CMSC 330: Organization of **Programming Languages**

Functional Programming with OCaml

Reminders / Announcements

• Project 2 due Oct. 12

Review

- · function declaration
- · types
- lists
- · matching

CMSC 330

Example

```
match e with p1 -> e1 \mid ... \mid pn -> en
let is_empty 1 = match 1 with
    [] -> true
  (h::t) -> false
                       (* evaluates to true *)
   is_empty []
   is_empty [1]
                       (* evaluates to false *)
   is_empty [1;2;3]
                       (* evaluates to false *)
CMSC 330
```

More Examples

```
• let f 1 =
  match 1 with (h1::(h2::_)) -> h1 + h2
                                          Two element
  - f [1;2;3]
     (* evaluates to 3 *)
                                           list [h1;h2]
• let q 1 =
  match 1 with [h1; h2] -> h1 + h2
  - g [1; 2]
      (* evaluates to 3 *)
  - g [1; 2; 3]
      (* error! no pattern matches *)
CMSC 330
```

An Abbreviation

- let f p = e, where p is a pattern, is a shorthand for let f x = match x with p -> e
- Examples

```
- let hd (h::_) = h
- let tl (_::t) = t
- let f (x::y::_) = x + y
- let g [x; y] = x + y
```

· Useful if there's only one acceptable input

Pattern Matching Lists of Lists

- · You can do pattern matching on these as well
- Examples

- · Note: You probably won't do this much or at all
 - You'll mostly write recursive functions over lists
 - We'll see that soon

CMSC 330

OCaml Functions Take One Argument

· Recall this example

```
let plus (x, y) = x + y;;
plus (3, 4);;
```

- It looks like you're passing in two arguments
- Actually, you're passing in a *tuple* instead
 - · And using pattern matching
- Tuples are constructed using (e1, ..., en)
 - They're like C structs but without field labels, and allocated on the heap
 - Unlike lists, tuples do not need to be homogenous
 - E.g., (1, <code>["string1"; "string2"])</code> is a valid tuple
- Tuples are deconstructed using pattern matching

Examples with Tuples

```
let plusThree (x, y, z) = x + y + z
let addOne (x, y, z) = (x+1, y+1, z+1)
- plusThree (addOne (3, 4, 5)) (* returns 15 *)
let sum ((a, b), c) = (a+c, b+c)
- sum ((1, 2), 3) = (4, 5)
let plusFirstTwo (x::y::_, a) = (x + a, y + a)
- plusFirstTwo ([1; 2; 3], 4) = (5, 6)
let tls (_::xs, _::ys) = (xs, ys)
- tls ([1; 2; 3], [4; 5; 6; 7]) = ([2; 3], [5; 6; 7])
Remember, semicolon for lists, comma for tuples
- [1, 2] = [(1, 2)] = a list of size one
- (1; 2) = a syntax error
```

Another Example

CMSC 330

List and Tuple Types

- Tuple types use * to separate components
- Examples

CMSC 330

```
- (1, 2) : int * int

- (1, "string", 3.5) : int * string * float

- (1, ["a"; "b"], 'c') :

- [(1,2)] :

- [(1, 2); (3, 4)] :

- [(1,2); (1,2,3)] :
```

List and Tuple Types

- Tuple types use * to separate components
- Examples

```
- (1, 2) : int * int
- (1, "string", 3.5) : int * string * float
- (1, ["a"; "b"], 'c') : int * string list * char
- [(1,2)] : (int * int) list
- [(1, 2); (3, 4)] : (int * int) list
- [(1,2); (1,2,3)] : error
```

Type declarations

- type can be used to create new names for types
 useful for combinations of lists and tuples
- Examples

```
type my_type = int * (int list)
(3, [1; 2]) : my_type

type my_type2 = int * char * (int * float)
(3, 'a', (5, 3.0)) : my_type2
```

Polymorphic Types

- Some functions we saw require specific list types
 - let plusFirstTwo (x::y::_, a) = (x + a, y + a)
 plusFirstTwo : int list * int -> (int * int)
- · But other functions work for any list
 - let hd (h::_) = h - hd [1; 2; 3] (* returns 1 *) - hd ["a"; "b"; "c"] (* returns "a" *)
- OCaml gives such functions polymorphic types
 - hd : 'a list -> 'a
 - this says the function takes a list of any element type
 a, and returns something of that type

ASC 330 14

Examples of Polymorphic Types

15

Tuples Are a Fixed Size

```
# let foo x = match x with
  (a, b) -> a + b
| (a, b, c) -> a + b + c;;
This pattern matches values of type 'a * 'b
  * 'c
but is here used to match values of type 'd
  * 'c
```

 Thus there's never more than one match case with tuples

cmsc_330How's this instead?

Conditionals

CMSC 330

- Use if...then...else just like C/Java
 - No parentheses and no end

```
if grade >= 90 then
    print_string "You got an A"
else if grade >= 80 then
    print string "You got a B"
else if grade >= 70 then
    print_string "You got a C"
else
    print_string "You're not doing so well"
```

CMSC 330

Conditionals (cont'd)

- · In OCaml, conditionals return a result
 - The value of whichever branch is true/false
 - Like ?:in C, C++, and Java
 # if 7 > 42 then "hello" else "goodbye";;
 : string = "goodbye"
 # let x = if true then 3 else 4;;
 x : int = 3
 # if false then 3 else 3.0;;
 This expression has type float but is here used with type int
- Putting this together with what we've seen earlier, can you write fact, the factorial function?

330 18

The Factorial Function

```
let rec fact n =
   if n = 0 then
   1
   else
     n * fact (n-1);;
```

- · Notice no return statements
 - So this is pretty much how it needs to be written
- The rec part means "define a recursive function"
 - This is special for technical reasons
 - let x = e1 in e2 x in scope within e2
 - let rec x = e1 in e2 x in scope within e2 and e1
 - OCaml will complain if you use let instead of let rec

CMSC 330

CMSC 330

let f n = if n = 0 then 1 else n * f (n - 1);

let x = x + 1 in x;;

• let x = 1 in x ; x;;

let x = x in x;;

let f n = 10;;
let f n = if n
f 0...

f 1;;

• let f x = f x;;

....

30 20

More examples of let (try to evaluate)

More examples of let

```
• let x = 1 in x; x;; (* error, x is unbound *)
• let x = x in x;; (* error, x is unbound *)
• let x = 4;
let x = x + 1 in x;; (* 5 *)
• let f n = if n = 0 then 1 else n * f (n - 1);;
f 0;; (* 1 *)
f 1;; (* 1 *)
• let f x = f x;; (* error *)
```

Recursion = Looping

- Recursion is essentially the only way to iterate

 (The only way we're going to talk about)
- · Another example

```
let rec print_up_to (n, m) =
  print_int n; print_string "\n";
  if n < m then print_up_to (n + 1, m)</pre>
```

CMSC 330

22

Lists and Recursion

- Lists have a recursive structure
 - And so most functions over lists will be recursive

```
let rec length 1 = match 1 with
[] -> 0
| (_::t) -> 1 + (length t)
```

- This is just like an inductive definition
 - The length of the empty list is zero
 - The length of a nonempty list is 1 plus the length of the tail
- Type of length function?

MSC 330