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This algorithm takes $\leq(n+1) \times\left(p_{1}(n)+p_{2}(n)\right)$ which is poly. Note Key is that the set of polynomials is closed under addition and mult by $n$.
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Thm If $L \in \mathrm{P}$ then $\bar{L} \in \mathrm{P}$.
$L \in \mathrm{P}$ via TM $M$ which works in time $p(n)$.
The following algorithm recognizes $\bar{L}$ in poly time.

1. Input $(x)$ (We assume $|x|=n$.)
2. Run $M(x)$. Answer is $b$.
3. If $b=Y$ then output N , if $b=N$ then output Y .

Run time is $\sim p(n)$, a poly.
Note No note needed.
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Proof
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## A contrast

- $x \in L^{*}$ ? Look at ??? ways to have $x=z_{1} \cdots z_{m}$. Break string into 1 piece: $\binom{n}{0}$ ways to do this. Break string into 2 pieces: $\binom{n}{1}$ ways to do this. Break string into 3 piece: $\binom{n}{2}$ ways to do this. :
Break string into $n$ piece: $\binom{n}{n}$ ways to do this.
So total number of ways to break up the string is

$$
\binom{n}{0}+\binom{n}{1}+\cdots+\binom{n}{n} .
$$

What is another name for this?
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## That Weird Sum: A Story

$B$ is Bill, $D$ is Darling.
B: D, how many subsets are there of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ ?
D: You can either choose 0 elements or choose 1 element, so

$$
\binom{n}{0}+\binom{n}{1}+\cdots+\binom{n}{n} .
$$

B: Another Way: 1 is IN or OUT, 2 is IN or OUT, etc, so $2^{n}$.
Now,
You got sum, I got $2^{n}$. What does that mean?
D: That one of us is wrong.
B: No. It means our answers are equal:

$$
2^{n}=\binom{n}{0}+\binom{n}{1}+\cdots+\binom{n}{n}
$$

D: Really!
B: Yes, really!
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Back to our problem:
The technique of looking at all ways to break up $x$ into pieces takes roughly $2^{n}$ steps, so we need to do something clever.
Dynamic Programming We solve a harder problem but get lots of information we don't need in the process.
Original Problem Given $x=x_{1} \cdots x_{n}$ want to know if $x \in L^{*}$ New Problem Given $x=x_{1} \cdots x_{n}$ want to know:
$e \in L^{*}$
$x_{1} \in L^{*}$
$x_{1} x_{2} \in L^{*}$
$\vdots$
$x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n} \in L^{*}$.
Intuition $x_{1} \cdots x_{i} \in L^{*}$ IFF it can be broken into TWO pieces, the first one in $L^{*}$, and the second in $L$.
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## Final Algorithm

$A[i]$ stores if $x_{1} \cdots x_{i}$ is in $L^{*} . M$ is poly-time Alg for $L$, poly $p$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Input } x=x_{1} \cdots x_{n} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
A[1]=A[2]=\ldots=A[n]=\text { FALSE } \\
A[0]=\mathrm{TRUE} \\
\text { for } i=1 \text { to } n \text { do } \\
\quad \text { for } j=0 \text { to } i-1 \text { do } \\
\quad \text { if } A[j] \text { AND } M\left(x_{j+1} \cdots x_{i}\right)=Y \text { then } A[i]=\text { TRUE }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

output $A[n]$
$O\left(n^{2}\right)$ calls to $M$ on inputs of length $\leq n$. Runtime $\leq O\left(n^{2} p(n)\right)$. Note Key is that the set of polynomials is closed under mult by $n^{2}$.
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## Closure of NP under . . .

We will now show that NP is closed under $\cup, \cap$, $\cdot$, and *.

1. Our proofs will use that poly's are closed under stuff, as did the proofs of closure under P. But we will not state this.
2. None of the proofs is anywhere near as hard as the proof that $P$ is closed under *.
3. Note that we did not include complementation. We'll get to that later.
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$L_{1}=\left\{x:\left(\exists y_{1}\right)\left[\left|y_{1}\right|=p_{1}(|x|) \wedge\left(x, y_{1}\right) \in B_{1}\right]\right\}$
$L_{2}=\left\{x:\left(\exists y_{2}\right)\left[\left|y_{2}\right|=p_{2}(|x|) \wedge\left(x, y_{2}\right) \in B_{2}\right]\right\}$
The following defines $L_{1} \cup L_{2}$ in an NP-way.

```
L
[
|y|=\mp@subsup{p}{1}{}(|x|)+\mp@subsup{p}{2}{}(|x|)+1^
y= y1 $\mp@subsup{y}{2}{}\mathrm{ where }|\mp@subsup{y}{1}{}|=\mp@subsup{p}{1}{}(|x|) and |\mp@subsup{y}{2}{}|=\mp@subsup{p}{2}{}(|x|)^
```


## Closure of NP under Union

Thm If $L_{1} \in$ NP and $L_{2} \in$ NP then $L_{1} \cup L_{2} \in N P$.
$L_{1}=\left\{x:\left(\exists y_{1}\right)\left[\left|y_{1}\right|=p_{1}(|x|) \wedge\left(x, y_{1}\right) \in B_{1}\right]\right\}$
$L_{2}=\left\{x:\left(\exists y_{2}\right)\left[\left|y_{2}\right|=p_{2}(|x|) \wedge\left(x, y_{2}\right) \in B_{2}\right]\right\}$
The following defines $L_{1} \cup L_{2}$ in an NP-way.
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## Closure of NP Under *

Thm If $L \in$ NP then $L^{*} \in$ NP.
$L=\{x:(\exists y)[|y|=p(|x|) \wedge(x, y) \in B]\}$
The following defines $L^{*}$ in an NP-way

$$
\left\{x:\left(\exists z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)\right.
$$

- $x=z_{1} \cdots z_{k}$
- $(\forall i)\left[\left|y_{i}\right|=p\left(\left|z_{i}\right|\right)\right]$
- $(\forall i)\left[\left(z_{i}, y_{i}\right) \in B\right]$
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2. Most Complexity Theorists think NP is not closed under complementation.
3. There is no real consensus.

Note I have done three polls on what complexity theorists think of $P$ vs NP and related issues, so this is not guesswork on my part. Most Complexity Theorists think NP is not closed under complementation.
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## Thought Experiment

Most Complexity Theorists think NP is not closed under complementation.
Contrast Alice is all powerful, Bob is Poly Time.

- Alice wants to convince Bob that $\phi \in$ SAT. She can! She gives Bob a satisfying assignment $\vec{b}$ (which is short) and he can check $\phi(\vec{b})$ (which is poly time).
- Alice wants to convince Bob that $\phi \notin$ SAT. What can she do? Give him the entire truth table. Too long!

It is thought that there is no way for Alice to do this.

