1

# Plan Generation for GUI Testing

- *The 21st International Conference on Software Engineering*
- *The Fifth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence Planning and Scheduling*
- *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*

2

# Research Focus



3

# Why Planning for GUI Testing

- GUIs are Event Driven
- Individual User Events
  - NOT ENOUGH !
  - Sequences of User Events lead to Different States
- Test Case: Sequence of User Events
- How to Generate Test Cases ?
- Use Planning to Select Likely Test Cases

4

# Selecting Test Sequences

- Infinitely Many
- Randomly Choose Sequences
- Expert Chooses Sequences
- Automatically Generate Events for COMMONLY USED TASKS




Initial State

Goal State

5

# A Plan for a GUI Task




6

# Outline

- Using Planning for Test Case Generation
  - Overall Approach
  - Exploiting GUI Structure
  - Generating Alternative Test Cases
- Experimental Results
- Related Research
- Concluding Remarks

7

# Overview of Test Generation

| Phase | Step | Test Designer | Automatic Planning-based System |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Setup** | 1 | | Derive Planning Operators from GUI |
| | 2 | Code Preconditions and Effects of Operators | |
| **Test Case Generation** | 3 | Specify a Task (Initial and Goal States) | |
| | 4 | | Generate Test Cases |

8

# Straightforward Approach

- Define One Operator for each User Action




**Operator** :: *CUT*
**Preconditions**:
isCurrent(Menu2).

**Effects**:
**FORALL** Obj in Objects
Selected(Obj) ⇒
**ADD** inClipboard(Obj)
**DEL** onScreen(Obj)
**DEL** Selected(Obj)
**ADD** isCurrent(Menu1)
**DEL** isCurrent(Menu2).

9

# Exploit the GUI's Structure

- Reduce the Number of Operators
  - System more Efficient
  - Easier for the Test Designer

10

# Opening Modal Windows



11

# Opening Menus




12

# Interacting with the Underlying Software



13

# Create Hierarchical Operators

Two Types of Abstractions

- Combine Buttons ⇒ Create System-Interaction Operators
- Decompose GUI Hierarchically ⇒ Create Abstract Operators

14

# Create System-Interaction Operators




Sys-Interaction Operator:

**File_SendTo_MailRecipient**

= <File + SendTo + MailRecipient >

# Create Abstract Operators


Straightforward Approach

Main GUI's Operator Set

...
Set Language
SelectFromList()
Default
OK
Cancel
...

Using Abstraction

Main GUI's Operator Set

...
Set Language
...

Language Window's Operator Set

SelectFromList()
Default
OK
Cancel



# Create Abstract Operators

Language Window's Operator Set

SelectFromList()
Default
OK
Cancel

Define Abstraction

SetLanguage()

Abstract Operator

High Level Plan: ... → SetLanguage() → ...

Planner

Sub Plan: SelectFromList ("English(US)") → OK

# Effects of Exploiting the GUI's Structure

17

- Reduction in Planning Operators
  - 325 operators ⇒ 32 operators
  - Ratio 10:1 for MS WordPad
  - 20:1 for MS Word
- System Automatically Determines the System-interaction and Abstract Operators

18

Initial State




Goal State



19

# Test Case




20



21




22

# Different from HTN Planning



23

# Alternative Test Case




24

# Methods to Generate Alternative Test Cases

- Different Results from Planner
- Abstract Operator Decompositions
- Linearizations of the Partial-order Plan

25

## Feasibility Study

- Purpose
  - To Determine whether Planning is a Feasible Approach for GUI Test Case Generation
    - Execution Time
    - Human Effort
- Experimental Design
  - GUI: MS WordPad
  - Planner: IPP [Koehler et al. '97]
  - Hardware Platform: 300 MHz Pentium based Machine, 200 MB RAM, Linux OS
  - 8 Tasks, Multiple Test Cases for each Task

26

## Experimental Results

| (Task) Plan No. | Plan Time (sec.) | Sub Plan Time (sec.) | Total Time (sec.) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3.16 | 0 | 3.16 |
| 2 | 3.17 | 0 | 3.17 |
| 3 | 3.2 | 0.01 | 3.21 |
| 4 | 3.38 | 0.01 | 3.39 |
| 5 | 3.44 | 0.02 | 3.46 |
| 6 | 4.09 | 0.04 | 4.13 |
| 7 | 8.88 | 0.02 | 8.9 |
| 8 | 40.47 | 0.04 | 40.51 |

27

## Related Work

- GUI Testing
  - FSM [Esmelioglu and Apfelbaum] and VFSM [Shahady and Siewiorek] Models.
  - Genetic Algorithm Technique [Kasik and George]
  - Visual TDE for GUIs [Foster, Goradia, Ostrand, and Szermer]
- Planning for Testing
  - [Adele Howe, Anneliese Von Mayrhauser, Richard Mraz in ASE '97]

28

## Concluding Remarks

- Automatic Planning is a Feasible Approach for GUI Test Case Generation
- Automatic Generation of Preconditions and Effects from GUI Specifications
- Generate Expected Output (Automated Verification)

29

# Coverage Criteria for GUI Testing

*8th European Software Engineering Conference (ESEC) and 9th ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on the Foundations of Software Engineering (FSE-9),* Vienna University of Technology, Austria, Sept. 10-14, 2001.

30

# Coverage Criteria

- Two purposes
  - Test data selection criteria
    - Rules used to select test cases
  - Test data adequacy criteria
    - Rules used to determine how much testing has been done
- Common Examples for Conventional Software
  - Statement coverage
  - Branch coverage
  - Path coverage

} Structural Representation of the Code

31

## Coverage Criteria for GUIs

- Cannot use code-based coverage
  - Source code not always available
  - Event-based input
    - Different level of abstraction
- Our Contribution
  - Hierarchical structure of the GUI in terms of events
  - Coverage criteria based on events

32

## Outline

- GUI Definition
- Representation of GUIs
- Coverage Criteria
- Case Study
- Conclusions

33

## GUI Definition

- Hierarchical
- Graphical Front-end
- Accepts User-generated and System-generated events
- Fixed sets of events
- Deterministic Output
- State of the GUI is the set of Objects and their Properties

34

## GUI Representation

- Motivation
  - GUI testing needs a "Unit of Testing"
    - Manageable
    - Test the unit comprehensively
    - Test interactions among units
  - GUIs are created using library elements
    - Need to test these elements before packaging them for reuse
      - Certain level of confidence that the element has been adequately tested
    - User of these elements should be able to test the element in its context of use

35

# Model GUI Hierarchically

- Hierarchy
  - GUIs are decomposed into a hierarchy of components
  - Hierarchical decomposition makes testing intuitive and efficient
  - Several hierarchical views of GUIs
  - We examine Modal Dialogs to create the hierarchical model

36

# Modal Windows in GUIs




Main

# Modal Windows in GUIs












# Modal Windows in GUIs












39

# Integration Tree




***Definition***: Integration tree is a triple <*N*, *R*, *B*>

- *N* is the set of components in the GUI
- *R* ϵ *N* is a designated component called the ***Main*** component
- *B* is the set of directed edges showing the invokes relation between components, i.e., ($C_x$, $C_y$) ϵ *B* iff $C_x$ invokes $C_y$.

40

# Representing a Component




***Definition***: Event $e_x$ follows $e_y$ iff $e_x$ can be performed immediately after $e_y$.

41

# Event-flow Graph




***Definition***: Event-flow graph is a 4-tuple <*V, E, B, I*>

- *V* is the set of vertices, representing events,
- *E* is the set of directed edges, showing the follows relationship,
- *B* is the set of events first available (shown in red),
- *I* is the set of events that invoke other components (dotted lines).

42

# Classifying Events

- Classification

–A new classification of events aids in creating the hierarchical model of the GUI

- Opening modal windows
  - Restricted-focus events
- Closing modal windows
  - Termination events
- Opening modeless windows
  - Unrestricted-focus events
- Opening menus
  - Menu-open events
- Interacting with underlying software
  - System-interaction events

43

## Coverage Criteria

- Intuitively
  - Each component is a unit of testing
  - Test events within each component
    - Intra-component coverage criteria
  - Test events across components
    - Inter-component coverage criteria

44

## Coverage Criteria

- Intra-component Coverage
  - Event coverage
    - Individual events
    - Each node in the event-flow graph
  - Event-interaction coverage
    - Each pair of events
    - Each edge in the event-flow graph
  - Length-n event sequence coverage
    - Sequences of events
    - Bounded by length
      - Length-1 event sequences
      - Length-2, length-6 event sequences
    - Paths in the event-flow graph

45

## Coverage Criteria

- Inter-component Coverage
  - Invocation coverage
    - Invoke each component
    - Each restricted-focus event
  - Invocation-termination coverage
    - Invoke each component and terminate it
    - Restricted-focus event followed by a termination event
  - Inter-component length-n coverage
    - Longer sequences from one component to another
    - Bounded by length

46

## Case Study

- Purpose
  - To determine:
    - How many test cases do we need to test WordPad
    - Correlation between event and code-based coverage
- Experimental design
  - GUI: our version of MS WordPad (36 modal windows, 362 events)
  - Hardware platform: 350 MHz Pentium based machine, 256 MB RAM

47

# Test Cases for WordPad

| | Event-sequence Length | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Component Name | 1' | 2' | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Main | | | 56 | 791 | 14354 | 255720 | 4490626 | 78385288 |
| FileOpen | | | 10 | 80 | 640 | 5120 | 40960 | 327680 |
| FileSave | | | 10 | 80 | 640 | 5120 | 40960 | 327680 |
| Print | | | 12 | 108 | 972 | 8748 | 78732 | 708588 |
| Properties | | | 13 | 143 | 1573 | 17303 | 190333 | 2093663 |
| PageSetup | | | 11 | 88 | 704 | 5632 | 45056 | 360448 |
| FormatFont | | | 9 | 63 | 441 | 3087 | 21609 | 151263 |
| Print+Properties | 1 | 2 | | 13 | 260 | 3913 | 52520 | 663013 |
| Main+FileOpen | 1 | 2 | | 10 | 100 | 1180 | 17160 | 278760 |
| Main+FileSave | 1 | 2 | | 10 | 100 | 1180 | 17160 | 278760 |
| Main+PageSetup | 1 | 2 | | 11 | 110 | 1298 | 18876 | 306636 |
| Main+FormatFont | 1 | 2 | | 9 | 81 | 909 | 13311 | 220509 |
| Main+Print+Properties | | | | 12 | 145 | 1930 | 28987 | 466578 |

**Results**

48

## Correlation between Event-based & Code-based Coverage

- Code Instrumentation
- Generated all event sequences up to length 3. Total test cases: 21,659
- Executed all 21,659 cases and obtained execution traces
- Statement coverage

49

# Correlation between Event-based & Code-based Coverage




## Results