

## Envy-Free Discrete Protocols PROJECT

Morally DUE April 21. Can hand in Imorally April 23

This project is in two parts:

1. Present the  $n = 5$  envyfree discrete protocol. It must be so clear that someone who has not seen the  $n = 4$  protocol can understand it. You can use my notes as a template. I have made them available on the website so you can downland text and edit it.
2. Present the envyfree discrete protocol for general  $n$ . It must be so clear that someone who has not seen the  $n = 4$  protocol can understand it. You can use my notes as a template. I have made them available on the website so you can

Below I have done, for the  $n = 5$  case, made clear what I expect of you.

## 1 An all-but- $\epsilon$ Envy-Free Protocol for 5 People

**Def 1.1** An *all-but- $\epsilon$  envy-free protocol* divides the cake, *except* a piece that everyone agrees is  $\leq \epsilon$ , in an envy-free way. The piece of size  $\leq \epsilon$  is not allocated.

**Theorem 1.2** *For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an all-but- $\epsilon$  envy-free protocol for 5 people.*

**Proof:**

**FIRST-PERSON-HAPPY(A,B,C,D,E;P)**

YOU FILL IN. YOU DO NOT NEED TO PROVE THAT IT WORKS BUT IT HAS TO WORK.

**END OF FIRST-PERSON-HAPPY**

**ALL-HAPPY(A,B,C,D;P)**

YOU FILL IN. YOU DO NOT NEED TO PROVE THAT IT WORKS BUT IT HAS TO WORK.

**END OF ALL-HAPPY(A,B,C,D,E;P)**

**ALMOST-ENVY-FREE5(A, B, C, D, E; P;  $\epsilon$ )**

YOU FILL IN. YOU DO NOT NEED TO PROVE THAT IT WORKS BUT IT HAS TO WORK. **END OF ALMOST-ENVY-FREE4**

■

## 1.1 Making Alice and Bob REALLY Disagree

THE FOLLOING LEMMA YOU CAN USE AND NOT PROVE. WE PROVED IT IN CLASS.

**Lemma 1.3** *Assume that Alice and Bob are both looking at pieces  $P, Q$  and Alice thinks  $P = Q$  while Bob thinks  $P > Q$ . There is a protocol that produces  $P', Q'$  such that*

- *Alice thinks  $P' < Q'$ .*
- *Bob thinks  $P' > Q'$ .*
- $P \cup Q = P' \cup Q'$ .

## 1.2 Making Alice and Bob Have an Advantage Over Each Other

**Lemma 1.4** *Assume that Alice and Bob are both looking at pieces  $P, Q$  and Alice thinks  $P = Q$  while Bob thinks  $P > Q$ . There is a protocol that produces (likely very small) pieces  $p_1, \dots, p_k, q_1, \dots, q_k$  such that YOU NEED TO FILL IN  $k$  SUCH THAT WHAT YOU PROVE IS USEFUL FOR THE NEXT LEMMA. YOU MAY WANT TO TRY TO DO THE NEXT LEMMA FIRST.*

- *Alice thinks  $q_1 = \dots = q_k > p_1, \dots, p_k$ .*
- *Bob thinks  $p_1 = \dots = p_k > q_1, \dots, q_k$ .*

**Proof:**

**$2k$  FUNKY PIECES**

1. Alice and Bob run the protocol from Lemma 1.3 to obtain  $P', Q'$  with  $P' < Q'$  and  $P' > Q'$ . For notation we rename them and assume Alice thinks  $P < Q$  and Bob thinks  $P > Q$ .

2. Bob names a number  $m \geq X$  (YOU NEED TO FILL IN  $X$ ) ( $m$  should be big enough so that no matter how  $P$  is cut into  $m$  pieces, if Bob discards the  $Y$  smallest pieces, then he still thinks he has more than Alice. YOU NEED TO FILL IN  $Y$ . WARNING DO NOT JUST GUESS AND HOPE IT WORKS. DO THE PROOF AND SEE WHAT  $Y$  WORKS.

3. Alice cuts  $P$  into  $m$  pieces  $P_1, \dots, P_m$  and  $Q$  into  $m$  pieces  $Q_1, \dots, Q_m$ . (Cuts them both equally. Note that Alice will think

$$P_1 = \dots = P_m < Q_1 = \dots = Q_m.$$

)

4. Bob sorts the pieces:

(a) Bob thinks that  $P_m \leq P_{m-1} \leq \dots \leq P_3 \leq P_2 \leq P_1$ .

(b) Bob thinks that  $Q_m \leq Q_{m-1} \leq \dots \leq Q_3 \leq Q_2 \leq Q_1$ .

5. If Bob thinks  $P_k > Q_{m-(k-1)}$  then

(a) Bob trims  $P_1, \dots, P_k$  (down to  $P_k$  value). Let the trimmed versions be  $P'_1, \dots, P'_k$ . Let  $p_1 = P'_1, \dots, p_k = P'_k$ .

(b) Let  $q_1 = Q_{m-k-1}, q_2 = Q_{m-1}, q_3 = Q_m$ .

Why this works:

- Bob thinks  $p_1 = \dots = p_k$  and, since he thinks  $P_k > Q_{m-k-1}$  he thinks

$$p_1 = \dots = p_k = P_k > \{Q_{m-k-1} \geq Q_{m-1} \geq Q_m\} = \{q_1 \leq \dots \leq q_k\}.$$

- Alice thinks

$$Q_m = q_1 = \dots = q_k > P_1 \geq P'_1 = p_1 = \dots = p_k.$$

6. If Bob thinks  $P_k \leq Q_{m-k-1}$  then what do we do? INTUITION: Since Bob thinks

(1)  $P_k \leq Q_{m-k-1}$ ,

(2) the union of all of the  $P_i$ 's is more than the union of all of the  $Q_i$ 's,

(3)  $P_k$  is the  $k$ th largest  $P_i$ ,

Bob must think  $P_1$  is really big!

(a) Bob cuts  $P_1$  into  $k$  (equal) pieces. Call them  $p_1, \dots, p_k$ .

(b) Let  $q_1 = Q_m, \dots, q_k = Q_{m-k+1}$ .

We show later why this works.

The only case we didn't prove works was the last one. For Alice this is easy. She thinks

$$q_1 = \dots = q_k > P_1 \geq p_1, \dots, p_k.$$

What about Bob? This is more complicated. YOU NEED TO FINISH THIS PROOF. THIS WILL LEAD YOU TO THE CORRECT VALUE OF  $m$  EARLIER. ■

### 1.3 Making Alice and Bob Have an Advantage Over Each Other

We can now use this Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 1.4 to obtain a protocol where Alice and Bob have an advantage over each other!

**Theorem 1.5** *Alice, Bob, Carol, Donna, and Edgar are looking at 3 pieces  $P, Q, R$  (any of these could themselves be composed of many pieces). Alice thinks  $P = Q$ . Bob thinks  $P > Q$ . There is a protocol such that at the end:*

- *all but some trim  $T$  of the cake has been divided*
- *on the part that was divided the division is Envy Free*
- *Alice has an advantage over Bob*
- *Bob has an advantage over Alice.*

YOU NEED TO PROVE THIS ALL BY YOURSELF. YOU WILL USE THE PRIOR LEMMA

## **1.4 The Final Envy Free Protocol for 5 People**

YOU MUST DO THIS ALL ON YOUR OWN.