

# What is a predicate?

A “predicate” is a statement involving variables over a specified “domain” (set). Domain is **understood ahead of time**

## Example

Domain (set)	Predicate
Integers ( $\mathbb{Z}$ )	$S(x)$ : $x$ is a perfect square
Reals ( $\mathbb{R}$ )	$G(x, y)$ : $x > y$
Computers	$A(c)$ : $c$ is under attack
Computers; People	$B(c, p)$ : $c$ is under attack by $p$

# Quantification

- Existential quantifier:  $\exists x$  (exists  $x$ )
- Universal quantifier:  $\forall x$  (for all  $x$ )

## Domain $D$

- $(\exists x)[P(x)]$ : Exists  $x$  in  $D$  such that  $P(x)$  is true.
- $(\forall x)[P(x)]$ : For all  $x$  in  $D$ ,  $P(x)$  is true.

If Domain not understood OR if want to use  $D' \subseteq D$ :

- $(\exists x \in D')[P(x)]$
- $(\forall x \in D')[P(x)]$

# Examples From Mathematics

Domain is the natural numbers. Want to express everything just using  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $\times$ ,  $\div$ ,  $=$ ,  $\leq$ ,  $\geq$

Want predicates for

- 1  $x$  is a odd.
- 2  $x$  is a composite.
- 3  $x$  is a prime.
- 4  $x$  is the sum of three odd numbers.

Want to express **Vinogradov's Theorem**:

For every sufficiently large odd number is the sum of three primes.

**Do All of this in class**

# Examples From Mathematics

Domain is the natural numbers. Want to express everything just using  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $\times$ ,  $\div$ ,  $=$ ,  $\leq$ ,  $\geq$

Want predicates for

- 1  $x$  is a square.
- 2 When you divide  $x$  by 4 you get a remainder of 1.

Want to express

**Theorem:** Every prime that when you divide by 4 you get a remainder of 1 can be written as the sum of two squares.

# Establishing Truth and Falsity

- To show  $\exists$  statement is true:  
Find an example in the domain where it is true.
- To show  $\exists$  statement is false:  
Show false for every member of the domain.
- To show  $\forall$  statement is true:  
Show true for every member of the domain.
- To show  $\forall$  statement is false:  
Find an example in the domain where it is false.

There are other methods!!!

# Domain Matters

Is the following true:

$$(\forall x)(\exists y)[y < x]$$

If Domain is  $N$ ? (Naturals)

If Domain is  $Z$ ? (Integers)

If Domain is  $Q$ ? (Rationals)

If Domain is  $Q^{>0}$ ? (Rationals that are  $> 0$ )

If Domain is  $Q^{\geq 0}$ ? (Rationals that are  $\geq 0$ )

If Domain is  $R$ ? (Reals)

If Domain is  $C$ ? (Complex)

# Negation of $\exists$ Statements: Cats

Domain is cats of students in CMSC 250H

**It is not the case that some cat died**

Can there exist a cat that died? **No**

# Negation of $\exists$ Statements: Cats

Domain is cats of students in CMSC 250H

**It is not the case that some cat died**

Can there exist a cat that died? **No**

Hence **All cats are alive**



# Negation of $\exists$ Statements: Cats

Domain is cats of students in CMSC 250H

**It is not the case that some cat died**

Can there exist a cat that died? **No**

Hence **All cats are alive**

Predicate  $L(x)$ :  $x$  is alive.

$$\neg(\exists x)[L(x)] \equiv (\forall x[\neg L(x)])$$

# Negation of $\exists$ -Statements: Math

Domain is the integers.

**It is not the case that there exists A Pollard Number**

Let  $P(x)$  be that  $x$  is a Pollard Number

$$\neg(\exists x)[P(x)]$$

If there cannot exist a number  $x$  that is Pollard then

# Negation of $\exists$ -Statements: Math

Domain is the integers.

**It is not the case that there exists A Pollard Number**

Let  $P(x)$  be that  $x$  is a Pollard Number

$$\neg(\exists x)[P(x)]$$

If there cannot exist a number  $x$  that is Pollard then

For all  $x$ ,  $x$  is NOT Pollard.

# Negation of $\exists$ -Statements: Math

Domain is the integers.

**It is not the case that there exists A Pollard Number**

Let  $P(x)$  be that  $x$  is a Pollard Number

$$\neg(\exists x)[P(x)]$$

If there cannot exist a number  $x$  that is Pollard then

For all  $x$ ,  $x$  is NOT Pollard.

$$(\forall x)[\neg P(x)].$$

# Negation of $\exists$ -Statements: Upshot

Any Domain  $D$ . Any Predicate  $P$ .

$$\neg(\exists x)[P(x)] \equiv (\forall x)[\neg P(x)]$$

# Negation of $\forall$ Statements: Cats

Domain is cats of students in CMSC 250H

**It is not the case that all cats are furry**

Can there exist a cat that is not furry? **Yes**

# Negation of $\forall$ Statements: Cats

Domain is cats of students in CMSC 250H

**It is not the case that all cats are furry**

Can there exist a cat that is not furry? **Yes**

Hence **There is some cat that is not furry**

# Negation of $\forall$ Statements: Cats

Domain is cats of students in CMSC 250H

**It is not the case that all cats are furry**

Can there exist a cat that is not furry? **Yes**

Hence **There is some cat that is not furry**

Predicate  $F(x)$ :  $x$  is furry.

$$\neg(\forall x)[F(x)] \equiv (\exists x[\neg F(x)])$$



# Negation of $\forall$ -Statements: Math

Domain is the integers.

**It is not the case that all numbers are interesting**

Let  $I(x)$  be that  $x$  is a Interesting.

$$\neg(\forall x)[I(x)]$$

NOT all numbers are interesting.

# Negation of $\forall$ -Statements: Math

Domain is the integers.

**It is not the case that all numbers are interesting**

Let  $I(x)$  be that  $x$  is a Interesting.

$$\neg(\forall x)[I(x)]$$

NOT all numbers are interesting.

There must exist a number that is not interesting.

# Negation of $\forall$ -Statements: Math

Domain is the integers.

**It is not the case that all numbers are interesting**

Let  $I(x)$  be that  $x$  is a Interesting.

$$\neg(\forall x)[I(x)]$$

NOT all numbers are interesting.

There must exist a number that is not interesting.

$$(\exists x)[\neg I(x)].$$

**Note:** We return to interesting numbers later.

# Negation of $\forall$ -Statements: Upshot

Any Domain  $D$ . Any Predicate  $P$ .

$$\neg(\forall x)[P(x)] \equiv (\exists x)[\neg P(x)]$$

# Aside: $(\forall x)[I(x)]??$

0:  $(\forall x)[x + 0 = x]$ .

1:  $(\forall x)[x \times 1 = x]$ .

2: the only even prime.

3: the number of dimensions we live in.

4: Can color all planar maps with 4 colors but not 3.

5: The number of platonic solids.

6: The smallest perfect number.

7: Least  $n$  so can't construct a reg polygon on  $n$  sides.

8: The largest cube in the Fibonacci sequence.

9: Max number of 3-powers are needed to sum to any integer.

# Aside: $(\forall x)[I(x)]??$

10: The base of our number system.

11: The max mult persistence of a number

12: The smallest abundant number.

13: The number of Archimedian Solids.

14: There is NO  $n$  with exactly 14 numbers rel prime to it.

15: Least comp number with only one group of its order.

16:  $= 2^4 = 4^2$ . Only number that is  $x^y$  and  $y^x$ .

17: The number of wallpaper groups.

18: Only number that is twice the sum of its digits.

19: Max number of 4-powers needed to sum to any integer.

# All Nat Numbers are Interesting

Assume  $(\exists n)[\neg I(n)]$ .

Let  $n$  be the least such  $n$ .

# All Nat Numbers are Interesting

Assume  $(\exists n)[\neg I(n)]$ .

Let  $n$  be the least such  $n$ .

WOW-  $n$  is the least number that is not interesting!



# All Nat Numbers are Interesting

Assume  $(\exists n)[\neg I(n)]$ .

Let  $n$  be the least such  $n$ .

WOW-  $n$  is the least number that is not interesting!

Thats fascinating! so  $n$  is interesting!

# All Nat Numbers are Interesting

Assume  $(\exists n)[\neg I(n)]$ .

Let  $n$  be the least such  $n$ .

WOW-  $n$  is the least number that is not interesting!

Thats fascinating! so  $n$  is interesting!

Contradiction

# Vacuous cases for universally quantified statements

- All even prime numbers that are greater than 10 are the sum of two squares.
- All students in this class who are more than ten feet tall have green hair.
- All people associated with CMSC 250H who are not awesome have purple hair.
- All people associated with CMSC 250H who are not awesome have brown hair.

Are these statements True or False?  
How do we show it?

# Does Order Matter for $(\exists x)(\exists y)$ ?

$(\exists x)(\exists y)[x + y = 0]$

$(\exists y)(\exists x)[x + y = 0]$

Equivalent? Vote!

# Does Order Matter for $(\exists x)(\exists y)$ ?

$(\exists x)(\exists y)[x + y = 0]$

$(\exists y)(\exists x)[x + y = 0]$

Equivalent? Vote!

YES, equivalent.

# Does Order Matter for $(\exists x)(\exists y)$ ?

$$(\exists x)(\exists y)[x + y = 0]$$

$$(\exists y)(\exists x)[x + y = 0]$$

Equivalent? Vote!

YES, equivalent.

Let  $\sigma$  be a 1-1 onto function from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  to  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

$$(\exists x_1)(\exists x_2) \cdots (\exists x_n)[P(x_1, \dots, x_n)] \equiv$$

$$(\exists x_{\sigma(1)})(\exists x_{\sigma(2)}) \cdots (\exists x_{\sigma(n)})[P(x_1, \dots, x_n)]$$

# Does Order Matter for $(\forall x)(\forall y)$ ?

$$(\forall x)(\forall y)[x + y = 0]$$

$$(\exists y)(\exists x)[x + y = 0]$$

Equivalent? Vote!

# Does Order Matter for $(\forall x)(\forall y)$ ?

$$(\forall x)(\forall y)[x + y = 0]$$

$$(\exists y)(\exists x)[x + y = 0]$$

Equivalent? Vote!

YES, equivalent.



# Does Order Matter for $(\forall x)(\forall y)$ ?

$$(\forall x)(\forall y)[x + y = 0]$$

$$(\exists y)(\exists x)[x + y = 0]$$

Equivalent? Vote!

YES, equivalent.

Let  $\sigma$  be a 1-1 onto function from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  to  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

$$(\forall x_1)(\forall x_2) \cdots (\forall x_n)[P(x_1, \dots, x_n)] \equiv$$

$$(\forall x_{\sigma(1)})(\forall x_{\sigma(2)}) \cdots (\forall x_{\sigma(n)})[P(x_1, \dots, x_n)]$$

# Does Order Matter for $(\forall x)(\exists y)$ ?

$(\forall x)(\exists y)[x < y]$

$(\exists y)(\forall x)[x < y]$

Equivalent? Vote!

# Does Order Matter for $(\forall x)(\exists y)$ ?

$(\forall x)(\exists y)[x < y]$

$(\exists y)(\forall x)[x < y]$

Equivalent? Vote!

NOT equivalent. We find a domain where one is TRUE and one is FALSE.

# Does Order Matter for $(\forall x)(\exists y)$ ?

$(\forall x)(\exists y)[x < y]$

$(\exists y)(\forall x)[x < y]$

Equivalent? Vote!

NOT equivalent. We find a domain where one is TRUE and one is FALSE.

$(\forall x)(\exists y)[x < y]$  TRUE over Naturals.

$(\exists y)(\forall x)[x < y]$  FALSE over Naturals.

# Logical Rules

Universal Instantiation	$\frac{(\forall x)[P(x)]}{\therefore P(c)}$
Universal Generalization	$\frac{P(c) \text{ for arbitrary element } c}{\therefore (\forall x)[P(x)]}$
Existential Instantiation	$\frac{(\exists x)[P(x)]}{\therefore P(c) \text{ for some element } c}$
Existential Generalization	$\frac{P(c) \text{ for some element } c}{\therefore (\exists x)[P(x)]}$