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- Microsoft is the world’s largest producer of PC software
  - In June 1996
    - 20,500 employees
    - 250 products
  - Windows 95
    - 11 million lines of code
    - 200 designers, programmers and testers
- What development process do they use?

Main Philosophy

- Does not use adopt too many of the structured software-engineering practices
- “scaled-up” a loosely structured small-team style (hacker philosophy?)
  - Small parallel teams of 3 to 8 developers each or
  - Individual programmers
  - Working together as a large team
Philosophy

• Each team has the freedom to evolve their design
  - Evolve features and whole products incrementally
  - Occasionally introduce new concepts and technologies

• However
  - Since teams have so much freedom
  - There is a danger that products may become incompatible
  - They synchronize their changes frequently

Synch-and-stabilize

• Terms describing the process
  - “daily-build”
  - “nightly build”
  - “zero defect”
  - “milestone”

• Build
  - Putting together partially completed or finished pieces of the software
  - Goal
    • To determine what works and what doesn’t
  - Done by completely recompiling the source code and executing automated tests
Process: Planning

**Planning Phase** Define product vision, specification, and schedule

- **Vision Statement** Product and program management use extensive customer input to identify and priority-order product features.

- **Specification Document** Based on vision statement, program management and development group define feature functionality, architectural issues, and component interdependencies.

- **Schedule and Feature Team Formation** Based on specification document, program management coordinates schedule and arranges feature teams that each contain approximately 1 program manager, 3–8 developers, and 3–8 testers (who work in parallel 1:1 with developers).

Process: Development

**Development Phase** Feature development in 3 or 4 sequential subprojects that each results in a milestone release

Program managers coordinate evolution of specification. Developers design, code, and debug. Testers pair with developers for continuous testing.

- **Subproject I** First 1/3 of features (Most critical features and shared components)

- **Subproject II** Second 1/3 of features

- **Subproject III** Final 1/3 of features (Least critical features)
Process: Stabilization

**Stabilization Phase** Comprehensive internal and external testing, final product stabilization, and ship

Program managers coordinate OEMs and ISVs and monitor customer feedback. Developers perform final debugging and code stabilization. Testers recreate and isolate errors.

- **Internal Testing** Thorough testing of complete product within the company
- **External Testing** Thorough testing of complete product outside the company by "beta" sites, such as OEMs, ISVs, and end users
- **Release preparation** Prepare final release of "golden master" disks and documentation for manufacturing

Milestones in sync-and-stabilize (2-4 months)

**Milestone 1** *(first 1/3 features)*
Development (design, coding, prototyping)
Usability Lab
Private Release Testing
Daily Builds
Feature Debugging
Feature Integration
Code Stabilization (no severe bugs)
Buffer time (20%-50%)
Milestones in sync-and-stabilize (2–4 months)

**Milestone 2 (next 1/3)**
- Development
- Usability Lab
- Private Release Testing
- Daily Builds
- Feature Debugging
- Feature Integration
- Code Stabilization
- Buffer Time

Milestones in sync-and-stabilize (2–4 months)

**Milestone 3 (last set)**
- Development
- Usability Lab
- Private Release Testing
- Daily Builds
- Feature Debugging
- Feature Integration
- Feature Complete
- Code Complete
- Code Stabilization
- Buffer Time
- Zero Bug Release
- Release to Manufacturing
**Example: Excel/Graph**

**Bug Data & Daily Builds**

[Graph showing bug data over time with categories: Opened, Resolved, Fixed, Active.]

*Source: Microsoft internal document*

---

**Comparing Processes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synch-and-Stabilize</th>
<th>Sequential Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product development and testing done in parallel</td>
<td>Separate phases done in sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision statement and evolving specification</td>
<td>Complete “frozen” specification and detailed design before building the product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Features prioritized and built in 3 or 4 milestone subprojects</td>
<td>Trying to build all pieces of a product simultaneously</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent synchronizations (daily builds) and intermediate stabilizations (milestones)</td>
<td>One late and large integration and system test phase at the project’s end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Fixed” release and ship dates and multiple release cycles</td>
<td>Aiming for feature and product “perfection” in each project cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer feedback continuous in the development process</td>
<td>Feedback primarily after development as inputs for future projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product and process design so large teams work like small teams</td>
<td>Working primarily as a large group of individuals in a separate functional department</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>