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## Why Are Context Free Languages Important

I am supposed to say:
Most prog langs are Context Free Languages
However, this is not quite true. PL people - discuss!
However, most programming languages are almost context free.
Our interest in CFL's is:

1) Languages that require a LARGE NFA but a SMALL CFG.
2) Closure properties of CFLs.
3) CFL's are all in P (poly time).
4) Which languages are not context free?
5) Languages that are CFL but not Regular.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { BREAKOUT ROOMS } \\
& S \rightarrow A T \\
& T \rightarrow a T b \\
& T \rightarrow e \\
& A \rightarrow A a \\
& A \rightarrow a
\end{aligned}
$$

## Context Free Grammars

Def A Context Free Grammar is a tuple $G=(N, \Sigma, R, S)$

- $N$ is a finite set of nonterminals.
- $\Sigma$ is a finite alphabet. Note $\Sigma \cap N=\emptyset$.
- $R \subseteq N \times(N \cup \Sigma)^{*}$ and are called Rules.
- $S \in N$, the start symbol.
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## L(G)

If $A$ is non-terminal then the CFG gives us gives us rules like:

- $A \rightarrow A B$
- $A \rightarrow a$

For any string of terminals and non-terminals $\alpha, A \Rightarrow \alpha$ means that, starting from $A$, some combination of the rules produces $\alpha$. Examples:

- $A \Rightarrow a$
- $A \Rightarrow a B$

Then, if $w$ is string of non-terminals only, we define $L(G)$ by:

$$
L(G)=\left\{w \in \Sigma^{*} \mid S \Rightarrow w\right\}
$$

## Number of $a$ 's $=$ Number of $b$ 's
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Note This Theorem is not obvious. Deserves a proof!
Contrast
Never proved a DFA recognized language we claimed it did.
Never proved a regex generated the language we claimed it did.
Gasarch's Principle Never prove an obvious Theorem.
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## Example of a Lang that is NOT a CFL

1) $\left\{a^{n} b^{n} c^{n}: n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\}$ is NOT a CFL.
2) $\left\{a^{n^{2}}: n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\}$ is NOT a CFL.
3) If $L \subseteq a^{*}$ and $L$ is not regular than $L$ is not a CFL.

We will not be proving Langs NOT CFL.

## CLOSURE PROPERTIES AND REG $\subset$ CFL

## Closure Properties: PROVE or DISPROVE

If $L_{1}, L_{2}$ are Context Free Languages then

1. IS $L_{1} \cup L_{2}$ is a context free Lang?
2. IS $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ is a context free Lang?
3. IS $L_{1} \cdot L_{2}$ is a context free Lang?
4. IS $\overline{L_{1}}$ is a context free Lang?
5. IS $L_{1}^{*}$ is a context free Lang?

BREAKOUT ROOMS
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- $L_{k}=\left\{a^{k} b^{k}\right\}$ is regular.
- $L_{1} \cup L_{2} \cup \cdots=\left\{a^{n} b^{n}: n \in N\right\}$ is not regular.

What about for CFLs?

- $L_{1}=\{a b c\}$ is a CFL.
- $L_{k}=\left\{a^{k} b^{k} c^{k}\right\}$ is a CFL.
- We will see later that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{i}=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} c^{n}: n \in N\right\}$ is not CFL.
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## $L_{1}, L_{2} \mathrm{CFL} \rightarrow L_{1} \cdot L_{2} \mathrm{CFL}$

$L_{1}$ is CFL via CFG $\left(N_{1}, \Sigma, R_{1}, S_{1}\right)$.
$L_{2}$ is CFL via CFG $\left(N_{2}, \Sigma, R_{2}, S_{2}\right)$.

## $L_{1}, L_{2} \mathrm{CFL} \rightarrow L_{1} \cdot L_{2} \mathrm{CFL}$

$L_{1}$ is CFL via CFG $\left(N_{1}, \Sigma, R_{1}, S_{1}\right)$.
$L_{2}$ is CFL via CFG $\left(N_{2}, \Sigma, R_{2}, S_{2}\right)$.
The following CFG generates $L_{1} \cdot L_{2}$.
$L_{1} \cdot L_{2}$ is CFL via CFG $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ where

## $L_{1}, L_{2} \mathrm{CFL} \rightarrow L_{1} \cdot L_{2} \mathrm{CFL}$

$L_{1}$ is CFL via CFG $\left(N_{1}, \Sigma, R_{1}, S_{1}\right)$.
$L_{2}$ is CFL via CFG $\left(N_{2}, \Sigma, R_{2}, S_{2}\right)$.
The following CFG generates $L_{1} \cdot L_{2}$.
$L_{1} \cdot L_{2}$ is CFL via CFG $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ where
$N=N_{1} \cup N_{2}$

## $L_{1}, L_{2} \mathrm{CFL} \rightarrow L_{1} \cdot L_{2} \mathrm{CFL}$

$L_{1}$ is CFL via CFG $\left(N_{1}, \Sigma, R_{1}, S_{1}\right)$.
$L_{2}$ is CFL via CFG $\left(N_{2}, \Sigma, R_{2}, S_{2}\right)$.
The following CFG generates $L_{1} \cdot L_{2}$.
$L_{1} \cdot L_{2}$ is CFL via CFG $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ where
$N=N_{1} \cup N_{2}$
$S$ is the start state.

## $L_{1}, L_{2} \mathrm{CFL} \rightarrow L_{1} \cdot L_{2} \mathrm{CFL}$

$L_{1}$ is CFL via CFG $\left(N_{1}, \Sigma, R_{1}, S_{1}\right)$.
$L_{2}$ is CFL via CFG $\left(N_{2}, \Sigma, R_{2}, S_{2}\right)$.
The following CFG generates $L_{1} \cdot L_{2}$.
$L_{1} \cdot L_{2}$ is CFL via CFG $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ where
$N=N_{1} \cup N_{2}$
$S$ is the start state.
$R=R_{1} \cup R_{2} \cup\left\{S \rightarrow S_{1} \cdot S_{2}\right\}$.

## $L_{1}, L_{2} \mathrm{CFL} \rightarrow L_{1} \cdot L_{2} \mathrm{CFL}$

$L_{1}$ is CFL via CFG $\left(N_{1}, \Sigma, R_{1}, S_{1}\right)$.
$L_{2}$ is CFL via CFG $\left(N_{2}, \Sigma, R_{2}, S_{2}\right)$.
The following CFG generates $L_{1} \cdot L_{2}$.
$L_{1} \cdot L_{2}$ is CFL via CFG $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ where
$N=N_{1} \cup N_{2}$
$S$ is the start state.
$R=R_{1} \cup R_{2} \cup\left\{S \rightarrow S_{1} \cdot S_{2}\right\}$.
Note We assume $N_{1} \cap N_{2}=\emptyset$.

## $L C F L \rightarrow \bar{L} C F L$

FALSE.
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## $L C F L \rightarrow \bar{L} C F L$

FALSE.
Let

$$
L=\overline{\left\{a^{n} b^{n} c^{n}: n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\}}
$$

This is a CFL. This will be a HW.

## $L C F L \rightarrow L^{*}$ CFL

$L$ is CFL via CFG $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$.

## $L C F L \rightarrow L^{*}$ CFL

$L$ is CFL via CFG $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$.
Here is a CFL for $L^{*}:\left(N^{\prime}, \Sigma, R^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$ where

## $L C F L \rightarrow L^{*}$ CFL

$L$ is CFL via CFG $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$.
Here is a CFL for $L^{*}:\left(N^{\prime}, \Sigma, R^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$ where $S^{\prime}$ is new start nonterminal.

## $L C F L \rightarrow L^{*}$ CFL

$L$ is CFL via CFG $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$.
Here is a CFL for $L^{*}:\left(N^{\prime}, \Sigma, R^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$ where $S^{\prime}$ is new start nonterminal.
$N^{\prime}=N \cup\{S\}$.

## $L C F L \rightarrow L^{*}$ CFL

$L$ is CFL via CFG $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$.
Here is a CFL for $L^{*}:\left(N^{\prime}, \Sigma, R^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$ where $S^{\prime}$ is new start nonterminal.
$N^{\prime}=N \cup\{S\}$.
$R^{\prime}$ has $R$ and also

## $L C F L \rightarrow L^{*}$ CFL

$L$ is CFL via CFG $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$.
Here is a CFL for $L^{*}:\left(N^{\prime}, \Sigma, R^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$ where $S^{\prime}$ is new start nonterminal.
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## $L C F L \rightarrow L^{*}$ CFL

$L$ is CFL via CFG $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$.
Here is a CFL for $L^{*}:\left(N^{\prime}, \Sigma, R^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$ where $S^{\prime}$ is new start nonterminal.
$N^{\prime}=N \cup\{S\}$.
$R^{\prime}$ has $R$ and also
$S^{\prime} \rightarrow e$
$S^{\prime} \rightarrow S^{\prime} S$

## REG contained in CFL
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## REG contained in CFL

For every regex $\alpha, L(\alpha)$ is a CFL.
Prove by ind on the length of $\alpha$.
Base Case $|\alpha|=1$ then $\alpha$ is $\sigma$ or $e$. Both $\{a\}$ and $\{e\}$ are CFL's. Ind Hyp For all regex $\beta$ with $|\beta|<n$ there exists CFG $G$ such that $L(\beta)=L(G)$.
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## Size of CFGs

How big is a CFL for the language \{aaaaaaat (there are 8 a's). We could say the size is 1 :

$$
S \rightarrow \text { аааааааа }
$$

This does not seem quite right.
Next slide has a standard form for CFL's that make size make sense.
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Recall the CFG:
$S \rightarrow$ aaaaaaaa
Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates same lang:
$S \rightarrow A A$
$A \rightarrow B B$
$B \rightarrow C C$
$C \rightarrow a$
We measure the size of a Chomsky Normal Form CFG by the number of rules.
So \{aaaaaaaa\} has a Chomsky Normal Form CFG of size 4.
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We say that $\left\{a^{8}\right\}$ has a CNF CFG of size 4.
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The answer is 5. Next slide.
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What to do if $n$ is not a power of 2 . HW.

## $L=\{a\}^{n}$

Upshot
For $L_{n}=\left\{a^{n}\right\}$ :

- Any DFA or NFA that recognizes $L_{n}$ has $n+\Omega(1)$ states.
- There is a CFG that generates $L_{n}$ with $O(\log n)$ rules.
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## Our Old Friend $L=\{a, b\}^{*} a\{a, b\}^{n}$

1) We showed that $L$ requires a $2^{n+1}$ size DFA.
2) We have an NFA of size $n+2$. There is no NFA of size $n$ since then there would be a DFA of size $2^{n}<2^{n+1}$.
3) BREAKOUT ROOMS for getting a CFG of size $\ll n$.
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## DFA, NFA, CFG

$L=L_{1} \cdot L_{2}$ where
$L_{1}=\{a, b\}^{*} a$. Has 5-rule Chomsky Normal Form CFG:
$S \rightarrow A S|B S| a$
$A \rightarrow a$
$B \rightarrow b$
$L_{2}=\{a, b\}^{n}$. A $\lg (n)+3$ rule Chomsky Normal Form CFG.
$S \rightarrow S_{1} S_{1}$
$S_{1} \rightarrow S_{2} S_{2}$
$S_{\lg (n)+1} \rightarrow S_{\lg (n)} S_{\lg (n)}$
$S_{\lg (n)} \rightarrow a \mid b$
Note We are assuming $n$ is a power of 2 .

## DFA, NFA, CFG Size Diff

$$
L=\{a, b\}^{*} a\{a, b\}^{n}
$$

## DFA, NFA, CFG Size Diff

$$
L=\{a, b\}^{*} a\{a, b\}^{n}
$$

1) DFA of size $\Theta\left(2^{n}\right)$.

## DFA, NFA, CFG Size Diff

$$
L=\{a, b\}^{*} a\{a, b\}^{n}
$$

1) DFA of size $\Theta\left(2^{n}\right)$.
2) NFA of size $n+\Theta(1)$.

## DFA, NFA, CFG Size Diff

$$
L=\{a, b\}^{*} a\{a, b\}^{n}
$$

1) DFA of size $\Theta\left(2^{n}\right)$.
2) NFA of size $n+\Theta(1)$.
3) CFG of size $\Theta(\lg (n))$.
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## Any CFG can be Put Into Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG for $\left\{a^{m} b^{n}: m>n\right\}$. We put it into Chomsky Normal Form.

1) $S \rightarrow A T$
2) $T \rightarrow a T b$
3) $T \rightarrow e$
4) $A \rightarrow A a$
5) $A \rightarrow a$

New nonterminals [aT], [b], [a]. Replace $T \rightarrow a T b$ with:
$T \rightarrow[a T][b]$
$[a T] \rightarrow[a] T$
$[b] \rightarrow b$.
$[a] \rightarrow a$
Repeat the process with the other rules.
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## MISC

1) There is a pumping theorem for CFL's but we won't be doing it.
2) If $L_{1}$ is a CFL and $L_{2}$ is regular then $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ is a CFL.
3) Recall: DFA's are Recognizers, Regex are Generators.

CFG's are Generators. There is a Recognizer equivalent to it:
PDAs
PDA does not stand for Public Display of Affection
PDA does stand for Push Down Automata.
They are NFAs with a stack.
Deterministic CFG's are defined by DPDA's where are DFAs with a stack.
The proof that PDA-recognizers and CFG-generators are equivalent is messy so we won't be doing it. We won't deal with PDA's in this course at all.

