

# BILL AND NATHAN START RECORDING

# Context Free Languages

# Why Are Context Free Languages Important

I am supposed to say:

# Why Are Context Free Languages Important

I am supposed to say:

**Most prog langs are Context Free Languages**

# Why Are Context Free Languages Important

I am supposed to say:

**Most prog langs are Context Free Languages**

However, this is not quite true. PL people - discuss!

# Why Are Context Free Languages Important

I am supposed to say:

**Most prog langs are Context Free Languages**

However, this is not quite true. PL people - discuss!

However, most programming languages are **almost context free**.

# Why Are Context Free Languages Important

I am supposed to say:

**Most prog langs are Context Free Languages**

However, this is not quite true. PL people - discuss!

However, most programming languages are **almost context free**.

Our interest in CFL's is:

# Why Are Context Free Languages Important

I am supposed to say:

**Most prog langs are Context Free Languages**

However, this is not quite true. PL people - discuss!

However, most programming languages are **almost context free**.

Our interest in CFL's is:

1) Languages that require a LARGE NFA but a SMALL CFG.



# Why Are Context Free Languages Important

I am supposed to say:

**Most prog langs are Context Free Languages**

However, this is not quite true. PL people - discuss!

However, most programming languages are **almost context free**.

Our interest in CFL's is:

- 1) Languages that require a LARGE NFA but a SMALL CFG.
- 2) Closure properties of CFLs.

# Why Are Context Free Languages Important

I am supposed to say:

**Most prog langs are Context Free Languages**

However, this is not quite true. PL people - discuss!

However, most programming languages are **almost context free**.

Our interest in CFL's is:

- 1) Languages that require a LARGE NFA but a SMALL CFG.
- 2) Closure properties of CFLs.
- 3) CFL's are all in P (poly time).

# Why Are Context Free Languages Important

I am supposed to say:

**Most prog langs are Context Free Languages**

However, this is not quite true. PL people - discuss!

However, most programming languages are **almost context free**.

Our interest in CFL's is:

- 1) Languages that require a LARGE NFA but a SMALL CFG.
- 2) Closure properties of CFLs.
- 3) CFL's are all in P (poly time).
- 4) Which languages are **not** context free?

# Why Are Context Free Languages Important

I am supposed to say:

**Most prog langs are Context Free Languages**

However, this is not quite true. PL people - discuss!

However, most programming languages are **almost context free**.

Our interest in CFL's is:

- 1) Languages that require a LARGE NFA but a SMALL CFG.
- 2) Closure properties of CFLs.
- 3) CFL's are all in P (poly time).
- 4) Which languages are **not** context free?
- 5) Languages that are CFL but not Regular.

# Examples of Context Free Grammars

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

The set of all strings **Generated** is

# Examples of Context Free Grammars

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

The set of all strings **Generated** is

$$L = \{a^n b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

# Examples of Context Free Grammars

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

The set of all strings **Generated** is

$$L = \{a^n b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

**Note**  $L$  is context free lang that is not regular.

# Context Free Grammar for $\{a^{2^n}b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

$$S \rightarrow aaSb$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

The set of all strings **Generated** is



# Context Free Grammar for $\{a^{2^n}b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

$$S \rightarrow aaSb$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

The set of all strings **Generated** is

$$L = \{a^{2^n}b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

# Context Free Grammar for $\{a^{2^n}b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

$$S \rightarrow aaSb$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

The set of all strings **Generated** is

$$L = \{a^{2^n}b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

**Note**  $L$  is context free lang that is not regular.

# Context Free Grammar for $\{a^m b^n : m > n\}$

DISCUSS

# Context Free Grammar for $\{a^m b^n : m > n\}$

DISCUSS

$S \rightarrow AT$

$T \rightarrow aTb$

$T \rightarrow e$

$A \rightarrow Aa$

$A \rightarrow a$

# Context Free Grammars

**Def** A **Context Free Grammar** is a tuple  $G = (N, \Sigma, R, S)$

- ▶  $N$  is a finite set of **nonterminals**.
- ▶  $\Sigma$  is a finite **alphabet**. Note  $\Sigma \cap N = \emptyset$ .
- ▶  $R \subseteq N \times (N \cup \Sigma)^*$  and are called **Rules**.
- ▶  $S \in N$ , the **start symbol**.

# L(G)

If  $A$  is non-terminal then the CFG gives us gives us rules like:

- ▶  $A \rightarrow AB$
- ▶  $A \rightarrow a$

# L(G)

If  $A$  is non-terminal then the CFG gives us gives us rules like:

- ▶  $A \rightarrow AB$
- ▶  $A \rightarrow a$

For any string of **terminals and non-terminals**  $\alpha$ ,  $A \Rightarrow \alpha$  means that, starting from  $A$ , some combination of the rules produces  $\alpha$ .

# L(G)

If  $A$  is non-terminal then the CFG gives us gives us rules like:

- ▶  $A \rightarrow AB$
- ▶  $A \rightarrow a$

For any string of **terminals and non-terminals**  $\alpha$ ,  $A \Rightarrow \alpha$  means that, starting from  $A$ , some combination of the rules produces  $\alpha$ .

## Examples:

- ▶  $A \Rightarrow a$
- ▶  $A \Rightarrow aB$



# L(G)

If  $A$  is non-terminal then the CFG gives us gives us rules like:

- ▶  $A \rightarrow AB$
- ▶  $A \rightarrow a$

For any string of **terminals and non-terminals**  $\alpha$ ,  $A \Rightarrow \alpha$  means that, starting from  $A$ , some combination of the rules produces  $\alpha$ .

**Examples:**

- ▶  $A \Rightarrow a$
- ▶  $A \Rightarrow aB$

Then, if  $w$  is string of **non-terminals only**, we define  $L(G)$  by:

$$L(G) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid S \Rightarrow w\}$$

# Number of $a$ 's = Number of $b$ 's

Is

$$L = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$$

context free?

# YES

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow bSa$$

$$S \rightarrow SS$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

# YES

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$S \rightarrow aSb$

$S \rightarrow bSa$

$S \rightarrow SS$

$S \rightarrow e$

**Thm**  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

# YES

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$
$$S \rightarrow bSa$$
$$S \rightarrow SS$$
$$S \rightarrow e$$

**Thm**  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

**Note** This Theorem is **not obvious**. Deserves a proof!

# YES

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$S \rightarrow aSb$

$S \rightarrow bSa$

$S \rightarrow SS$

$S \rightarrow e$

**Thm**  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

**Note** This Theorem is **not obvious**. Deserves a proof!

**Contrast**

# YES

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$
$$S \rightarrow bSa$$
$$S \rightarrow SS$$
$$S \rightarrow e$$

**Thm**  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

**Note** This Theorem is **not obvious**. Deserves a proof!

**Contrast**

Never proved a DFA recognized language we claimed it did.

# YES

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$
$$S \rightarrow bSa$$
$$S \rightarrow SS$$
$$S \rightarrow e$$

**Thm**  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

**Note** This Theorem is **not obvious**. Deserves a proof!

## Contrast

Never proved a DFA recognized language we claimed it did.

Never proved a regex generated the language we claimed it did.



# YES

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow bSa$$

$$S \rightarrow SS$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

**Thm**  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

**Note** This Theorem is **not obvious**. Deserves a proof!

## Contrast

Never proved a DFA recognized language we claimed it did.

Never proved a regex generated the language we claimed it did.

**Gasarch's Principle** Never prove an obvious Theorem.

# YES

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$
$$S \rightarrow bSa$$
$$S \rightarrow SS$$
$$S \rightarrow e$$

**Thm**  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

**Note** This Theorem is **not obvious**. Deserves a proof!

## Contrast

Never proved a DFA recognized language we claimed it did.

Never proved a regex generated the language we claimed it did.

**Gasarch's Principle** Never prove an obvious Theorem.

(Exception: a course on foundations. I proved  $x + y = y + x$ .)

# Deserves a Proof But...

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow bSa$$

$$S \rightarrow SS$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

## Deserves a Proof But...

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow bSa$$

$$S \rightarrow SS$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

**Thm**  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

# Deserves a Proof But...

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow bSa$$

$$S \rightarrow SS$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

**Thm**  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

**Note** This Theorem is **not obvious**. Deserves a proof!

# Deserves a Proof But...

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$S \rightarrow aSb$

$S \rightarrow bSa$

$S \rightarrow SS$

$S \rightarrow e$

**Thm**  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

**Note** This Theorem is **not obvious**. Deserves a proof!

**Note** Proof is messy.

# Deserves a Proof But...

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$S \rightarrow aSb$

$S \rightarrow bSa$

$S \rightarrow SS$

$S \rightarrow e$

**Thm**  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

**Note** This Theorem is **not obvious**. Deserves a proof!

**Note** Proof is messy.

**Solution** The proof is on the slides, but I won't go over it, and you don't need to know it for a HW or Exam.

$$L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$



$$L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid \epsilon$$

**Thm**  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{\alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha\}$  (Note that we allow  $S$  in  $\alpha$ .)

$$L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid \epsilon$$

**Thm**  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{\alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha\}$  (Note that we allow  $S$  in  $\alpha$ .)

**Thm**  $L(G)' \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

$$L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Thm**  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{\alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha\}$  (Note that we allow  $S$  in  $\alpha$ .)

**Thm**  $L(G)' \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

This is by induction on numb of steps in the derivation from  $S$ .

$$L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Thm**  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{\alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha\}$  (Note that we allow  $S$  in  $\alpha$ .)

**Thm**  $L(G)' \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

This is by induction on numb of steps in the derivation from  $S$ .

**Base Case** In one step can only get  $\alpha \in \{aSb, bSa, SS, e\}$ .

$$L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Thm**  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{\alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha\}$  (Note that we allow  $S$  in  $\alpha$ .)

**Thm**  $L(G)' \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

This is by induction on numb of steps in the derivation from  $S$ .

**Base Case** In one step can only get  $\alpha \in \{aSb, bSa, SS, e\}$ .

**Ind Hyp** If  $S \Rightarrow \beta$  in  $n - 1$  steps then  $\#_a(\beta) = \#_b(\beta)$ .

$$L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Thm**  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{\alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha\}$  (Note that we allow  $S$  in  $\alpha$ .)

**Thm**  $L(G)' \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

This is by induction on numb of steps in the derivation from  $S$ .

**Base Case** In one step can only get  $\alpha \in \{aSb, bSa, SS, e\}$ .

**Ind Hyp** If  $S \Rightarrow \beta$  in  $n - 1$  steps then  $\#_a(\beta) = \#_b(\beta)$ .

**Ind Step** Assume  $S \Rightarrow \alpha$  in  $n$  steps. Look at the last step.

$$L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Thm**  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{\alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha\}$  (Note that we allow  $S$  in  $\alpha$ .)

**Thm**  $L(G)' \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

This is by induction on numb of steps in the derivation from  $S$ .

**Base Case** In one step can only get  $\alpha \in \{aSb, bSa, SS, e\}$ .

**Ind Hyp** If  $S \Rightarrow \beta$  in  $n - 1$  steps then  $\#_a(\beta) = \#_b(\beta)$ .

**Ind Step** Assume  $S \Rightarrow \alpha$  in  $n$  steps. Look at the last step.

**Case 1**  $S \Rightarrow \alpha' S \alpha'' \rightarrow \alpha' aSb \alpha''$ . By IH  $\#_a(\alpha' S \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'')$ .

$$\#_a(\alpha' aSb \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'') + 1.$$

$$\#_b(\alpha' aSb \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'') + 1.$$

$$L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Thm**  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{\alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha\}$  (Note that we allow  $S$  in  $\alpha$ .)

**Thm**  $L(G)' \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

This is by induction on num of steps in the derivation from  $S$ .

**Base Case** In one step can only get  $\alpha \in \{aSb, bSa, SS, e\}$ .

**Ind Hyp** If  $S \Rightarrow \beta$  in  $n - 1$  steps then  $\#_a(\beta) = \#_b(\beta)$ .

**Ind Step** Assume  $S \Rightarrow \alpha$  in  $n$  steps. Look at the last step.

**Case 1**  $S \Rightarrow \alpha' S \alpha'' \rightarrow \alpha' aSb \alpha''$ . By IH  $\#_a(\alpha' S \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'')$ .

$$\#_a(\alpha' aSb \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'') + 1.$$

$$\#_b(\alpha' aSb \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'') + 1.$$

Hence

$$\#_a(\alpha' aSb \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' aSb \alpha'')$$



$$L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Thm**  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{\alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha\}$  (Note that we allow  $S$  in  $\alpha$ .)

**Thm**  $L(G)' \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ .

This is by induction on num of steps in the derivation from  $S$ .

**Base Case** In one step can only get  $\alpha \in \{aSb, bSa, SS, e\}$ .

**Ind Hyp** If  $S \Rightarrow \beta$  in  $n - 1$  steps then  $\#_a(\beta) = \#_b(\beta)$ .

**Ind Step** Assume  $S \Rightarrow \alpha$  in  $n$  steps. Look at the last step.

**Case 1**  $S \Rightarrow \alpha' S \alpha'' \rightarrow \alpha' aSb \alpha''$ . By IH  $\#_a(\alpha' S \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'')$ .

$$\#_a(\alpha' aSb \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'') + 1.$$

$$\#_b(\alpha' aSb \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'') + 1.$$

Hence

$$\#_a(\alpha' aSb \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' aSb \alpha'')$$

**Case 2** Other cases for last step similar.

$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$

$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Thm**  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ .

This is not obvious!

$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Thm**  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ .

This is not obvious!

We must show that **every**  $w$  with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated.

$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Thm**  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ .

This is not obvious!

We must show that **every**  $w$  with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated.

DISCUSS!

$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Thm**  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ .

This is not obvious!

We must show that **every**  $w$  with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated.

DISCUSS!

We use induction on  $|w|$ .

$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Thm**  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ .

This is not obvious!

We must show that **every**  $w$  with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated.

DISCUSS!

We use induction on  $|w|$ .

**Base Case**  $|w| = 0$ . So  $w = e$ . Can be generated by  $S \rightarrow e$ .

$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$

**Thm**  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ .

This is not obvious!

We must show that **every**  $w$  with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated.

DISCUSS!

We use induction on  $|w|$ .

**Base Case**  $|w| = 0$ . So  $w = e$ . Can be generated by  $S \rightarrow e$ .

**Ind Hyp** If  $|w'| \leq n - 1$  and  $\#_a(w') = \#_b(w')$  then  $w' \in L(G)$ .



$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid \epsilon$$

**Thm**  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ .

This is not obvious!

We must show that **every**  $w$  with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated.

DISCUSS!

We use induction on  $|w|$ .

**Base Case**  $|w| = 0$ . So  $w = \epsilon$ . Can be generated by  $S \rightarrow \epsilon$ .

**Ind Hyp** If  $|w'| \leq n - 1$  and  $\#_a(w') = \#_b(w')$  then  $w' \in L(G)$ .

**Ind Step** Let  $w$  be such that  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$ .

$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Thm**  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ .

This is not obvious!

We must show that **every**  $w$  with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated.

DISCUSS!

We use induction on  $|w|$ .

**Base Case**  $|w| = 0$ . So  $w = e$ . Can be generated by  $S \rightarrow e$ .

**Ind Hyp** If  $|w'| \leq n - 1$  and  $\#_a(w') = \#_b(w')$  then  $w' \in L(G)$ .

**Ind Step** Let  $w$  be such that  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$ .

**Case 1**  $w = aw'b$ . Then  $w' \in L(G)$ . By IH  $S \Rightarrow w'$ .

$S \rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aw'b$ .

$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Thm**  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ .

This is not obvious!

We must show that **every**  $w$  with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated.

DISCUSS!

We use induction on  $|w|$ .

**Base Case**  $|w| = 0$ . So  $w = e$ . Can be generated by  $S \rightarrow e$ .

**Ind Hyp** If  $|w'| \leq n - 1$  and  $\#_a(w') = \#_b(w')$  then  $w' \in L(G)$ .

**Ind Step** Let  $w$  be such that  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$ .

**Case 1**  $w = aw'b$ . Then  $w' \in L(G)$ . By IH  $S \Rightarrow w'$ .

$$S \rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aw'b.$$

**Case 2**  $w = bw'a$ . Similar.

$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Thm**  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ .

This is not obvious!

We must show that **every**  $w$  with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated.

DISCUSS!

We use induction on  $|w|$ .

**Base Case**  $|w| = 0$ . So  $w = e$ . Can be generated by  $S \rightarrow e$ .

**Ind Hyp** If  $|w'| \leq n - 1$  and  $\#_a(w') = \#_b(w')$  then  $w' \in L(G)$ .

**Ind Step** Let  $w$  be such that  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$ .

**Case 1**  $w = aw'b$ . Then  $w' \in L(G)$ . By IH  $S \Rightarrow w'$ .

$$S \rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aw'b.$$

**Case 2**  $w = bw'a$ . Similar.

**Case 3**  $w = aw'a$ . This is first NON-OBVIOUS part!

$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Thm**  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ .

This is not obvious!

We must show that **every**  $w$  with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated.

DISCUSS!

We use induction on  $|w|$ .

**Base Case**  $|w| = 0$ . So  $w = e$ . Can be generated by  $S \rightarrow e$ .

**Ind Hyp** If  $|w'| \leq n - 1$  and  $\#_a(w') = \#_b(w')$  then  $w' \in L(G)$ .

**Ind Step** Let  $w$  be such that  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$ .

**Case 1**  $w = aw'b$ . Then  $w' \in L(G)$ . By IH  $S \Rightarrow w'$ .

$$S \rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aw'b.$$

**Case 2**  $w = bw'a$ . Similar.

**Case 3**  $w = aw'a$ . This is first NON-OBVIOUS part! Next Slide.

$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid \epsilon$

$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Case 3**  $w = aw'a$ . Let  $w = a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}a$ . Look at prefixes of  $w$ :

$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Case 3**  $w = aw'a$ . Let  $w = a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}a$ . Look at prefixes of  $w$ :

$$a: \#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$$



$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Case 3**  $w = aw'a$ . Let  $w = a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}a$ . Look at prefixes of  $w$ :

$$a: \#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$$

For all  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ , EITHER

$$\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1.$$

OR

$$\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1.$$

But NOT both.

$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Case 3**  $w = aw'a$ . Let  $w = a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}a$ . Look at prefixes of  $w$ :

$$a: \#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$$

For all  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ , EITHER

$$\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1.$$

OR

$$\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1.$$

But NOT both.

$$\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) = \frac{n}{2} - 1$$

$$\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) = \frac{n}{2}$$

$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Case 3**  $w = aw'a$ . Let  $w = a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}a$ . Look at prefixes of  $w$ :

$$a: \#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$$

For all  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ , EITHER

$$\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1.$$

OR

$$\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1.$$

But NOT both.

$$\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) = \frac{n}{2} - 1$$

$$\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) = \frac{n}{2}$$

Hence

$$\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$$

Let  $G$  be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$

**Case 3**  $w = aw'a$ . Let  $w = a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}a$ . Look at prefixes of  $w$ :

$$a: \#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$$

For all  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ , EITHER

$$\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1.$$

OR

$$\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1.$$

But NOT both.

$$\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) = \frac{n}{2} - 1$$

$$\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) = \frac{n}{2}$$

Hence

$$\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) < \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1})$$

# Recap

1)  $a$ :  $\#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$

# Recap

1)  $a$ :  $\#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$

2) For all  $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , EITHER

$$\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1.$$

OR

$$\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1.$$

# Recap

1)  $a$ :  $\#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$

2) For all  $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , EITHER

$$\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1.$$

OR

$$\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1.$$

3)  $\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) < \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1})$

Hence there exists  $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$

$$\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}).$$

# Recap

1)  $a: \#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$

2) For all  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ , EITHER

$$\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1.$$

OR

$$\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1.$$

3)  $\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) < \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1})$

Hence there exists  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$

$$\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}).$$

So  $w = w'w''$  where  $w, w' \in L(G)$ . Since  $|w'| < |w|$  and

$|w''| < |w|$ , by IH

$S \Rightarrow w'$  and  $S \Rightarrow w''$ .



## Recap

1)  $a: \#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$

2) For all  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ , EITHER

$$\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1.$$

OR

$$\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1.$$

3)  $\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) < \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1})$

Hence there exists  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$

$$\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}).$$

So  $w = w'w''$  where  $w, w' \in L(G)$ . Since  $|w'| < |w|$  and

$|w''| < |w|$ , by IH

$S \Rightarrow w'$  and  $S \Rightarrow w''$ .

So

$$S \rightarrow SS \Rightarrow w'w'' = w.$$

## Example of a Lang that is NOT a CFL

1)  $\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is NOT a CFL.

## Example of a Lang that is NOT a CFL

1)  $\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is NOT a CFL.

2)  $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is NOT a CFL.

## Example of a Lang that is NOT a CFL

- 1)  $\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is NOT a CFL.
- 2)  $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is NOT a CFL.
- 3) If  $L \subseteq a^*$  and  $L$  is not regular then  $L$  is not a CFL.

## Example of a Lang that is NOT a CFL

- 1)  $\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is NOT a CFL.
  - 2)  $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is NOT a CFL.
  - 3) If  $L \subseteq a^*$  and  $L$  is not regular than  $L$  is not a CFL.
- We will not be proving Langs NOT CFL.

# CLOSURE PROPERTIES AND $\text{REG} \subset \text{CFL}$

# Closure Properties: PROVE or DISPROVE

If  $L_1, L_2$  are Context Free Languages then

1. IS  $L_1 \cup L_2$  is a context free Lang?
2. IS  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is a context free Lang?
3. IS  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  is a context free Lang?
4. IS  $\overline{L_1}$  is a context free Lang?
5. IS  $L_1^*$  is a context free Lang?

DISCUSS

$L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2 \text{ CFL}$

$L_1$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$ .

$L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2)$ .



## $L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2 \text{ CFL}$

$L_1$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$ .

$L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2)$ .

The following CFG generates  $L_1 \cup L_2$ .

$L_1 \cup L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$  where

## $L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2 \text{ CFL}$

$L_1$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$ .

$L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2)$ .

The following CFG generates  $L_1 \cup L_2$ .

$L_1 \cup L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$  where

$$N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \{S\}$$

## $L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2 \text{ CFL}$

$L_1$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$ .

$L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2)$ .

The following CFG generates  $L_1 \cup L_2$ .

$L_1 \cup L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$  where

$$N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \{S\}$$

$S$  is start state.

## $L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2 \text{ CFL}$

$L_1$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$ .

$L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2)$ .

The following CFG generates  $L_1 \cup L_2$ .

$L_1 \cup L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$  where

$$N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \{S\}$$

$S$  is start state.

$$R = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{S \rightarrow S_1 \mid S_2\}$$

## $L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2 \text{ CFL}$

$L_1$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$ .

$L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2)$ .

The following CFG generates  $L_1 \cup L_2$ .

$L_1 \cup L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$  where

$$N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \{S\}$$

$S$  is start state.

$$R = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{S \rightarrow S_1 \mid S_2\}$$

**Note** We assume  $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$ .

# Finite vs Infinite Union

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are regular then  $L_1 \cup L_2$  is regular.

This is true for 3 languages or 4 languages or 98 languages.

# Finite vs Infinite Union

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are regular then  $L_1 \cup L_2$  is regular.

This is true for 3 languages or 4 languages or 98 languages.

But if  $L_1, L_2, L_3, \dots$  is an **infinite** set of regular languages, is  $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots$  regular?

# Finite vs Infinite Union

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are regular then  $L_1 \cup L_2$  is regular.

This is true for 3 languages or 4 languages or 98 languages.

But if  $L_1, L_2, L_3, \dots$  is an **infinite** set of regular languages, is  $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots$  regular?

**No, because:**

- ▶  $L_1 = \{ab\}$  is regular.
- ▶  $L_k = \{a^k b^k\}$  is regular.
- ▶  $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots = \{a^n b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is not regular.



# Finite vs Infinite Union

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are regular then  $L_1 \cup L_2$  is regular.

This is true for 3 languages or 4 languages or 98 languages.

But if  $L_1, L_2, L_3, \dots$  is an **infinite** set of regular languages, is  $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots$  regular?

**No, because:**

- ▶  $L_1 = \{ab\}$  is regular.
- ▶  $L_k = \{a^k b^k\}$  is regular.
- ▶  $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots = \{a^n b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is not regular.

What about for CFLs?

- ▶  $L_1 = \{abc\}$  is a CFL.
- ▶  $L_k = \{a^k b^k c^k\}$  is a CFL.
- ▶ We will see later that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L_i = \{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is not CFL.

$L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cap L_2 \text{ CFL}$

NOT TRUE:  $a^n b^n c^* \cap a^* b^n c^n = a^n b^n c^n$ .

$L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cdot L_2 \text{ CFL}$

$L_1$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$ .

$L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2)$ .

# $L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cdot L_2 \text{ CFL}$

$L_1$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$ .

$L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2)$ .

The following CFG generates  $L_1 \cdot L_2$ .

$L_1 \cdot L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$  where

## $L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cdot L_2 \text{ CFL}$

$L_1$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$ .

$L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2)$ .

The following CFG generates  $L_1 \cdot L_2$ .

$L_1 \cdot L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$  where

$$N = N_1 \cup N_2$$

## $L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cdot L_2 \text{ CFL}$

$L_1$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$ .

$L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2)$ .

The following CFG generates  $L_1 \cdot L_2$ .

$L_1 \cdot L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$  where

$$N = N_1 \cup N_2$$

$S$  is the start state.

## $L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cdot L_2 \text{ CFL}$

$L_1$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$ .

$L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2)$ .

The following CFG generates  $L_1 \cdot L_2$ .

$L_1 \cdot L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$  where

$$N = N_1 \cup N_2$$

$S$  is the start state.

$$R = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{S \rightarrow S_1 \cdot S_2\}.$$

## $L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cdot L_2 \text{ CFL}$

$L_1$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$ .

$L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2)$ .

The following CFG generates  $L_1 \cdot L_2$ .

$L_1 \cdot L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$  where

$$N = N_1 \cup N_2$$

$S$  is the start state.

$$R = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{S \rightarrow S_1 \cdot S_2\}.$$

**Note** We assume  $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$ .



$L \text{ CFL} \rightarrow \bar{L} \text{ CFL}$

FALSE.

Let

$$L = \overline{\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$$

$L \text{ CFL} \rightarrow \bar{L} \text{ CFL}$

FALSE.

Let

$$L = \overline{\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$$

This is a CFL. This will be a HW.

$L \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L^* \text{ CFL}$

$L$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ .

$L \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L^* \text{ CFL}$

$L$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ .

Here is a CFL for  $L^*$ :  $(N', \Sigma, R', S')$  where

# $L \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L^* \text{ CFL}$

$L$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ .

Here is a CFL for  $L^*$ :  $(N', \Sigma, R', S')$  where  $S'$  is new start nonterminal.

# $L \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L^* \text{ CFL}$

$L$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ .

Here is a CFL for  $L^*$ :  $(N', \Sigma, R', S')$  where  $S'$  is new start nonterminal.

$N' = N \cup \{S\}$ .

# $L \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L^* \text{ CFL}$

$L$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ .

Here is a CFL for  $L^*$ :  $(N', \Sigma, R', S')$  where  $S'$  is new start nonterminal.

$N' = N \cup \{S'\}$ .

$R'$  has  $R$  and also

# $L \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L^* \text{ CFL}$

$L$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ .

Here is a CFL for  $L^*$ :  $(N', \Sigma, R', S')$  where  $S'$  is new start nonterminal.

$N' = N \cup \{S'\}$ .

$R'$  has  $R$  and also

$S' \rightarrow e$



# $L \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L^* \text{ CFL}$

$L$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ .

Here is a CFL for  $L^*$ :  $(N', \Sigma, R', S')$  where  $S'$  is new start nonterminal.

$$N' = N \cup \{S'\}.$$

$R'$  has  $R$  and also

$$S' \rightarrow e$$

$$S' \rightarrow S'S$$

# REG contained in CFL

**Thm** If  $L$  is regular then  $L$  is CFL.

DISCUSS

# REG contained in CFL

For every **regex**  $\alpha$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is a CFL.

# REG contained in CFL

For every **regex**  $\alpha$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is a CFL.

Prove by ind on the length of  $\alpha$ .

# REG contained in CFL

For every **regex**  $\alpha$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is a CFL.

Prove by ind on the length of  $\alpha$ .

**Base Case**  $|\alpha| = 1$  then  $\alpha$  is  $\sigma$  or  $e$ . Both  $\{\sigma\}$  and  $\{e\}$  are CFL's.

# REG contained in CFL

For every **regex**  $\alpha$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is a CFL.

Prove by ind on the length of  $\alpha$ .

**Base Case**  $|\alpha| = 1$  then  $\alpha$  is  $\sigma$  or  $e$ . Both  $\{\sigma\}$  and  $\{e\}$  are CFL's.

**Ind Hyp** For all regex  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| < n$  there exists CFG  $G$  such that  $L(\beta) = L(G)$ .

# REG contained in CFL

For every **regex**  $\alpha$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is a CFL.

Prove by ind on the length of  $\alpha$ .

**Base Case**  $|\alpha| = 1$  then  $\alpha$  is  $\sigma$  or  $e$ . Both  $\{\sigma\}$  and  $\{e\}$  are CFL's.

**Ind Hyp** For all regex  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| < n$  there exists CFG  $G$  such that  $L(\beta) = L(G)$ .

**Ind Step**  $|\alpha| = n$ .

# REG contained in CFL

For every **regex**  $\alpha$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is a CFL.

Prove by ind on the length of  $\alpha$ .

**Base Case**  $|\alpha| = 1$  then  $\alpha$  is  $\sigma$  or  $e$ . Both  $\{\sigma\}$  and  $\{e\}$  are CFL's.

**Ind Hyp** For all regex  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| < n$  there exists CFG  $G$  such that  $L(\beta) = L(G)$ .

**Ind Step**  $|\alpha| = n$ .

**Case 1**  $\alpha = \beta_1 \cup \beta_2$ . By IH  $L(\beta_1)$  and  $L(\beta_2)$  are CFL's. By closure under  $\cup$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is CFL.



# REG contained in CFL

For every **regex**  $\alpha$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is a CFL.

Prove by ind on the length of  $\alpha$ .

**Base Case**  $|\alpha| = 1$  then  $\alpha$  is  $\sigma$  or  $e$ . Both  $\{\sigma\}$  and  $\{e\}$  are CFL's.

**Ind Hyp** For all regex  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| < n$  there exists CFG  $G$  such that  $L(\beta) = L(G)$ .

**Ind Step**  $|\alpha| = n$ .

**Case 1**  $\alpha = \beta_1 \cup \beta_2$ . By IH  $L(\beta_1)$  and  $L(\beta_2)$  are CFL's. By closure under  $\cup$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is CFL.

**Case 2**  $\alpha = \beta_1 \cdot \beta_2$ . By IH  $L(\beta_1)$  and  $L(\beta_2)$  are CFL's. By closure under  $\cdot$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is CFL.

# REG contained in CFL

For every **regex**  $\alpha$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is a CFL.

Prove by ind on the length of  $\alpha$ .

**Base Case**  $|\alpha| = 1$  then  $\alpha$  is  $\sigma$  or  $e$ . Both  $\{\sigma\}$  and  $\{e\}$  are CFL's.

**Ind Hyp** For all regex  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| < n$  there exists CFG  $G$  such that  $L(\beta) = L(G)$ .

**Ind Step**  $|\alpha| = n$ .

**Case 1**  $\alpha = \beta_1 \cup \beta_2$ . By IH  $L(\beta_1)$  and  $L(\beta_2)$  are CFL's. By closure under  $\cup$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is CFL.

**Case 2**  $\alpha = \beta_1 \cdot \beta_2$ . By IH  $L(\beta_1)$  and  $L(\beta_2)$  are CFL's. By closure under  $\cdot$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is CFL.

**Case 3**  $\alpha = \beta^*$ . By IH  $L(\beta)$  is CFL. By closure under  $*$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is CFL.

# Examples of CFL's and Size of CFG's

## Size of CFGs

How big is a CFL for the language  $\{aaaaaaaa\}$  (there are 8  $a$ 's).

# Size of CFGs

How big is a CFL for the language  $\{aaaaaaaa\}$  (there are 8  $a$ 's).

We could say the size is 1:

$$S \rightarrow aaaaaaaaa$$

# Size of CFGs

How big is a CFL for the language  $\{aaaaaaaa\}$  (there are 8  $a$ 's).

We could say the size is 1:

$$S \rightarrow aaaaaaaaa$$

This does not seem quite right.

# Size of CFGs

How big is a CFL for the language  $\{aaaaaaaa\}$  (there are 8  $a$ 's).  
We could say the size is 1:

$$S \rightarrow aaaaaaaaa$$

This does not seem quite right.

Next slide has a standard form for CFL's that make size make sense.

# Chomsky Normal Form

**Def** CFG  $G$  is in **Chomsky Normal Form** if the rules are all of the following form:



# Chomsky Normal Form

**Def** CFG  $G$  is in **Chomsky Normal Form** if the rules are all of the following form:

1)  $A \rightarrow BC$  where  $A, B, C \in N$  (nonterminals).

# Chomsky Normal Form

**Def** CFG  $G$  is in **Chomsky Normal Form** if the rules are all of the following form:

- 1)  $A \rightarrow BC$  where  $A, B, C \in N$  (nonterminals).
- 2)  $A \rightarrow \sigma$  (where  $A \in N$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ).

# Chomsky Normal Form

**Def** CFG  $G$  is in **Chomsky Normal Form** if the rules are all of the following form:

- 1)  $A \rightarrow BC$  where  $A, B, C \in N$  (nonterminals).
- 2)  $A \rightarrow \sigma$  (where  $A \in N$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ).
- 3)  $S \rightarrow e$  (where  $S$  is the start state).

# Example of Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG:

$$S \rightarrow aaaaaaaaa$$

# Example of Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG:

$$S \rightarrow aaaaaaaaa$$

DISCUSS TO FIND A CHOMSKY NORMAL FORM CFG FOR  $\{aaaaaaaaa\}$ .

# Example of Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG:

$S \rightarrow aaaaaaa$

# Example of Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG:

$$S \rightarrow aaaaaaaaa$$

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates same lang:

$$S \rightarrow AA$$

# Example of Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG:

$$S \rightarrow aaaaaaaaa$$

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates same lang:

$$S \rightarrow AA$$
$$A \rightarrow BB$$



# Example of Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG:

$$S \rightarrow aaaaaaaaa$$

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates same lang:

$$S \rightarrow AA$$
$$A \rightarrow BB$$
$$B \rightarrow CC$$

# Example of Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG:

$$S \rightarrow aaaaaaaaa$$

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates same lang:

$$S \rightarrow AA$$
$$A \rightarrow BB$$
$$B \rightarrow CC$$
$$C \rightarrow a$$

# Example of Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG:

$$S \rightarrow aaaaaaaaa$$

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates same lang:

$$S \rightarrow AA$$

$$A \rightarrow BB$$

$$B \rightarrow CC$$

$$C \rightarrow a$$

We measure the size of a Chomsky Normal Form CFG by the number of rules.

# Example of Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG:

$$S \rightarrow aaaaaaaaa$$

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates same lang:

$$S \rightarrow AA$$
$$A \rightarrow BB$$
$$B \rightarrow CC$$
$$C \rightarrow a$$

We measure the size of a Chomsky Normal Form CFG by the number of rules.

So  $\{aaaaaaaa\}$  has a Chomsky Normal Form CFG of size 4.

# Chomsky Normal Form CFG for $\{a^n\}$

We say that  $\{a^8\}$  has a CNF CFG of size 4.

# Chomsky Normal Form CFG for $\{a^n\}$

We say that  $\{a^8\}$  has a CNF CFG of size 4.

What about  $\{a^{16}\}$ ? Vote

# Chomsky Normal Form CFG for $\{a^n\}$

We say that  $\{a^8\}$  has a CNF CFG of size 4.

What about  $\{a^{16}\}$ ? Vote

- 1) Size 8
- 2) Size 5

# Chomsky Normal Form CFG for $\{a^n\}$

We say that  $\{a^8\}$  has a CNF CFG of size 4.

What about  $\{a^{16}\}$ ? Vote

- 1) Size 8
- 2) Size 5

The answer is 5. Next slide.



# Chomsky Normal Form CFG for $\{a^{16}\}$

$$S \rightarrow AA$$

# Chomsky Normal Form CFG for $\{a^{16}\}$

$S \rightarrow AA$

$A \rightarrow BB$

# Chomsky Normal Form CFG for $\{a^{16}\}$

$S \rightarrow AA$

$A \rightarrow BB$

$B \rightarrow CC$

# Chomsky Normal Form CFG for $\{a^{16}\}$

$S \rightarrow AA$

$A \rightarrow BB$

$B \rightarrow CC$

$C \rightarrow DD$

# Chomsky Normal Form CFG for $\{a^{16}\}$

$S \rightarrow AA$

$A \rightarrow BB$

$B \rightarrow CC$

$C \rightarrow DD$

$D \rightarrow a$

# Chomsky Normal Form CFG for $\{a^{16}\}$

$S \rightarrow AA$

$A \rightarrow BB$

$B \rightarrow CC$

$C \rightarrow DD$

$D \rightarrow a$

What to do if  $n$  is not a power of 2. HW.

$$L = \{a\}^n$$

### Upshot

For  $L_n = \{a^n\}$ :

- ▶ Any DFA or NFA that recognizes  $L_n$  has  $n + \Omega(1)$  states.
- ▶ There is a CFG that generates  $L_n$  with  $O(\log n)$  rules.

# Our Old Friend $L = \{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$

1) We showed that  $L$  requires a  $2^{n+1}$  size DFA.



## Our Old Friend $L = \{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$

- 1) We showed that  $L$  requires a  $2^{n+1}$  size DFA.
- 2) We have an NFA of size  $n + 2$ . There is no NFA of size  $n$  since then there would be a DFA of size  $2^n < 2^{n+1}$ .

## Our Old Friend $L = \{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$

- 1) We showed that  $L$  requires a  $2^{n+1}$  size DFA.
- 2) We have an NFA of size  $n + 2$ . There is no NFA of size  $n$  since then there would be a DFA of size  $2^n < 2^{n+1}$ .
- 3) DISCUSS for getting a CFG of size  $\ll n$ .

# DFA, NFA, CFG

# DFA, NFA, CFG

$L = L_1 \cdot L_2$  where

# DFA, NFA, CFG

$L = L_1 \cdot L_2$  where

$L_1 = \{a, b\}^* a$ . Has 5-rule Chomsky Normal Form CFG:

$S \rightarrow AS \mid BS \mid a$

$A \rightarrow a$

$B \rightarrow b$

# DFA, NFA, CFG

$L = L_1 \cdot L_2$  where

$L_1 = \{a, b\}^* a$ . Has 5-rule Chomsky Normal Form CFG:

$S \rightarrow AS \mid BS \mid a$

$A \rightarrow a$

$B \rightarrow b$

$L_2 = \{a, b\}^n$ . A  $\lg(n) + 3$  rule Chomsky Normal Form CFG.

$S \rightarrow S_1 S_1$

$S_1 \rightarrow S_2 S_2$

$\vdots$

$S_{\lg(n)+1} \rightarrow S_{\lg(n)} S_{\lg(n)}$

$S_{\lg(n)} \rightarrow a \mid b$

**Note** We are assuming  $n$  is a power of 2.

# DFA, NFA, CFG Size Diff

$$L = \{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$$

# DFA, NFA, CFG Size Diff

$$L = \{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$$

1) DFA of size  $\Theta(2^n)$ .



# DFA, NFA, CFG Size Diff

$$L = \{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$$

- 1) DFA of size  $\Theta(2^n)$ .
- 2) NFA of size  $n + \Theta(1)$ .

# DFA, NFA, CFG Size Diff

$$L = \{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$$

- 1) DFA of size  $\Theta(2^n)$ .
- 2) NFA of size  $n + \Theta(1)$ .
- 3) CFG of size  $\Theta(\lg(n))$ .

# Any CFG can be Put Into Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG for  $\{a^m b^n : m > n\}$ . We put it into Chomsky Normal Form.

1)  $S \rightarrow AT$

2)  $T \rightarrow aTb$

3)  $T \rightarrow e$

4)  $A \rightarrow Aa$

5)  $A \rightarrow a$

# Any CFG can be Put Into Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG for  $\{a^m b^n : m > n\}$ . We put it into Chomsky Normal Form.

$$1) S \rightarrow AT$$

$$2) T \rightarrow aTb$$

$$3) T \rightarrow e$$

$$4) A \rightarrow Aa$$

$$5) A \rightarrow a$$

New nonterminals  $[aT]$ ,  $[b]$ ,  $[a]$ . Replace  $T \rightarrow aTb$  with:

$$T \rightarrow [aT][b]$$

$$[aT] \rightarrow [a]T$$

$$[b] \rightarrow b.$$

$$[a] \rightarrow a$$

# Any CFG can be Put Into Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG for  $\{a^m b^n : m > n\}$ . We put it into Chomsky Normal Form.

$$1) S \rightarrow AT$$

$$2) T \rightarrow aTb$$

$$3) T \rightarrow e$$

$$4) A \rightarrow Aa$$

$$5) A \rightarrow a$$

New nonterminals  $[aT]$ ,  $[b]$ ,  $[a]$ . Replace  $T \rightarrow aTb$  with:

$$T \rightarrow [aT][b]$$

$$[aT] \rightarrow [a]T$$

$$[b] \rightarrow b.$$

$$[a] \rightarrow a$$

Repeat the process with the other rules.

# MISC

# MISC

1) There is a pumping theorem for CFL's but we won't be doing it.

# MISC

- 1) There is a pumping theorem for CFL's but we won't be doing it.
- 2) If  $L_1$  is a CFL and  $L_2$  is regular then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is a CFL.



# MISC

- 1) There is a pumping theorem for CFL's but we won't be doing it.
- 2) If  $L_1$  is a CFL and  $L_2$  is regular then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is a CFL.
- 3) Recall: DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.  
CFG's are **Generators**. There is a **Recognizer** equivalent to it:  
PDA's

# MISC

- 1) There is a pumping theorem for CFL's but we won't be doing it.
- 2) If  $L_1$  is a CFL and  $L_2$  is regular then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is a CFL.
- 3) Recall: DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.  
CFG's are **Generators**. There is a **Recognizer** equivalent to it:  
PDA's

PDA does not stand for **Public Display of Affection**

# MISC

- 1) There is a pumping theorem for CFL's but we won't be doing it.
- 2) If  $L_1$  is a CFL and  $L_2$  is regular then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is a CFL.
- 3) Recall: DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.  
CFG's are **Generators**. There is a **Recognizer** equivalent to it:

PDA<sub>s</sub>

PDA does not stand for **Public Display of Affection**

PDA does stand for **Push Down Automata**.

They are NFAs with a stack.

# MISC

- 1) There is a pumping theorem for CFL's but we won't be doing it.
- 2) If  $L_1$  is a CFL and  $L_2$  is regular then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is a CFL.
- 3) Recall: DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.  
CFG's are **Generators**. There is a **Recognizer** equivalent to it:

PDA's

PDA does not stand for **Public Display of Affection**

PDA does stand for **Push Down Automata**.

They are NFAs with a stack.

Deterministic CFG's are **defined** by DPDA's where are DFAs with a stack.

# MISC

- 1) There is a pumping theorem for CFL's but we won't be doing it.
- 2) If  $L_1$  is a CFL and  $L_2$  is regular then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is a CFL.
- 3) Recall: DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**. CFG's are **Generators**. There is a **Recognizer** equivalent to it:

PDA's

PDA does not stand for **Public Display of Affection**

PDA does stand for **Push Down Automata**.

They are NFAs with a stack.

Deterministic CFG's are **defined** by DPDA's where are DFAs with a stack.

The proof that PDA-recognizers and CFG-generators are equivalent is messy so we won't be doing it. We won't deal with PDA's in this course at all.