well as the query \& data model can be understood when compared with DBMSs
- DBMSs provide a "gold standard" to compare against.
- In the "wild" you'll encounter many more NoSQL systems, and you'll need to do the same thing that we did here!
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\section*{Parallelizing Operations}

Book Chapters
- 18.5, 18.6

Key topics:
- Parallelizing a Sort Operation
- Parallelizing a Join Operation
- Parallelizing a Group By Operation

\section*{Setup}

Assume Shared-Nothing Model
Relations are already partitioned across a set of machines (will talk about how next video)

How to execute different operations?


\section*{Parallel Sort}
1. Each processor sorts a portion of the data (e.g., the data on their local disk)
2. If the data is small enough, all the processors can send it to a single machine to do a "merge"
3. If the data is large, then "merge" itself done in parallel through range partitioning
1. Each processor in the merge phase gets assigned a range of the data
2. All other processors send the appropriate data based on that range partitioning

■ In either phase, the processors work by themselves ("data parallelism") but data must be "shuffled" in between
- Other approaches exist, but basically same steps

\section*{Parallel Sort}

Partitions of R (Not
different relations)


Shuffle - typically expensive

\section*{Parallel Join}
- Hash-based approach
- Very similar to how partitioning hash join works (i.e., the variant we saw for the case when the relations don't fit in memory)
- Most common for equi-joins where hashing can be used
- Easier to guarantee balanced work

Sort-based approach
- Similar to the parallel sort approach
- Both relations sorted using the same key
- Same processor used for merging in the second phase for both relations


Shuffle - typically expensive

\section*{Fragment-and-Replicate Join}

Partitioning not possible for some join conditions
E.g., non-equijoin conditions, such as r.A >s.B.

For joins were partitioning is not applicable, parallelization can be accomplished by fragment and replicate technique
- Special case - asymmetric fragment-and-replicate:
- One of the relations, say \(r\), is partitioned; any partitioning technique can be used.
- The other relation, \(s\), is replicated across all the processors.
- Processor \(P_{i}\) then locally computes the join of \(r_{i}\) with all of \(s\) using any join technique.

\section*{- Asymmetric Fragment and Replicate}


\section*{Grouping/Aggregation}

Very common operation, especially in Map-Reduce applications
- E.g., grouping by "hostnames" or "words" (as in project 5) or "labels" (in ML context), etc.
- The idea of distributing data, doing some computations, and collecting results is quite powerful
- Even "joins" can be seen as a "group by" operation (you can group the tuples of the two relations on the join attribute, and then compute join)
■ Need to differentiate between "groupby" and "aggregate" ("reduce")
- Groupby: For every value of "group by attribute" (i.e., "key"), collect all tuples/records with that key on a single machine
- Aggregate/Reduce: Perform some computation on them, typically reducing the size of the data
- Spark has more granular operations than SQL
- Challenges:
- Number of keys might be very large
- Should try to do as much pre-aggregation as possible

\section*{Scenario 1: Small \# of Groups + Reduce}

Partitions of R (Not
different relations)


\section*{Scenario 2: Large \# of Groups + Reduce}



\section*{Other Relational Operations}

\section*{Selection \(\sigma_{\theta}(\mathbf{r})\)}
- If \(\theta\) is of the form \(a_{i}=v\), where \(a_{i}\) is an attribute and \(v\) a value.
- If \(r\) is partitioned on \(a_{i}\) the selection is performed at a single processor.
- If \(\theta\) is of the form \(\mathrm{I}<=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}<=\mathrm{u}\) (i.e., \(\theta\) is a range selection) and the relation has been range-partitioned on \(a_{i}\)
- Selection is performed at each processor whose partition overlaps with the specified range of values.
- In all other cases: the selection is performed in parallel at all the processors.

\section*{Other Relational Operations (Cont.)}
- Duplicate elimination
- Perform by using either of the parallel sort techniques
- eliminate duplicates as soon as they are found during sorting.
- Can also partition the tuples (using either range- or hashpartitioning) and perform duplicate elimination locally at each processor.
- Projection
- Projection without duplicate elimination can be performed as tuples are read in from disk in parallel.
- If duplicate elimination is required, any of the above duplicate elimination techniques can be used.
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\section*{Book Chapters}
10.3 ( \(7^{\text {TH }}\) EDITION)

\section*{Key topics:}
\(\star\) Why MapReduce and History
\(\star\) Word Count using MapReduce

\section*{The MapReduce Paradigm}
- Platform for reliable, scalable parallel computing

Abstracts issues of distributed and parallel environment from programmer
\(\star\) Programmer provides core logic (via map() and reduce() functions)
\(\star\) System takes care of parallelization of computation, coordination, etc.
- Paradigm dates back many decades
\(\star\) But very large scale implementations running on clusters with \(10^{\wedge} 3\) to 10^4 machines are more recent
* Google Map Reduce, Hadoop, ..

Data storage/access typically done using distributed file systems or key-value stores

\section*{MapReduce Framework}

Provides a fairly restricted, but still powerful abstraction for programming

Programmers write a pipeline of functions, called map or reduce
* map programs
> inputs: a list of "records" (record defined arbitrarily - could be images, genomes etc...)
> output: for each record, produce a set of "(key, value)" pairs
* reduce programs
> input: a list of "(key, \{values\})" grouped together from the mapper
> output: whatever
\(\star\) Both can do arbitrary computations on the input data as long as the basic structure is followed


\section*{Word Count Example}
```

map(String key, String value):
// key: document name
// value: document contents
for each word w in value:
EmitIntermediate(w, "1");
reduce(String key, Iterator values):
// key: a word
// values: a list of counts
int result = 0;
for each v in values:
result += ParseInt(v);
Emit(AsString(result));

```

\section*{MapReduce Framework: Word Count}



\section*{Hadoop MapReduce}

■ Google pioneered original map-reduce implementation
\(\star\) For building web indexes, text analysis, PageRank, etc.
- Hadoop -- widely used open source implementation in Java

■ Huge ecosystem built around Hadoop now, including HDFS, consistency mechanisms, connectors to different systems (e.g., key-value stores, databases), etc.

Apache Spark a newer implementation of Map-Reduce
\(\star\) More user-friendly syntax
* Significantly faster because of in-memory processing
* SQL-like in many ways ("DataFrames")
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\section*{Big Data Storage Options}
- Parallel or distributed databases
\(\star\) Suffer from the issues discussed earlier
Distributed File Systems
\(\star\) Also called object stores
* A "data lake" is basically a collection of files in a dfs
* Structured data (relational-like) stored in files (more sophisticated "csv" files)
Key-value Storage Systems
\(\star\) Document stores (MongoDB, etc)
\(\star\) Wide column stores (HBase, Cassandra)
\(\star\) Graph Stores (Neo4j)
\(\star\) And many others...

\section*{Distributed File Systems}
- A distributed file system stores data across a large collection of machines, but provides single file-system view
\(\square\) Highly scalable distributed file system for large data-intensive applications.
\(\star\) E.g., 10K nodes, 100 million files, 10 PB

Provides redundant storage of massive amounts of data on cheap and unreliable computers
* Files are replicated to handle hardware failure
* Detect failures and recovers from them

Examples:
* Google File System (GFS)
* Hadoop File System (HDFS)

\section*{Hadoop File System Architecture}
- Single Namespace for entire cluster
- Files are broken up into blocks
- Typically 64 MB block size
- Each block replicated on multiple DataNodes
- Client
- Finds location of blocks from NameNode
- Accesses data directly from DataNode
- Maps a filename to list of Block IDs
- Maps each Block ID to DataNodes containing a replica of the block

Maps a Block ID to a physical location on disk


\section*{Key-Value Storage Systems}

■ Unlike HDFS, focus here on storing large numbers (billions or even more) of small (KB-MB) sized records
\(\star\) uninterpreted bytes, with an associated key
> E.g., Amazon S3, Amazon Dynamo
* Wide-table (can have arbitrarily many attribute names) with associated key
- Google BigTable, Apache Cassandra, Apache Hbase, Amazon DynamoDB
- Allows some operations (e.g., filtering) to execute on storage node
* JSON
> MongoDB, CouchDB (document model)
Records partitioned across multiple machines
* Queries are routed by the system to appropriate machine

Records replicated across multiple machines for fault tolerance as well as efficient querying
* Need to guarantee "consistency" when data is updated
* "Distributed Transactions"

\section*{Key-Value Storage Systems}

Key-value stores support
* put(key, value): used to store values with an associated key,
\(\star \operatorname{get}(\mathrm{key})\) : which retrieves the stored value associated with the specified key
* delete(key) -- Remove the key and its associated value

Some support range queries on key values
Document stores support richer queries (e.g., MongoDB)
\(\star\) Slowly evolving towards the richness of SQL
- Not full database systems (increasingly changing)
\(\star\) Have no/limited support for transactional updates
* Applications must manage query processing on their own

Not supporting above features makes it easier to build scalable data storage systems, i.e., NoSQL systems


\section*{Apache Cassandra}

\section*{Wide-table key value store}


Data Model - Example Column Families
 email text PRIMARY KEY, username text, age int
) username text PRIMARY KEY, email text, age int
)
CREATE TABLE users_by_email (
    age int

\section*{Graph Database using a Property Graph Model}


\section*{Cypher}

MATCH ( p :Product)
RETURN p.productName, p.unitPrice ORDER BY p.unitPrice DESC LIMIT 10;

\section*{Cypher}

MATCH ( \(\mathrm{p}:\) Product \(\{\) productName:"Chocolade" \(\}\) )<-[:PRODUCT]-(:Order)<-[:PURCHASED]-(c:Customer)
RETURN distinct c.companyName;

\section*{Summary}
- Traditional databases don't provide the right abstractions for many newer data processing/analytics tasks
- Led to development of NoSQL systems and Map-Reduce (or similar) frameworks
\(\star\) Easier to get started
\(\star\) Easier to handle ad hoc and arbitrary tasks
* Not as efficient
- Over the last 10 years, seen increasing convergence
\(\star\) NoSQL stores increasingly support SQL constructs like joins and aggregations
* Map-reduce frameworks also evolved to support joins and SQL more explicitly
* Databases evolved to support more data types, richer functionality for ad hoc processing

Think of Map-Reduce systems as another option
\(\star\) Appropriate in some cases, not a good fit in other cases
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\section*{Transactions: Overview}

Book Chapters
*14.1, 14.2, 14.3, 14.4, 14.5
Key topics:
\(\star\) Transactions and ACID Properties
\(\star\) Different states a transaction goes through
* Notion of a "Schedule"
\(\star\) Introduction to Serializability

\section*{Transaction Concept}
- A transaction is a unit of program execution that accesses and possibly updates various data items.
- E.g. transaction to transfer \(\$ 50\) from account \(A\) to account \(B\) :
1. \(\operatorname{read}(A)\)
2. \(A:=A-50\)
3. write \((A)\)
4. \(\operatorname{read}(B)\)
5. \(B:=B+50\)
6. write ( \(B\) )
- Two main issues to deal with:
\(\star\) Failures of various kinds, such as hardware failures and system crashes
\(\star\) Concurrent execution of multiple transactions

\section*{Overview}
- Transaction: A sequence of database actions enclosed within special tags
- Properties:
\(\star\) Atomicity: Entire transaction or nothing
* Consistency: Transaction, executed completely, takes database from one consistent state to another
* Isolation: Concurrent transactions appear to run in isolation
* Durability: Effects of committed transactions are not lost
- Consistency: Transaction programmer needs to guarantee that
> DBMS can do a few things, e.g., enforce constraints on the data

Rest: DBMS guarantees

\section*{How does..}

\section*{■ .. this relate to queries that we discussed?}
\(\star\) Queries don't update data, so durability and consistency not relevant
\(\star\) Would want concurrency
\(>\) Consider a query computing total balance at the end of the day
\(\star\) Would want isolation
\(>\) What if somebody makes a transfer while we are computing the balance
\(>\) Typically not guaranteed for such long-running queries

\section*{Assumptions and Goals}

Assumptions:
\(\star\) The system can crash at any time
\(\star\) Similarly, the power can go out at any point
> Contents of the main memory won't survive a crash, or power outage
\(\star\) BUT... disks are durable. They might stop, but data is not lost.
> For now.
\(\star\) Disks only guarantee atomic sector writes, nothing more
* Transactions are by themselves consistent

Goals:
\(\star\) Guaranteed durability, atomicity
* As much concurrency as possible, while not compromising isolation and/or consistency
> Two transactions updating the same account balance... NO
> Two transactions updating different account balances... YES

\section*{Transaction states}

Initial State stays in this during execution


Successful
Completion

Any changes have been rolled back

\section*{Summary}
- Transactions is how we update data in databases
- ACID properties: foundations on which high-performance transaction processing systems are built
\(\star\) From the beginning, consistency has been a key requirement
* Although "relaxed" consistency is acceptable in many cases (originally laid out in 1975)

NoSQL systems originally eschewed ACID properties
\(\star\) MongoDB was famously bad at guaranteeing any of the properties
* Lot of focus on what's called "eventual consistency"

Recognition today that strict ACID is more important than that
* Hard to build any business logic if you have no idea if your transactions are consistent
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\section*{Concurrency: Basics}

Book Chapters
* 14.5
\(\square\) Key topics:
Why Concurrency
\(\star\) Notion of a "Schedule"
\(\star\) Introduction to Serializability

■ Concurrency: Why?
\(\star\) Increased processor and disk utilization
* Reduced average response times
- Concurrency control schemes
\(\star\) A CC scheme is used to guarantee that concurrency does not lead to problems
\(\star\) For now, we will assume durability is not a problem
> So no crashes
\(>\) Though transactions may still abort
- Schedules
- When is concurrency okay?
* Serial schedules
\(\star\) Serializability

\section*{A Schedule}

Transactions:
T1: transfers \(\$ 50\) from \(A\) to \(B\)
T2: transfers \(10 \%\) of \(A\) to \(B\)
Database constraint: \(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}\) is constant (checking+saving accts)
\begin{tabular}{l|l}
T 1 & T 2 \\
\hline \(\operatorname{read}(\mathrm{~A})\) & \\
\(\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A}-50\) &
\end{tabular}
write(A)
read(B)
\(B=B+50\)
write(B)

Effect: Before After


B 50
105
\(\operatorname{read}(\mathrm{A}) \quad\) Each transaction obeys
tmp \(=\mathrm{A}^{*} 0.1\)
A = A - tmp write(A) read(B)
\(B=B+\operatorname{tmp}\) write(B)
the constraint.

This schedule does too.

\section*{Schedules}
- A schedule is simply a (possibly interleaved) execution sequence of transaction instructions

Serial Schedule: A schedule in which transaction appear one after the other
\(\star\) ie., No interleaving

Serial schedules satisfy isolation and consistency
\(\star\) Since each transaction by itself does not introduce inconsistency

\section*{Example Schedule}

■ Another "serial" schedule:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline T1 & T2 & & & \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{read}(A) \\
& \operatorname{tmp}=A^{*} 0.1 \\
& A=A-\operatorname{tmp} \\
& \text { write }(A) \\
& \operatorname{read}(B) \\
& B=B+\operatorname{tmp} \\
& \text { write }(B)
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Effect: \\
A \\
B
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Before } \\
\hline 100 \\
50
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\text { After }}{40} \\
& 110
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{read}(A) \\
& A=A-50 \\
& \text { write }(A) \\
& \operatorname{read}(B) \\
& B=B+50
\end{aligned}
\] & & \begin{tabular}{l}
tent? \\
nstraint is
\end{tabular} & satisfied. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Since each Xion is consistent, any serial schedule must be consistent


\section*{Another schedule}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline T1 & T2 \\
\hline read(A) & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& A=A-50 \\
& \text { write }(A)
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{read}(A) \\
& \operatorname{tmp}=A^{*} 0.1 \\
& A=A-\operatorname{tmp} \\
& \text { write }(A)
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { read(B) } \\
& \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}+50 \\
& \text { write(B) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{read}(B) \\
& B=B+\operatorname{tmp} \\
& \text { write(B) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Is this schedule okay?

Lets look at the final effect...

Effect: Before After
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
A & 100 & 45 \\
B & 50 & \\
& & 105
\end{tabular}

Further, the effect same as the serial schedule 1.

Called serializable


\section*{Serializability}

A schedule is called serializable if its final effect is the same as that of a serial schedule

Serializability \(\rightarrow\) schedule is fine and doesn't cause inconsistencies
\(\star\) Since serial schedules are fine

Non-serializable schedules unlikely to result in consistent databases

We will ensure serializability
* Typically relaxed in real high-throughput environments

Not possible to look at all \(n\) ! serial schedules to check if the effect is the same
* Instead we ensure serializability by allowing or not allowing certain schedules


\section*{Summary}
- Transactions is how we update data in databases
- ACID properties: foundations on which high-performance transaction processing systems are built
\(\star\) From the beginning, consistency has been a key requirement
* Although "relaxed" consistency is acceptable in many cases (originally laid out in 1975)

NoSQL systems originally eschewed ACID properties
\(\star\) MongoDB was famously bad at guaranteeing any of the properties
* Lot of focus on what's called "eventual consistency"

Recognition today that strict ACID is more important than that
* Hard to build any business logic if you have no idea if your transactions are consistent

\section*{CMSC424: Database Design}

\section*{Module: Transactions and ACID Properties}

\section*{Concurrency: Serializability}

Instructor: Amol Deshpande
amol@umd.edu

\section*{Transactions: Serializability}

Book Chapters
* 14.6

Key topics:
\(\star\) Conflict equivalence of schedules
\(\star\) Conflict serializability and checking by drawing precedence graphs


\section*{Conflict Serializability}
- Two read/write instructions "conflict" if
\(\star\) They are by different transactions
* They operate on the same data item
* At least one is a "write" instruction

■ Why do we care ?
* If two read/write instructions don't conflict, they can be "swapped" without any change in the final effect
\(\star\) However, if they conflict they CAN'T be swapped without changing the final effect


\section*{Equivalence by Swapping}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline T1 & T2 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{read}(A) \\
& A=A-50 \\
& \text { write }(A)
\end{aligned}
\]} & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & read(A) \\
\hline & tmp \(=\mathrm{A}^{*} 0.1\) \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{tmp}\) \\
\hline & write(A) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{read(B)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(B=B+50\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{write(B)} \\
\hline & read(B) \\
\hline & \(B=B+\operatorname{tmp}\) \\
\hline & write(B) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Effect: \(\frac{\text { Before }}{100} \frac{\text { After }}{45}\)
\(\begin{array}{ccc}\text { A } & 100 & 45 \\ \text { B } & 50 & 55\end{array}\)

\section*{Conflict Serializability}
- Conflict-equivalent schedules:
\(\star\) If \(S\) can be transformed into \(S^{\prime}\) through a series of swaps, \(S\) and S' are called conflict-equivalent
\(\star\) conflict-equivalent guarantees same final effect on the database
- A schedule \(S\) is conflict-serializable if it is conflict-equivalent to a serial schedule

\section*{Equivalence by Swapping}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline T1 & T2 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{read}(A) \\
& A=A-50 \\
& \text { write }(A)
\end{aligned}
\]} & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \(\operatorname{read}(\mathrm{A})\) \\
\hline & tmp \(=A^{*} 0.1\) \\
\hline & \(A=A-\operatorname{tmp}\) \\
\hline & write(A) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{read(B)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(B=B+50\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{write(B)} \\
\hline & read(B) \\
\hline & \(B=B+\) tmp \\
\hline & write(B) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline T1 & T2 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { read(A) } \\
& A=A-50 \\
& \text { write }(A)
\end{aligned}
\]} & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & read(A) \\
\hline & tmp \(=\mathrm{A}^{*} 0.1\) \\
\hline & \(A=A-t m p\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{read(B)} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(B=B+50\)} & \\
\hline & write(A) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{write(B)} & \\
\hline & read(B) \\
\hline & \(B=B+\) tmp \\
\hline & write(B) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Effect: & Before & After & & Effect: & Before & After \\
\hline A & 100 & 45 & = & A & 100 & 45 \\
\hline B & 50 & 105 & & B & 50 & 105 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{Example Schedules (Cont.)}

A "bad" schedule


Can't move \(Y\) below \(X\) read(B) and write(B) conflict

Other options don't work either

So: Not Conflict Serializable

\section*{Testing for conflict-serializability}

Given a schedule, determine if it is conflict-serializable
- Draw a precedence-graph over the transactions
* A directed edge from T1 and T2, if they have conflicting instructions, and T1's conflicting instruction comes first
- If there is a cycle in the graph, not conflict-serializable
\(\star\) Can be checked in at most \(O(n+e)\) time, where \(n\) is the number of vertices, and \(e\) is the number of edges
- If there is none, conflict-serializable
- Testing for view-serializability is NP-hard.

\section*{Example Schedule (Schedule A) + Precedence Graph}
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\section*{View Serializability; Recoverability}

Book Chapters
* 14.6 (last paragraph), 14.7
- Key topics:
\(\star\) View serializability
\(\star\) Recoverability

\section*{Conflict Serializability}

■ In essence, following set of instructions is not conflict-serializable:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline\(T_{3}\) & \(T_{4}\) \\
\hline \(\operatorname{read}(Q)\) & \\
& write \((Q)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{View-Serializability}

Similarly, following not conflict-serializable
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline\(T_{3}\) & \(T_{4}\) & \(T_{6}\) \\
\hline \(\operatorname{read}(Q)\) & & \\
write \((Q)\) & write \((Q)\) & \\
& & write \((Q)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

BUT, it is serializable
* Intuitively, this is because the conflicting write instructions don't matter
\(\star\) The final write is the only one that matters
- View-serializability allows these
\(\star\) Read up

\section*{Other notions of serializability}
\begin{tabular}{||l|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{\(T_{1}\)} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{\(T_{5}\)} \\
\hline \(\operatorname{read}(A)\) & \\
\(A:=A-50\) & \\
write \((A)\) & \\
& \(\operatorname{read}(B)\) \\
& \(B:=B-10\) \\
\(\operatorname{read}(B)\) & \\
\(B:=B+50\) & \\
\(\operatorname{write}(B)\) \\
& \\
& \(\operatorname{read}(A)\) \\
& \(A:=A+10\) \\
& write \((A)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Not conflict-serializable or view-serializable, but serializable
- Mainly because of the +/- only operations
\(\star\) Requires analysis of the actual operations, not just read/write operations
- Most high-performance transaction systems will allow these

\section*{Recoverability}
- Serializability is good for consistency

But what if transactions fail?
\(\star\) T2 has already committed
> A user might have been notified
\(\star\) Now T1 abort creates a problem
> T2 has seen its effect, so just aborting T1 is not enough. T2 must be aborted as well (and possibly restarted)
> But T2 is committed
\begin{tabular}{l|l|} 
T1 & T2 \\
\hline read(A) & \\
\(A=A-50\) & \\
write(A) & \\
& \(\operatorname{read}(A)\) \\
& tmp \(=A^{*} 0.1\) \\
& write(A) \\
& COMMIT \\
read(B) & \\
\(B=B+50\) & \\
write(B) & \\
ABORT & \\
&
\end{tabular}

\section*{Recoverability}
- Recoverable schedule: If T1 has read something T2 has written, T2 must commit before T1
\(\star\) Otherwise, if T1 commits, and T2 aborts, we have a problem
- Cascading rollbacks: If T10 aborts, T11 must abort, and hence T12 must abort and so on.
\begin{tabular}{||c|c|c|}
\hline \hline\(T_{10}\) & \(T_{11}\) & \(T_{12}\) \\
\hline \(\operatorname{read}(A)\) & & \\
\(\operatorname{read}(B)\) & & \\
\(\operatorname{write}(A)\) & & \\
& \(\operatorname{read}(A)\) & \\
& \(\operatorname{write}(A)\) & \\
& & \(\operatorname{read}(A)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Recoverability}
- Dirty read: Reading a value written by a transaction that hasn't committed yet
- Cascadeless schedules:
\(\star\) A transaction only reads committed values.
* So if T1 has written A, but not committed it, T2 can't read it.
> No dirty reads
- Cascadeless \(\rightarrow\) No cascading rollbacks
* That's good
\(\star\) We will try to guarantee that as well

\section*{Recap so far...}
- We discussed:
\(\star\) Serial schedules, serializability
* Conflict-serializability, view-serializability
\(\star\) How to check for conflict-serializability
\(\star\) Recoverability, cascade-less schedules
- We haven't discussed:
* How to guarantee serializability ?
> Allowing transactions to run, and then aborting them if the schedules wasn't serializable is clearly not the way to go
\(\star\) We instead use schemes to guarantee that the schedule will be conflict-serializable

\section*{CMSC424: Database Design}

\section*{Module: Transactions and ACID Properties}

\section*{Concurrency Control: Locking - 1}

\section*{Locking - 1}

\section*{Book Chapters}
15.1.1-15.1.4
- Key topics:
\(\star\) Using locking to guarantee concurrency
* 2-Phase Locking (2PL)
\(\star\) Implementation of locking

\section*{Approach, Assumptions etc..}
- Approach
* Guarantee conflict-serializability by allowing certain types of concurrency
> Lock-based
- Assumptions:
\(\star\) Durability is not a problem
> So no crashes
> Though transactions may still abort
- Goal:
* Serializability
* Minimize the bad effect of aborts (cascade-less schedules only)

\section*{Lock-based Protocols}
- A transaction must get a lock before operating on the data
- Two types of locks:
\(\star\) Shared (S) locks (also called read locks)
> Obtained if we want to only read an item - lock-S() instruction
\(\star\) Exclusive ( X ) locks (also called write locks)
> Obtained for updating a data item - lock- X() instruction
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline T1 & T2 & & T1 & T2 \\
\hline read(B) & read(A) & & lock-X(B) read(B) & \begin{tabular}{l}
lock-S(A) \\
read(A)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
B \leftarrow B-50
\] \\
write(B)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
read(B) \\
display \((A+B)\)
\end{tabular} & \(\square\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& B<B-50 \\
& \text { write }(B)
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
unlock(A) \\
lock-S(B)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(\operatorname{read}(\mathrm{A})\) & & & unlock (B) & read(B) \\
\hline \[
A \leftarrow A+50
\] & & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lock-X(A) } \\
& \operatorname{read}(\mathrm{A})
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
unlock(B) \\
display(A+B)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline write(A) & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
A \leftarrow A+50
\] \\
write(A) \\
unlock(A)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Lock-based Protocols}

Lock requests are made to the concurrency control manager
* It decides whether to grant a lock request

T1 asks for a lock on data item A, and T2 currently has a lock on it ?
* Depends
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline T2 lock type & T1 lock type & Should allow ? \\
\hline Shared & Shared & YES \\
\hline Shared & Exclusive & NO \\
\hline Exclusive & - & NO \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- If compatible, grant the lock, otherwise T1 waits in a queue.

\section*{Lock-based Protocols}

How do we actually use this to guarantee serializability/recoverability ?
\(\star\) Not enough just to take locks when you need to read/write something
T1
lock-X(B)
read(B)
\(B \leftarrow B-50\)
write(B)
unlock(B)
lock-X(A), lock-X(B)
TMP \(=(A+B)^{*} 0.1\)
\(A=A-T M P\)
\(B=B+T M P\)
lock-X(A)
read(A)
\(A \leftarrow A+50\)
write(A)
unlock(A)
NOT SERIALIZABLE

\section*{2-Phase Locking Protocol (2PL)}

Phase 1: Growing phase
* Transaction may obtain locks
\(\star\) But may not release them
Phase 2: Shrinking phase
* Transaction may only release locks
- Can be shown that this achieves conflict-serializability
\(\star\) lock-point: the time at which a transaction acquired last lock
* if lock-point(T1) < lock-point(T2), there can't be an edge from T2 to T1 in the precedence graph

T1
lock-X(B)
read(B)
\(B \leftarrow B-50\)


\section*{2 Phase Locking}

Example: T1 in 2PL
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{9}{*}{Growing phase \(\{\)} & T1 \\
\hline & lock-X(B) \\
\hline & read(B) \\
\hline & \(B \leftarrow B-50\) \\
\hline & write(B) \\
\hline & lock-X(A) \\
\hline & \(\operatorname{read}(\mathrm{A})\) \\
\hline & \(A \leftarrow A-50\) \\
\hline & write(A) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Shrinking phase \(\{\)} & unlock(B) \\
\hline & unlock(A) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{2 Phase Locking}

■ Guarantees conflict-serializability, but not cascade-less recoverability
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ T1 } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ T2 } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ T3 } \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
lock-X(A), lock-S(B) \\
read(A) \\
read(B) \\
write(A) \\
unlock(A), unlock(B)
\end{tabular} & & \\
& \begin{tabular}{l} 
lock-X(A) \\
read(A) \\
write(A) \\
unlock(A) \\
\(\operatorname{Commit}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
lock-S(A) \\
read(A) \\
\(\operatorname{Commit}\)
\end{tabular} \\
<xction fails> & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{2 Phase Locking}

■ Guarantees conflict-serializability, but not cascade-less recoverability
- Guaranteeing just recoverability:
\(\star\) If T2 reads a dirty data of T1 (ie, T1 has not committed), then T2 can't commit unless T1 either commits or aborts
* If T1 commits, T2 can proceed with committing
\(\star\) If T1 aborts, T2 must abort
> So cascades still happen

\section*{Strict 2PL}

Release exclusive locks only at the very end, just before commit or abort
\begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ T1 } & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ T2 } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ T3 } \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l} 
lock-X(A), lock-S(B) \\
read(A) \\
read(B) \\
write(A) \\
unlock(A), unlock(B)
\end{tabular} & & \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Strict 2PL \\
will not \\
allow that \\
lock-X(A) \\
read(A) \\
write(A) \\
unlock(A) \\
Commit
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
lock-S(A) \\
read(A) \\
Commit
\end{tabular} \\
<xction fails>
\end{tabular}

Works. Guarantees cascade-less and recoverable schedules.

\section*{Strict 2PL}

■ Release exclusive locks only at the very end, just before commit or abort
\(\star\) Read locks are not important
- Rigorous 2PL: Release both exclusive and read locks only at the very end
* The serializability order \(===\) the commit order
\(\star\) More intuitive behavior for the users
> No difference for the system
- Lock conversion:
\(\star\) Transaction might not be sure what it needs a write lock on
* Start with a S lock
\(\star\) Upgrade to an X lock later if needed
\(\star\) Doesn't change any of the other properties of the protocol

\section*{Implementation of Locking}
- A separate process, or a separate module

■ Uses a lock table to keep track of currently assigned locks and the requests for locks
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\section*{Recap so far...}
- Concurrency Control Scheme

A way to guarantee serializability, recoverability etc

■ Lock-based protocols
\(\star\) Use locks to prevent multiple transactions accessing the same data items
- 2 Phase Locking
* Locks acquired during growing phase, released during shrinking phase

Strict 2PL, Rigorous 2PL

\section*{CMSC424: Database Design}
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\section*{Concurrency Control: Locking - 2}
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\section*{Locking-2}

Book Chapters
* 15.2

Key topics:
\(\star\) Deadlocks and how 2PL doesn't prevent them
\(\star\) Deadlock detection through precedence graphs
\(\star\) Deadlock avoidance/prevention schemes

\section*{More Locking Issues: Deadlocks}
- No xction proceeds:

\section*{Deadlock}
- T1 waits for T2 to unlock A
- T2 waits for T1 to unlock B

Rollback transactions
Can be costly...

2PL does not prevent deadlock
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{T 1} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{T 2} \\
\hline lock-X(B) & \\
\(\operatorname{read}(\mathrm{B})\) & \\
\(\mathrm{B} \leftarrow \mathrm{B}-50\) & \\
write(B) & lock-S(A) \\
& \(\operatorname{read}(A)\) \\
& lock-S(B) \\
lock-X(A) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Strict doesn't either

\section*{Deadlock detection and recovery}
- Instead of trying to prevent deadlocks, let them happen and deal with them if they happen
- How do you detect a deadlock?
* Wait-for graph
* Directed edge from Ti to Tj
> Ti waiting for Tj

(T3)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline T1 & T2 & T3 & T4 \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{V})\) & \(\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{Z})\) & \\
\(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{V})\) & & & \(\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{W})\) \\
& \(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{W})\) & & \\
& & \(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{V})\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Dealing with Deadlocks}

Deadlock detected, now what?
\(\star\) Will need to abort some transaction
* Prefer to abort the one with the minimum work done so far
\(\star\) Possibility of starvation
> If a transaction is aborted too many times, it may be given priority in continueing

\section*{Preventing deadlocks}
- Solution 1: A transaction must acquire all locks before it begins
* Not acceptable in most cases
* Still need some way to deal with deadlocks during lock acquisition
- Solution 2: A transaction must acquire locks in a particular order over the data items
\(\star\) Also called graph-based protocols
\(\star\) The particular order used doesn't matter (e.g., based on the value of some unique attribute)
* Guarantees that there can never be a cycle in the precedence graph

\section*{Preventing deadlocks}
- Solution 3: Use time-stamps; say T1 is older than T2
\(\star\) wait-die scheme: T1 will wait for T2. T2 will not wait for T1; instead it will abort and restart
> In the precedence graph, there can be an edge from old transaction to a new transaction, but never the other way
> So there cannot be a cycle in precedence graph
\(\star\) wound-wait scheme: T1 will wound T2 (force it to abort) if it needs a lock that T2 currently has; T2 will wait for T1.
> Similar to above: edges only from newer transactions to older transactions
\(\star\) May abort more transactions that needed

Solution 4: Timeout based
* Transaction waits a certain time for a lock; aborts if it doesn't get it by then
* As above, may lead to unnecessary restarts, but very simple to implement

\section*{CMSC424: Database Design}
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\section*{Concurrency Control: Locking - 3}

\section*{Locking - 3}

\section*{Book Chapters}
15.3
\(\square\) Key topics:
What are we taking locks on
Multi-granularity locking
\(\star\) Intentional locks and compatibility

\section*{Locking granularity}
- Locking granularity
\(\star\) What are we taking locks on ? Tables, tuples, attributes ?
- Coarse granularity
\(\star\) e.g. take locks on tables
\(\star\) less overhead (the number of tables is not that high)
\(\star\) very low concurrency

Fine granularity
* e.g. take locks on tuples
* much higher overhead
\(\star\) much higher concurrency
\(\star\) What if I want to lock \(90 \%\) of the tuples of a table?
> Prefer to lock the whole table in that case

\section*{Granularity Hierarchy}


The highest level in the example hierarchy is the entire database.
The levels below are of type area, file or relation and record in that order.

Can lock at any level in the hierarchy

\section*{Granularity Hierarchy}

New lock mode, called intentional locks
* Declare an intention to lock parts of the subtree below a node
* IS: intention shared
> The lower levels below may be locked in the shared mode
\(\star\) IX: intention exclusive
* SIX: shared and intention-exclusive
> The entire subtree is locked in the shared mode, but I might also want to get exclusive locks on the nodes below
Protocol:
\(\star\) If you want to acquire a lock on a data item, all the ancestors must be locked as well, at least in the intentional mode
\(\star\) So you always start at the top root node

\section*{Granularity Hierarchy}

(1) Want to lock \(F_{-} a\) in shared mode, \(D B\) and \(A 1\) must be locked in at least IS mode (but IX, SIX, S, X are okay too)
(2) Want to lock rc1 in exclusive mode, \(D B, A 2, F c\) must be locked in at least IX mode (SIX, X are okay too)

\section*{Multi-granularity Locking}

\section*{Rules for Multi-granularity Locking}
\(\star\) Always start with the root
* Can lock Q in S or IS, only if parent is locked in IS or IX mode
\(\star\) Can lock Q in X, SIX, or IX only if parent is locked in IX or SIX mode
* Must follow 2-phase locking protocol
* Unlock Q only if locks on all children (if any) are released > i.e., unlock from the bottom up

However: it is not a problem to lock a child in, say S, if the parent is in SIX
* It is redundant, but may happen because of "lock upgrades"
* Depending on implementation, may release the child lock or not

\section*{Compatibility Matrix with Intention Lock Modes}
- The compatibility matrix (which locks can be present simultaneously on the same data item) for all lock modes is: requestor
\begin{tabular}{cc|c|c|c|c|c|} 
& & IS & IX & S & S IX & X \\
\hline holder & \begin{tabular}{c} 
IS
\end{tabular} & \(\checkmark\) & \(\checkmark\) & \(\checkmark\) & \(\checkmark\) & \(\times\) \\
\hline IX & \(\checkmark\) & \(\checkmark\) & \(\times\) & \(\times\) & \(\times\) \\
\hline & S & \(\checkmark\) & \(\times\) & \(\checkmark\) & \(\times\) & \(\times\) \\
\hline S IX & \(\checkmark\) & \(\times\) & \(\times\) & \(\times\) & \(\times\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Example}



\section*{Examples}
- T1 scans R, and updates a few tuples:
* T1 gets an SIX lock on R, then occasionally upgrades to \(X\) on the specific tuples.
- T2 uses an index to read only part of R:
\(\star\) T2 gets an IS lock on R, and repeatedly gets an S lock on tuples of R.
- T3 reads all of R:
\(\star\) T3 gets an S lock on R.
\(\star\) OR, T3 could behave like T2; can use lock escalation to decide which.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & -- & IS & IX & S & X \\
\hline -- & \(V\) & \(\checkmark\) & \(\checkmark\) & \(\checkmark\) & \(\sqrt{ }\) \\
\hline IS & \(\checkmark\) & \(\checkmark\) & \(\checkmark\) & \(\checkmark\) & \\
\hline IX & \(\checkmark\) & \(\checkmark\) & \(\checkmark\) & & \\
\hline S & \(\checkmark\) & \(\checkmark\) & & \(\sqrt{ }\) & \\
\hline X & \(\checkmark\) & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Recap: Locking-based CC}

■ Key idea: Take locks as required to ensure conflict serializability
- 2-phase locking, and Strict and Rigorous 2PL
- Deadlocks and how to prevent or detect them
- Multi-granularity locking
- Many commercial databases support locking-based CC, but increasingly multi-version concurrency control more common
\(\star\) Locking expensive in comparison, and supports lower concurrency than MVCC techniques (like Snapshot Isolation)

\section*{CMSC424: Database Design}

\section*{Module: Transactions and ACID Properties}

\section*{Concurrency Control: Other Schemes}

\section*{1. Time-stamp Based}
- Time-stamp based
* Transactions are issued time-stamps when they enter the system
* The time-stamps determine the serializability order
\(\star\) So if T1 entered before T2, then T1 should be before T2 in the serializability order
* Say timestamp(T1) < timestamp(T2)
* If T1 wants to read data item A
> If any transaction with larger time-stamp wrote that data item, then this operation is not permitted, and T1 is aborted
\(\star\) If T1 wants to write data item A
> If a transaction with larger time-stamp already read that data item or written it, then the write is rejected and T1 is aborted
* Aborted transaction are restarted with a new timestamp
> Possibility of starvation

\section*{1. Time-stamp Based}

Maintain for each data Q, two timestamps:
* W-timestamp(Q): largest time-stamp of any transaction that executed Write(Q) successfully
\(\star\) R-timestamp(Q): largest time-stamp of any transaction that executed Read(Q) successfully

Suppose Ti wants to read(Q):
* If TS(T_i) < W-Timestamp(Q): Reject the operation and roll back T_i
* Otherwise, allow the operation and modify:
> R-timestamp \((Q)=\max (\) R-timestamp(Q)), TS(T_i))

\section*{1. Time-stamp Based}
- Maintain for each data Q, two timestamps:
\(\star\) W-timestamp(Q): largest time-stamp of any transaction that executed Write(Q) successfully
\(\star\) R-timestamp(Q): largest time-stamp of any transaction that executed Read(Q) successfully
- Suppose Ti wants to write( Q ):
1. If \(\mathrm{TS}\left(T_{i}\right)<\) R-timestamp \((Q)\) : reject the write and roll back \(\mathrm{T}_{-} \mathrm{i}\)
2. If \(\operatorname{TS}\left(T_{i}\right)<\mathrm{W}\)-timestamp \((Q)\), then \(T_{i}\) is attempting to write an obsolete value of \(Q\).
> Hence, this write operation is rejected, and \(T_{i}\) is rolled back.
3. Otherwise, execute write, and W-timestamp \((Q)\) is set to \(\operatorname{TS}\left(T_{i}\right)\).

\section*{1. Example of Schedule Under TSO}
- Is this schedule valid under TSO?

Assume that initially:
\(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{TS}(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{TS}(\mathrm{A})=0\)
\(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{TS}(\mathrm{B})=\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{TS}(\mathrm{B})=0\)
Assume TS ( \(\mathrm{T}_{25}\) ) = 25 and \(\mathrm{TS}\left(\mathrm{T}_{26}\right)=26\)
\begin{tabular}{c|c}
\multicolumn{1}{c|}{\(T_{25}\)} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{\(T_{26}\)} \\
\hline \(\operatorname{read}(B)\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\operatorname{read}(B)\) \\
\(B:=B-50\) \\
\(\operatorname{write}(B)\)
\end{tabular} \\
\(\operatorname{read}(A)\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\operatorname{read}(A)\)
\end{tabular} \\
\(\operatorname{display}(A+B)\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(A:=A+50\) \\
write \((A)\) \\
display \((A+B)\)
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

How about this one, where initially
\(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{TS}(\mathrm{Q})=\mathrm{W}-\)
\(T S(Q)=0\)
\begin{tabular}{c|c}
\(T_{27}\) & \(T_{28}\) \\
\hline \(\operatorname{read}(Q)\) & \\
write(Q) & write(Q)
\end{tabular}


\section*{1. Recoverability and Cascade Freedom}
- Solution 1:
\(\star\) A transaction is structured such that its writes are all performed at the end of its processing
* All writes of a transaction form an atomic action; no transaction may execute while a transaction is being written
\(\star\) A transaction that aborts is restarted with a new timestamp

\section*{Solution 2:}
* Limited form of locking: wait for data to be committed before reading it
- Solution 3:
* Use commit dependencies to ensure recoverability (i.e., require them to commit in some order)

\section*{1. Thomas' Write Rule}

Ignore obsolete write operations under certain circumstances
- When \(T_{i}\) attempts to write data item \(Q\), if \(\mathrm{TS}\left(T_{i}\right)<\mathrm{W}\) timestamp \((Q)\), then \(T_{i}\) is attempting to write an obsolete value of \{Q\}.
\(\star\) Rather than rolling back \(T_{i}\), this \(\{\) write \(\}\) operation can be ignored.
- Allows greater potential concurrency.
\(\star\) Allows some view-serializable schedules that are not conflictserializable.

\section*{2. Optimistic Concurrency Control}

■ Optimistic concurrency control
\(\star\) Also called validation-based
* Intuition
> Let the transactions execute as they wish
> At the very end when they are about to commit, check if there might be any problems/conflicts etc
- If no, let it commit
- If yes, abort and restart
* Optimistic: The hope is that there won't be too many problems/aborts

\section*{2. Optimistic Concurrency Control}
- Each transaction \(T_{i}\) has 3 timestamps
\(\star \operatorname{Start}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\) : the time when \(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}\) started its execution
\(\star\) Validation \(\left(T_{i}\right)\) : the time when \(T_{i}\) entered its validation phase
\(\star \operatorname{Finish}\left(T_{i}\right)\) : the time when \(T_{i}\) finished its write phase
- Serializability order is determined by timestamp given at validation time, to increase concurrency.
\(\star\) Thus \(\mathrm{TS}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\) is given the value of Validation \(\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\).
- This protocol is useful and gives greater degree of concurrency if probability of conflicts is low.
\(\star\) because the serializability order is not pre-decided, and
\(\star\) relatively few transactions will have to be rolled back.

\section*{2. Optimistic Concurrency Control}

■ If for all \(T_{i}\) with \(\mathrm{TS}\left(T_{i}\right)<\mathrm{TS}\left(T_{j}\right)\) either one of the following condition holds:
\(\star \operatorname{finish}\left(T_{i}\right)<\operatorname{start}\left(T_{j}\right)\)
\(\star \operatorname{start}\left(T_{j}\right)<\operatorname{finish}\left(T_{i}\right)<\operatorname{validation}\left(T_{j}\right)\) and the set of data items written by \(T_{i}\) does not intersect with the set of data items read by \(T_{j}\).
then validation succeeds and \(T_{j}\) can be committed. Otherwise, validation fails and \(T_{j}\) is aborted.
- Justification: Either the first condition is satisfied, and there is no overlapped execution, or the second condition is satisfied and
\(\square\) the writes of \(T_{j}\) do not affect reads of \(T_{i}\) since they occur after \(T_{i}\) has finished its reads.
\(\square\) the writes of \(T_{i}\) do not affect reads of \(T_{j}\) since \(T_{j}\) does not read any item written by \(T_{i}\).


\section*{3. Snapshot Isolation}
- Very popular scheme, used as the primary scheme by many systems including Oracle, PostgreSQL etc...
\(\star\) Several others support this in addition to locking-based protocol
- A type of "multi-version concurrency control"
\(\star\) Also similar to optimistic concurrency control in many ways

Key idea:
* For each object, maintain past "versions" of the data along with timestamps
> Every update to an object causes a new version to be generated

\section*{3. Snapshot Isolation}

Read queries:
* Let "t" be the "time-stamp" of the query, i.e., the time at which it entered the system
* When the query asks for a data item, provide a version of the data item that was latest as of " t "
> Even if the data changed in between, provide an old version
\(\star\) No locks needed, no waiting for any other transactions or queries
\(\star\) The query executes on a consistent snapshot of the database
- Update queries (transactions):
\(\star\) Reads processed as above on a snapshot
Writes are done in private storage
At commit time, for each object that was written, check if some other transaction updated the data item since this transaction started
> If yes, then abort and restart
\(>\) If no, make all the writes public simultaneously (by making new versions)

\section*{3. Snapshot Isolation}
- A transaction T1 executing with Snapshot Isolation
* takes snapshot of committed data at start
* always reads/modifies data in its own snapshot
* updates of concurrent transactions are not visible to T1
* writes of T1 complete when it commits
* First-committer-wins rule:
> Commits only if no other concurrent transaction has already written data that T1 intends to write.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline T1 & T2 & T3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\[
W(Y:=1)
\] \\
Commit
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & Start
\[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow 0 \\
& \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Y}) \rightarrow 1
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \\
\hline & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{X}:=2) \\
& \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{Z}:=3) \\
& \text { Commit }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Z}) \rightarrow 0 \\
& \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Y}) \rightarrow 1 \\
& \mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{X}:=3)
\end{aligned}
\] \\
Commit-Req \\
Abort
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Serialization error, T2 is rolled back

\section*{3. Snapshot Isolation}

Advantages:
\(\star\) Read query don't block at all, and run very fast
\(\star\) As long as conflicts are rare, update transactions don't abort either
\(\star\) Overall better performance than locking-based protocols

Major disadvantage:
* Not serializable
* Inconsistencies may be introduced
* See the wikipedia article for more details and an example
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Snapshot_isolation

\section*{3. Snapshot Isolation}
- Example of problem with SI
* T1: \(\mathrm{x}:=\mathrm{y}\)
* T2: \(y:=x\)
* Initially \(\mathrm{x}=3\) and \(\mathrm{y}=17\)
> Serial execution: \(\mathrm{x}=\) ??, \(\mathrm{y}=\) ??
\(>\) if both transactions start at the same time, with snapshot isolation: \(x=? ?, y=? ?\)
- Called skew write
- Skew also occurs with inserts
* E.g:
> Find max order number among all orders
> Create a new order with order number = previous max +1

\section*{3. SI In Oracle and PostgreSQL}
- Warning: SI used when isolation level is set to serializable, by Oracle, and PostgreSQL versions prior to 9.1
* PostgreSQL's implementation of SI (versions prior to 9.1) described in Section 26.4.1.3
\(\star\) Oracle implements "first updater wins" rule (variant of "first committer wins")
> concurrent writer check is done at time of write, not at commit time
> Allows transactions to be rolled back earlier
> Oracle and PostgreSQL < 9.1 do not support true serializable execution
* PostgreSQL 9.1 introduced new protocol called "Serializable Snapshot Isolation" (SSI)
> Which guarantees true serializabilty including handling predicate reads (coming up)
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\section*{Properties}

\section*{Concurrency Control: Phantom Problem; Weak Levels of Isolations}

\section*{Phantom Phenomenon}
- Example of phantom phenomenon.
\(\star\) A transaction T1 that performs predicate read (or scan) of a relation
> select count(*)
from instructor where dept_name = 'Physics'
\(\star\) and a transaction T2 that inserts a tuple while T1 is active but after predicate read
> insert into instructor values ('11111', 'Feynman', 'Physics', 94000)
(conceptually) conflict in spite of not accessing any tuple in common.
■ If only tuple locks are used, non-serializable schedules can result
\(\star\) E.g. the scan transaction does not see the new instructor, but may read some other tuple written by the update transaction
- Can also occur with updates
\(\star\) E.g. update Wu's department from Finance to Physics

\section*{Insert/Delete Operations and Predicate Reads}
- Another Example Schedule with a problem
* T1 saw a partial update of T2, but not the full update
\(\star\) So not serializable
\begin{tabular}{c|c} 
T1 & T2 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
Read(instructor where \\
dept_name='Physics')
\end{tabular} & \\
& Insert Instructor in Physics \\
Insert Instructor in Comp. Sci. \\
Read(instructor where \\
dept_name='Comp. Sci.') &
\end{tabular}

\section*{Insert/Delete Operations and Predicate Reads}
- Another Example: T1 and T2 both find maximum instructor ID in
parallel, and create new instructors with ID = maximum ID + 1 \(\star\) Both instructors get same ID, not possible in serializable schedule

\section*{Index Locking To Prevent Phantoms}

Index locking protocol to prevent phantoms
* Every relation must have at least one index.
\(\star\) A transaction can access tuples only after finding them through one or more indices on the relation
\(\star\) A transaction \(T_{i}\) that performs a lookup must lock all the index leaf nodes that it accesses, in S-mode
> Even if the leaf node does not contain any tuple satisfying the index lookup (e.g. for a range query, no tuple in a leaf is in the range)
\(\star\) A transaction \(T_{i}\) that inserts, updates or deletes a tuple \(t_{i}\) in a relation \(r\)
\(>\) Must update all indices to \(r\)
> Must obtain exclusive locks on all index leaf nodes affected by the insert/update/delete
* The rules of the two-phase locking protocol must be observed

■ Guarantees that phantom phenomenon won't occur

\section*{Weak Levels of Consistency}

Degree-two consistency: differs from two-phase locking in that S-locks may be released at any time, and locks may be acquired at any time
\(\star\) X-locks must be held till end of transaction
* Guarantees no "dirty reads" (so no recoverability issues)
* Serializability is not guaranteed, programmer must ensure that no erroneous database state will occur]

\section*{- Cursor stability:}
\(\star\) For reads, each tuple is locked, read, and lock is immediately released
* X-locks are held till end of transaction
\(\star\) Special case of degree-two consistency

\section*{Weak Levels of Consistency}
\begin{tabular}{l|c}
\multicolumn{1}{c|}{\(T_{32}\)} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{\(T_{33}\)} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\operatorname{lock}-\mathrm{S}(Q)\) \\
\(\operatorname{read}(Q)\) \\
\(\operatorname{unlock}(Q)\)
\end{tabular} & \\
& \\
& \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\operatorname{lock}-\mathrm{X}(Q)\) \\
\\
\\
\(\operatorname{read}(Q)\) \\
\(\operatorname{write}(Q)\) \\
\(\operatorname{unlock}(Q)\) \\
\(\operatorname{lock}-\mathrm{S}(Q)\) \\
\(\operatorname{read}(Q)\) \\
\(\operatorname{unlock}(Q)\)
\end{tabular} \\
\end{tabular}

Figure 18.21 Nonserializable schedule with degree-two consistency.

\section*{The "Phantom" problem}

■ An interesting problem that comes up for dynamic databases
- Schema: accounts(acct_no, balance, zipcode, ...)
- Transaction 1: Find the number of accounts in zipcode \(=20742\), and divide \$1,000,000 between them

■ Transaction 2: Insert <acctX, ..., 20742, ...>
- Execution sequence:
* T1 locks all tuples corresponding to "zipcode = 20742", finds the total number of accounts (= num_accounts)
\(\star\) T2 does the insert
* T1 computes 1,000,000/num_accounts
\(\star\) When T1 accesses the relation again to update the balances, it finds one new ("phantom") tuples (the new tuple that T2 inserted)
Not serializable

\section*{Weak Levels of Consistency}

Degree-two consistency: differs from two-phase locking in that S-locks may be released at any time, and locks may be acquired at any time
\(\star\) X-locks must be held till end of transaction
\(\star\) Serializability is not guaranteed, programmer must ensure that no erroneous database state will occur]
- Cursor stability:
\(\star\) For reads, each tuple is locked, read, and lock is immediately released
\(\star\) X-locks are held till end of transaction
\(\star\) Special case of degree-two consistency

\section*{Weak Levels of Consistency in SQL}

SQL allows non-serializable executions
\(\star\) Serializable: is the default
* Repeatable read: allows only committed records to be read, and repeating a read should return the same value (so read locks should be retained)
> However, the phantom phenomenon need not be prevented
- T1 may see some records inserted by T2, but may not see others inserted by T2
\(\star\) Read committed: same as degree two consistency, but most systems implement it as cursor-stability
\(\star\) Read uncommitted: allows even uncommitted data to be read
- In many database systems, read committed is the default consistency level
\(\star\) has to be explicitly changed to serializable when required
> set isolation level serializable

\section*{Summary}

Concurrency control schemes help guarantee isolation while allowing for concurrent transactions
- Many different schemes developed over the years
\(\star\) Lock-based, Timestamp-based, Snapshot Isolation, Optimistic

■ Lot of new work in the recent years because of shifting hardware trends
\(\star\) E.g., locking performance overheads quite significant
- Many NoSQL systems still have limited concurrency

■ Important to consider recovery schemes at the same time
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\section*{Transactions: Recovery}

Book Chapters
*16.1, 16.2, 16.3.2
■ Key topics:
\(\star\) Challenges in guaranteeing Atomicity and Durability
\(\star\) Basics of how disks and memory interact
* New operations: Output() and Input()
\(\star\) STEAL and NO FORCE: Why those are desirable
\(\star\) Terminology used in the book: Immediate vs Deferred Modifications

\section*{Context}

ACID properties:
\(\star\) We have talked about Isolation and Consistency
\(\star\) How do we guarantee Atomicity and Durability ?
> Atomicity: Two problems
- Part of the transaction is done, but we want to cancel it
" ABORT/ROLLBACK
- System crashes during the transaction. Some changes made it to the disk, some didn't.
> Durability:
- Transaction finished. User notified. But changes not sent to disk yet (for performance reasons). System crashed.

Essentially similar solutions

\section*{Reasons for crashes}

\section*{Transaction failures}
* Logical errors: transaction cannot complete due to some internal error condition
* System errors: the database system must terminate an active transaction due to an error condition (e.g., deadlock)

\section*{- System crash}
\(\star\) Power failures, operating system bugs etc
\(\star\) Fail-stop assumption: non-volatile storage contents are assumed to not be corrupted by system crash
> Database systems have numerous integrity checks to prevent corruption of disk data
Disk failure
* Head crashes; for now we will assume
> STABLE STORAGE: Data never lost. Can approximate by using RAID and maintaining geographically distant copies of the data

\section*{Approach, Assumptions etc..}
- Approach:
\(\star\) Guarantee A and D:
> by controlling how the disk and memory interact,
> by storing enough information during normal processing to recover from failures
> by developing algorithms to recover the database state
Assumptions:
* System may crash, but the disk is durable
\(\star\) The only atomicity guarantee is that a disk block write is atomic
■ Once again, obvious naïve solutions exist that work, but that are too expensive.
\(\star\) E.g. The shadow copy solution
> Make a copy of the database; do the changes on the copy; do an atomic switch of the dbpointer at commit time
\(\star\) Goal is to do this as efficiently as possible

\section*{Data Access}
- Physical blocks are those blocks residing on the disk.
- Buffer blocks are the blocks residing temporarily in main memory.
- Block movements between disk and main memory are initiated through the following two operations:
\(\star\) input \((B)\) transfers the physical block \(B\) to main memory.
\(\star\) output( \(B\) ) transfers the buffer block \(B\) to the disk, and replaces the appropriate physical block there.
- We assume, for simplicity, that each data item fits in, and is stored inside, a single block.


\section*{Data Access (Cont.)}

■ Each transaction \(T_{i}\) has its private work-area in which local copies of all data items accessed and updated by it are kept.
* \(T_{i}\) 's local copy of a data item \(X\) is called \(x_{i}\).
- Transferring data items between system buffer blocks and its private work-area done by:
* \(\operatorname{read}(X)\) assigns the value of data item \(X\) to the local variable \(x_{i}\).
\(\star\) write \((X)\) assigns the value of local variable \(x_{i}\) to data item \(\{X\}\) in the buffer block.
\(\star\) Note: output( \(B_{\chi}\) ) need not immediately follow write \((X)\). System can perform the output operation when it deems fit.
Transactions
\(\star\) Must perform read \((X)\) before accessing \(X\) for the first time (subsequent reads can be from local copy)
\(\star\) write \((X)\) can be executed at any time before the transaction commits

\section*{STEAL vs NO STEAL, FORCE vs NO FORCE}

STEAL:
\(\star\) The buffer manager can steal a (memory) page from the database
> ie., it can write an arbitrary page to the disk and use that page for something else from the disk
> In other words, the database system doesn't control the buffer replacement policy
\(\star\) Why a problem ?
> The page might contain dirty writes, ie., writes/updates by a transaction that hasn't committed
* But, we must allow steal for performance reasons.

\section*{NO STEAL:}
\(\star\) Not allowed. More control, but less flexibility for the buffer manager.

\section*{STEAL vs NO STEAL, FORCE vs NO FORCE}

\section*{FORCE:}
* The database system forces all the updates of a transaction to disk before committing
\(\star\) Why ?
> To make its updates permanent before committing
\(\star\) Why a problem ?
> Most probably random I/Os, so poor response time and throughput
> Interferes with the disk controlling policies

\section*{NO FORCE:}
\(\star\) Don't do the above. Desired.
* Problem:
> Guaranteeing durability becomes hard
* We might still have to force some pages to disk, but minimal.

\section*{STEAL vs NO STEAL, FORCE vs NO FORCE: \\ Recovery implications}

No Force

Force


No Steal

\section*{STEAL vs NO STEAL, FORCE vs NO FORCE: Recovery implications}

How to implement A and D when No Steal and Force ?
\(\star\) Only updates from committed transaction are written to disk (since no steal)
\(\star\) Updates from a transaction are forced to disk before commit (since force)
> A minor problem: how do you guarantee that all updates from a transaction make it to the disk atomically?
- Remember we are only guaranteed an atomic block write
- What if some updates make it to disk, and other don't ?
> Can use something like shadow copying/shadow paging
\(\star\) No atomicity/durability problem arise.

\section*{Terminology}
- Deferred Database Modification:
* Similar to NO STEAL, NO FORCE > Not identical
\(\star\) Only need redos, no undos
\(\star\) We won't cover this in detail

Immediate Database Modification:
* Similar to STEAL, NO FORCE
* Need both redos, and undos
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\section*{Transactions: Recovery}

\section*{Book Chapters}
16.3.1, 16.3.5
- Key topics:
\(\star\) Generating log records
* Using log records to support UNDO/Rollback

\section*{Log-based Recovery}

■ Most commonly used recovery method
- Intuitively, a log is a record of everything the database system does
- For every operation done by the database, a log record is generated and stored typically on a different (log) disk
- <T1, START>

■ <T2, COMMIT>
- <T2, ABORT>
- <T1, A, 100, 200>
\(\star\) T1 modified \(A\); old value \(=100\), new value \(=200\)


\section*{Log-based Recovery}
- Assumptions:
1. Log records are immediately pushed to the disk as soon as they are generated
2. Log records are written to disk in the order generated
3. A log record is generated before the actual data value is updated
4. Strict two-phase locking
\(\star\) The first assumption can be relaxed
* As a special case, a transaction is considered committed only after the <T1, COMMIT> has been pushed to the disk

But, this seems like exactly what we are trying to avoid ??
* Log writes are sequential
\(\star\) They are also typically on a different disk
- Aside: LFS == log-structured file system

\section*{Log-based Recovery}
- Assumptions:
1. Log records are immediately pushed to the disk as soon as they are generated
2. Log records are written to disk in the order generated
3. A log record is generated before the actual data value is updated
4. Strict two-phase locking
\(\star\) The first assumption can be relaxed
* As a special case, a transaction is considered committed only after the <T1, COMMIT> has been pushed to the disk
- NOTE: As a result of assumptions 1 and 2, if data item \(A\) is updated, the log record corresponding to the update is always forced to the disk before data item \(A\) is written to the disk
* This is actually the only property we need; assumption 1 can be relaxed to just guarantee this (called write-ahead logging)

\section*{Using the log to abort/rollback}

STEAL is allowed, so changes of a transaction may have made it to the disk

\section*{UNDO(T1):}
\(\star\) Procedure executed to rollback/undo the effects of a transaction
* E.g.
> <T1, START>
> <T1, A, 200, 300>
> <T1, B, 400, 300>
> <T1, A, 300, 200> [[ note: second update of \(A\) ]]
> T1 decides to abort
\(\star\) Any of the changes might have made it to the disk

\section*{Using the log to abort/rollback}
- UNDO(T1):
\(\star\) Go backwards in the log looking for log records belonging to T1
\(\star\) Restore the values to the old values
\(\star\) NOTE: Going backwards is important.
> \(A\) was updated twice
\(\star\) In the example, we simply:
> Restore A to 300
\(>\) Restore B to 400
> Restore A to 200
\(\star\) Write a log record \(<T_{i}, X_{j}, V_{1}>\)
\(>\) such log records are called compensation log records
\(><T 1, A, 300>,<T 1, B, 400>,<T 1, A, 200>\)
* Note: No other transaction better have changed A or B in the meantime
> Strict two-phase locking

\section*{CMSC424: Database Design}

\section*{Module: Transactions and ACID Properties}

\section*{Recovery: Log-based Restart Recovery}

\section*{Using Logs for Recovery}

\section*{Book Chapters}
16.4

■ Key topics:
How to use logs for REDO
\(\star\) Idempotency of log records
\(\star\) Restart recovery after a failure

\section*{Using the log to recover}
- We don't require FORCE, so a change made by the committed transaction may not have made it to the disk before the system crashed
\(\star\) BUT, the log record did (recall our assumptions)
REDO(T1):
* Procedure executed to recover a committed transaction
* E.g.
\(><T 1\), START \(>\)
> <T1, A, 200, 300>
> <T1, B, 400, 300>
> <T1, A, 300, 200> [[ note: second update of A ]]
> <T1, COMMIT>
* By our assumptions, all the log records made it to the disk (since the transaction committed)
\(\star\) But any or none of the changes to A or B might have made it to disk

\section*{Using the log to recover}

\section*{REDO(T1):}
\(\star\) Go forwards in the log looking for log records belonging to T1
* Set the values to the new values
\(\star\) NOTE: Going forwards is important.
\(\star\) In the example, we simply:
\(>\) Set A to 300
\(>\) Set B to 300
> Set A to 200

\section*{Idempotency}

Both redo and undo are required to idempotent
\(\star F\) is idempotent, if \(F(x)=F(F(x))=F(F(F(F(\ldots F(x)))))\)
■ Multiple applications shouldn't change the effect
* This is important because we don't know exactly what made it to the disk, and we can't keep track of that
\(\star\) E.g. consider a log record of the type \(><T 1, A\), incremented by 100>
> Old value was 200, and so new value was 300
* But the on disk value might be 200 or 300 (since we have no control over the buffer manager)
\(\star\) So we have no idea whether to apply this log record or not
* Hence, value based logging is used (also called physical), not operation based (also called logical)

\section*{Log-based recovery}
- Log is maintained
- If during the normal processing, a transaction needs to abort
\(\star\) UNDO() is used for that purpose

■ If the system crashes, then we need to do recovery using both UNDO() and REDO()
\(\star\) Some transactions that were going on at the time of crash may not have completed, and must be aborted/undone
\(\star\) Some transaction may have committed, but their changes didn't make it to disk, so they must be redone
\(\star\) Called restart recovery

\section*{Recovery Algorithm (Cont.)}

\section*{Recovery from failure: Two phases}
* Redo phase: replay updates of all transactions, whether they committed, aborted, or are incomplete
* Undo phase: undo all incomplete transactions

\section*{Redo phase:}
1. Set undo-list to \(\}\) (empty).
2. Scan forward from first log record
1. Whenever a record \(<T_{i}, X_{j}, V_{1}, V_{2}>\) is found, redo it by writing \(V_{2}\) to \(X_{j}\)
2. Whenever a log record \(<T_{i}\) start \(>\) is found, add \(T_{i}\) to undo-list
3. Whenever a log record \(<T_{i}\) commit \(>\) or \(<T_{i}\) abort \(>\) is found, remove \(T_{i}\) from undo-list

\section*{Recovery Algorithm (Cont.)}

\section*{Undo phase:}
1. Scan log backwards from end
1. Whenever a log record \(<T_{i}, X_{j}, V_{1}, V_{2}>\) is found where \(T_{i}\) is in undo-list perform same actions as for transaction rollback:
1. perform undo by writing \(V_{1}\) to \(X_{j}\).
2. write a log record \(\left\langle T_{i}, X_{j}, V_{1}\right\rangle\)
2. Whenever a log record \(<T_{i}\) start \(>\) is found where \(T_{i}\) is in undolist,
1. Write a log record \(<T_{i}\) abort>
2. Remove \(T_{i}\) from undo-list
3. Stop when undo-list is empty
i.e. \(<T_{i}\) start \(>\) has been found for every transaction in undolist

After undo phase completes, normal transaction processing can commence

\section*{Example of Recovery}


\section*{CMSC424: Database Design}

\section*{Module: Transactions and ACID Properties}

\section*{Checkpointing; Write-ahead Logging; Recap}

Instructor: Amol Deshpande
amol@umd.edu

\section*{Recovery: Recap}

Book Chapters
*16.3.6, 16.5
\(\square\) Key topics:
\(\star\) Checkpointing
\(\star\) Write-ahead logging
\(\star\) Recap

\section*{Checkpointing}

How far should we go back in the log while constructing redo and undo lists ??
\(\star\) It is possible that a transaction made an update at the very beginning of the system, and that update never made it to disk
> very very unlikely, but possible (because we don't do force)
* For correctness, we have to go back all the way to the beginning of the log
\(\star\) Bad idea !!
- Checkpointing is a mechanism to reduce this

\section*{Checkpointing}

Periodically, the database system writes out everything in the memory to disk
\(\star\) Goal is to get the database in a state that we know (not necessarily consistent state)

\section*{Steps:}
\(\star\) Stop all other activity in the database system
* Write out the entire contents of the memory to the disk
> Only need to write updated pages, so not so bad
> Entire === all updates, whether committed or not
\(\star\) Write out all the log records to the disk
\(\star\) Write out a special log record to disk
> <CHECKPOINT LIST_OF_ACTIVE_TRANSACTIONS>
> The second component is the list of all active transactions in the system right now
\(\star\) Continue with the transactions again

\section*{Recovery Algorithm (Cont.)}

Recovery from failure: Two phases
\(\star\) Redo phase: replay updates of all transactions, whether they committed, aborted, or are incomplete
* Undo phase: undo all incomplete transactions

Redo phase (No difference for Undo phase):
1. Find last <checkpoint \(L>\) record, and set undo-list to \(L\).
- If no checkpoint record, start at the beginning
2. Scan forward from above <checkpoint \(L>\) record
1. Whenever a record \(<T_{i}, X_{j}, V_{1}, V_{2}>\) is found, redo it by writing \(V_{2}\) to \(X_{j}\)
2. Whenever a log record \(<T_{i}\) start> is found, add \(T_{i}\) to undo-list
3. Whenever a log record \(<T_{i}\) commit \(>\) or \(<T_{i}\) abort \(>\) is found, remove \(T_{i}\) from undo-list

\section*{Recap so far ...}

Log-based recovery
\(\star\) Uses a log to aid during recovery
- UNDO()
* Used for normal transaction abort/rollback, as well as during restart recovery
- REDO()
\(\star\) Used during restart recovery
- Checkpoints
\(\star\) Used to reduce the restart recovery time

\section*{Write-ahead logging}
- We assumed that log records are written to disk as soon as generated
* Too restrictive
- Write-ahead logging:
* Before an update on a data item (say A) makes it to disk, the log records referring to the update must be forced to disk
\(\star\) How ?
> Each log record has a log sequence number (LSN)
- Monotonically increasing
> For each page in the memory, we maintain the LSN of the last log record that updated a record on this page
- pageLSN
> If a page \(P\) is to be written to disk, all the log records till pageLSN(P) are forced to disk

\section*{Write-ahead logging}
- Write-ahead logging (WAL) is sufficient for all our purposes
\(\star\) All the algorithms discussed before work
- Note the special case:
\(\star\) A transaction is not considered committed, unless the \(<T\), commit> record is on disk

\section*{Other issues}
- The system halts during checkpointing
\(\star\) Not acceptable
* Advanced recovery techniques allow the system to continue processing while checkpointing is going on
- System may crash during recovery
\(\star\) Our simple protocol is actually fine
* In general, this can be painful to handle
- B+-Tree and other indexing techniques
\(\star\) Strict 2PL is typically not followed (we didn't cover this)
\(\star\) So physical logging is not sufficient; must have logical logging

\section*{Other issues}

ARIES: Considered the canonical description of log-based recovery
* Used in most systems
\(\star\) Has many other types of log records that simplify recovery significantly

Loss of disk:
\(\star\) Can use a scheme similar to checkpoining to periodically dump the database onto tapes or optical storage
* Techniques exist for doing this while the transactions are executing (called fuzzy dumps)
- Shadow paging:

Read up

\section*{Recap}

■ STEAL vs NO STEAL, FORCE vs NO FORCE
\(\star\) We studied how to do STEAL and NO FORCE through log-based recovery scheme


\section*{Recap}

ACID Properties
* Atomicity and Durability :
> Logs, undo(), redo(), WAL etc
\(\star\) Consistency and Isolation:
> Concurrency schemes
\(\star\) Strong interactions:
> We had to assume Strict 2PL for proving correctness of recovery

\section*{CMSC424: Database Design}

\section*{Module: Transactions and ACID Properties}

\section*{Distributed Transactions}

Instructor: Amol Deshpande amol@umd.edu

\section*{Distributed Transactions}
\(\square\) Book Chapters
\(\star\) 19.1-19.4, 19.6: at a fairly high level
\(\square\) Key topics:
* Distributed databases and replication
\(\star\) Transaction processing in distributed databases
*2-Phase Commit
\(\star\) Brief discussion of other protocols including Paxos

\section*{Distributed Database System}

■ A distributed database system consists of loosely coupled sites that share no physical component
- Database systems that run on each site are independent of each other
- Or not - lot of variations here
- Transactions may access data at one or more sites
- Because of replication, even updating a single data item involves a "distributed transaction" (to keep all replicas up to date)

\section*{Data Replication}
- A relation or fragment of a relation is replicated if it is stored redundantly in two or more sites
- Advantages:Availability: failures can be handled through replicas
- Parallelism: queries can be run on any replica
- Reduced data transfer: queries can go to the "closest" replica

Disadvantages:
- Increased cost of updates: both computation as well as latency
- Increased complexity of concurrency control: need to update all copies of a data item/tuple
- Typically we use the term "data items", which may be tuples or relations or relation partitions

\section*{Distributed Transactions}
- Transaction may access data at several sites
- As noted, single data item update is also a distributed transaction
- Each site has a local transaction manager responsible for:
- Maintaining a log for recovery purposes
- Coordinating the concurrent execution of the transactions
- Each site has a transaction coordinator, which is responsible for:
- Starting the execution of transactions that originate at the site.
- Distributing sub-transactions at appropriate sites for execution.
- Coordinating the termination of each transaction that originates at the site -transaction may commit at all sites or abort at all sites.

transaction coordinator
transaction
manager
computer
computer \(n\)

\section*{System Failure Modes}

Failures unique to distributed systems:
Failure of a site.
- Loss of massages
- Handled by network transmission control protocols such as TCP-IP

Failure of a communication link
- Handled by network protocols, by routing messages via alternative links

\section*{Network partition}
- A network is said to be partitioned when it has been split into two or more subsystems that lack any connection between them

Note: a subsystem may consist of a single node
Network partitioning and site failures are generally indistinguishable.

Commit Protocols
- Commit protocols are used to ensure atomicity across sites
- a transaction which executes at multiple sites must either be committed at all the sites, or aborted at all the sites.
- not acceptable to have a transaction committed at one site and aborted at another
- Two-phase commit (2PC) protocol is widely used
- Three-phase commit (3PC) protocol
- Handles some situations that 2PC doesn't
- Not widely used
- Paxos

Robust alternative to 2PC that handles more situations as well
- Was considered too expensive at one point, but widely used today

RAFT: Alternative to Paxos

\section*{Two Phase Commit Protocol (2PC)}
- Assumes fail-stop model - failed sites simply stop working, and do not cause any other harm, such as sending incorrect messages to other sites.
- Execution of the protocol is initiated by the coordinator after the last step of the transaction has been reached.
- The protocol involves all the local sites at which the transaction executed
- Let \(T\) be a transaction initiated at site \(S_{i}\), and let the transaction coordinator at \(S_{i}\) be \(C_{i}\)

\section*{Two Phase Commit Protocol (2PC)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Coordinator Log & Messages & Subordinate Log \\
\hline & PREPARE \(\rightarrow\) & \\
\hline & & prepare \(^{\star} /\) abort \(^{\star}\) \\
\hline & \(\leftarrow\) VOTE YES/NO & \\
\hline\({\text { commit*} / \text { abort }^{\star}}\) & COMMIT/ABORT \(\rightarrow\) & \\
\hline & & commit \(^{\star} /\) abort \(^{\star}\) \\
\hline & \(\leftarrow\) ACK & \\
\hline end & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Goal: Make sure all "sites" commit or abort
Assumption: Some log records can be "forced" (denote * above)

\section*{Phase 1: Obtaining a Decision}
- Coordinator asks all participants to prepare to commit transaction \(T_{i}\).
- \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\) adds the records <prepare \(T>\) to the log and forces log to stable storage
- sends prepare \(T\) messages to all sites at which \(T\) executed
- Upon receiving message, transaction manager at site determines if it can commit the transaction
- if not, add a record <no \(T>\) to the log and send abort \(T\) message to \(C_{i}\)
- if the transaction can be committed, then:
- add the record <ready \(T>\) to the log
- force all records for \(T\) to stable storage
- send ready \(T\) message to \(C_{i}\)

\section*{Phase 2: Recording the Decision}
- \(T\) can be committed of \(C_{i}\) received a ready \(T\) message from all the participating sites: otherwise \(T\) must be aborted.
■ Coordinator adds a decision record, <commit \(T>\) or <abort \(T>\), to the \(\log\) and forces record onto stable storage. Once the record stable storage it is irrevocable (even if failures occur)
- Coordinator sends a message to each participant informing it of the decision (commit or abort)
- Participants take appropriate action locally.

\section*{Handling of Failures - Site Failure}

When site \(S_{i}\) recovers, it examines its log to determine the fate of transactions active at the time of the failure.
■ Log contain <commit \(T>\) record: txn had completed, nothing to be done
- Log contains <abort \(T>\) record: txn had completed, nothing to be done

■ Log contains <ready \(T>\) record: site must consult \(C_{i}\) to determine the fate of \(T\).
- If \(T\) committed, redo ( \(T\) ); write <commit \(T>\) record
- If \(T\) aborted, undo ( \(T\) )
- The log contains no log records concerning \(T\) :
- Implies that \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}\) failed before responding to the prepare \(T\) message from \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\)
- since the failure of \(S_{k}\) precludes the sending of such a response, coordinator \(C_{1}\) must abort \(T\)
- \(S_{k}\) must execute undo ( \(T\) )

\section*{Handling of Failures- Coordinator Failure}
- If coordinator fails while the commit protocol for \(T\) is executing then participating sites must decide on \(T^{\prime}\) s fate:
1. If an active site contains a <commit \(T>\) record in its log, then \(T\) must be committed.
2. If an active site contains an <abort \(T>\) record in its log, then \(T\) must be aborted.
3. If some active participating site does not contain a <ready \(T>\) record in its log, then the failed coordinator \(C_{i}\) cannot have decided to commit \(T\).
- Can therefore abort \(T\); however, such a site must reject any subsequent <prepare \(T>\) message from \(C_{i}\)
4. If none of the above cases holds, then all active sites must have a <ready \(T>\) record in their logs, but no additional control records (such as <abort \(T>\) of \(<\) commit \(T>\) ).
- In this case active sites must wait for \(C_{i}\) to recover, to find decision.
- Blocking problem: active sites may have to wait for failed coordinator to recover.

\section*{Handling of Failures - Network Partition}
- If the coordinator and all its participants remain in one partition, the failure has no effect on the commit protocol.
- If the coordinator and its participants belong to several partitions:
- Sites that are not in the partition containing the coordinator think the coordinator has failed, and execute the protocol to deal with failure of the coordinator.
- No harm results, but sites may still have to wait for decision from coordinator.
- The coordinator and the sites are in the same partition as the coordinator think that the sites in the other partition have failed, and follow the usual commit protocol.
- Again, no harm results
- Three-phase Commit
- 2PC can't handle failure of a coordinator well - everything halts waiting for the coordinator to come back up
- Three-phase commit handles that through another phase

\section*{Paxos and RAFT}
- Solutions for the "consensus problem": get a collection of distributed entities to "choose" a single value
- In case of transaction, you are choosing abort/commit
- Fairly complex, but well-understood today
- Widely used in most distributed systems today
- See the Wikipedia pages
- A nice recent paper: Paxos vs Raft: Have we reached consensus on distributed consensus? - Heidi Howard, 2020

\section*{More...}
- Bitcoin (and other cryptocurrencies)
- Fundamental problem is the same one, of obtaining "consensus"
- But need to support a large number of entities, 1000s or more
- Can't assume full one-to-one communication
- Instead:
- Choose a "leader" based on "proof of work"

Whoever solves a hard puzzle first becomes the "leader"
- The "leader" chooses the next "block" in the blockchain

A block is basically a list of transactions to accept
- Reward the puzzle solvers with money ("bitcoins")

So they have an incentive to keep solving puzzles
- Blockchain?
- Blockchain is a small part of bitcoin
- A cryptographically designed chain of blocks that are immutable```

