Threshold Secret Sharing: Information-Theoretic

March 10, 2020

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Threshold Secret Sharing

Zelda has a secret $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$.

Def: Let $1 \le t \le m$. (t, m)-secret sharing is a way for Zelda to give strings to A_1, \ldots, A_m such that:

1. If any t get together than they can learn s

2. If any t-1 get together they cannot learn s

What do we mean by **Cannot learn the secret**? We mean info-theory-security. Even if t - 1 people have big fancy supercomputers they cannot learn *s*. We will later look at comp-security.

Applications

Rumor: Secret Sharing is used for the Russian Nuclear Codes. There are three people (one is Putin) and if two of them agree to launch, they can launch.

For people signing a contract long distance, secret sharing is used as a building block in the protocol.

Zelda has a secret s. A₁, A₂, A₃, A₄ are people. We want:
1. If all four of A₁, A₂, A₃, A₄ get together, they can find s.
2. If any three of them get together, then learn NOTHING.

1. Zelda breaks s up into $s = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$ where

$$|s_1| = |s_2| = |s_3| = |s_4| = \frac{n}{4}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Zelda gives A_i the string s_i.
 Does this work?

1. Zelda breaks s up into $s = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$ where

$$|s_1| = |s_2| = |s_3| = |s_4| = \frac{n}{4}$$

2. Zelda gives A_i the string s_i .

Does this work?

1. If A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 get together they can find s.

1. Zelda breaks s up into $s = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$ where

$$|s_1| = |s_2| = |s_3| = |s_4| = \frac{n}{4}$$

2. Zelda gives A_i the string s_i .

Does this work?

1. If A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 get together they can find s. **YES!!**

1. Zelda breaks s up into $s = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$ where

$$|s_1| = |s_2| = |s_3| = |s_4| = \frac{n}{4}$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

2. Zelda gives A_i the string s_i .

Does this work?

- 1. If A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 get together they can find s. **YES!!**
- 2. If any three of them get together they learn **NOTHING**.

1. Zelda breaks s up into $s = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$ where

$$|s_1| = |s_2| = |s_3| = |s_4| = \frac{n}{4}$$

2. Zelda gives A_i the string s_i .

Does this work?

- 1. If A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 get together they can find s. **YES!!**
- If any three of them get together they learn NOTHING. NO.
 A₁ learns s₁ which is ¹/₄ of the secret!
 A₁, A₂ learn s₁s₂ which is ¹/₂ of the secret!
 A₁, A₂, A₃ learn s₁s₂s₃ which is ³/₄ of the secret!

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

What do we mean by NOTHING?

If any three of them get together they learn **NOTHING** Informally:

- Before Zelda gives out shares, if any three A_i, A_j, A_k get together, they know BLAH_{i,j,k}.
- 2. After Zelda gives out shares, if any three A_i, A_j, A_k get together, they know $BLAH_{i,j,k}$. (This is the same $BLAH_{i,j,k}$ as in the first point.
- 3. Giving out the shares tells A₁, A₂, A₃, A₄ **NOTHING** that they did not already know.

We assume A_i, A_j, A_k have **unlimited computing power**.

What do we mean by NOTHING?

If any three of them get together they learn **NOTHING** Informally:

- Before Zelda gives out shares, if any three A_i, A_j, A_k get together, they know BLAH_{i,j,k}.
- 2. After Zelda gives out shares, if any three A_i, A_j, A_k get together, they know $BLAH_{i,j,k}$. (This is the same $BLAH_{i,j,k}$ as in the first point.
- 3. Giving out the shares tells A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 **NOTHING** that they did not already know.

We assume A_i, A_j, A_k have **unlimited computing power**. they still learn **NOTHING**.

What do we mean by NOTHING?

If any three of them get together they learn **NOTHING** Informally:

- Before Zelda gives out shares, if any three A_i, A_j, A_k get together, they know BLAH_{i,j,k}.
- 2. After Zelda gives out shares, if any three A_i, A_j, A_k get together, they know $BLAH_{i,j,k}$. (This is the same $BLAH_{i,j,k}$ as in the first point.
- 3. Giving out the shares tells A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 **NOTHING** that they did not already know.

We assume A_i, A_j, A_k have **unlimited computing power**. they still learn **NOTHING**.

Information-Theoretic Security

Is (4,4)-Secret Sharing Possible?

VOTE: Is (4, 4)-Secret sharing possible?

- 1. YES
- 2. NO
- 3. YES given some hardness assumption

4. UNKNOWN TO SCIENCE

Is (4,4)-Secret Sharing Possible?

VOTE: Is (4, 4)-Secret sharing possible?

- 1. YES
- 2. NO
- 3. YES given some hardness assumption

4. UNKNOWN TO SCIENCE

YES

Zelda gives out shares of the secret



Zelda gives out shares of the secret

1. Secret $s \in \{0,1\}^n$. Zelda gen random $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \{0,1\}^n$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - のへで

Zelda gives out shares of the secret

1. Secret $s \in \{0,1\}^n$. Zelda gen random $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \{0,1\}^n$.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

2. Zelda gives $A_1 \ s_1 = r_1$. Zelda gives $A_2 \ s_2 = r_2$. Zelda gives $A_3 \ s_3 = r_3$. Zelda gives $A_4 \ s_4 = s \oplus r_1 \oplus r_2 \oplus r_3$

Zelda gives out shares of the secret

- 1. Secret $s \in \{0,1\}^n$. Zelda gen random $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \{0,1\}^n$.
- 2. Zelda gives $A_1 s_1 = r_1$. Zelda gives $A_2 s_2 = r_2$. Zelda gives $A_3 s_3 = r_3$. Zelda gives $A_4 s_4 = s \oplus r_1 \oplus r_2 \oplus r_3$
- A_1 , A_2 , A_3 A_4 Can Recover the Secret

 $s_1 \oplus s_2 \oplus s_3 \oplus s_4 = r_1 \oplus r_2 \oplus r_3 \oplus r_1 \oplus r_2 \oplus r_3 \oplus s = s$

Zelda gives out shares of the secret

- 1. Secret $s \in \{0,1\}^n$. Zelda gen random $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \{0,1\}^n$.
- 2. Zelda gives $A_1 s_1 = r_1$. Zelda gives $A_2 s_2 = r_2$. Zelda gives $A_3 s_3 = r_3$. Zelda gives $A_4 s_4 = s \oplus r_1 \oplus r_2 \oplus r_3$
- A_1 , A_2 , A_3 A_4 Can Recover the Secret

 $s_1 \oplus s_2 \oplus s_3 \oplus s_4 = r_1 \oplus r_2 \oplus r_3 \oplus r_1 \oplus r_2 \oplus r_3 \oplus s = s$

Easy to see that if a 3 get together they learn NOTHING

The secret is $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$.

The secret is $s \in \{0,1\}^n$. Want A_1, A_2 to determine *s*, but neither A_1 nor A_2 alone can. Idea I Zelda gen rand $r \in \{0,1\}^n$ and gives *r* to A_1 , $r \oplus s$ to A_2 .

The secret is $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$.

Want A_1, A_2 to determine *s*, but neither A_1 nor A_2 alone can.

Idea I Zelda gen rand $r \in \{0,1\}^n$ and gives r to A_1 , $r \oplus s$ to A_2 .

Want A_1, A_3 to determine s, but neither A_1 nor A_3 alone can.

Idea I Zelda gen rand $r \in \{0,1\}^n$ and gives r to A_1 , $r \oplus s$ to A_3 .

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

The secret is $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Want A_1, A_2 to determine s, but neither A_1 nor A_2 alone can. Idea I Zelda gen rand $r \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and gives r to $A_1, r \oplus s$ to A_2 . Want A_1, A_3 to determine s, but neither A_1 nor A_3 alone can. Idea I Zelda gen rand $r \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and gives r to $A_1, r \oplus s$ to A_3 . Do same for $(A_1, A_4), (A_2, A_3), (A_3, A_4)$. Question Is there a problem with this?

The secret is $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Want A_1, A_2 to determine s, but neither A_1 nor A_2 alone can. **Idea I** Zelda gen rand $r \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and gives r to A_1 , $r \oplus s$ to A_2 . Want A_1, A_3 to determine s, but neither A_1 nor A_3 alone can. **Idea I** Zelda gen rand $r \in \{0,1\}^n$ and gives r to A_1 , $r \oplus s$ to A_3 . Do same for (A_1, A_4) , (A_2, A_3) , (A_3, A_4) . Question Is there a problem with this? Answer Yes. Zelda gives $A_1 r$ (to use when talking to A_2) Zelda gives $A_1 r$ (to use when talking to A_3) Same variable name r is fine if done carefully. But Zelda needs to tell each A_i which string is used to talk to who.

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー うらぐ

The secret is $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Want A_1, A_2 to determine s, but neither A_1 nor A_2 alone can. **Idea I** Zelda gen rand $r \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and gives r to A_1 , $r \oplus s$ to A_2 . Want A_1 , A_3 to determine s, but neither A_1 nor A_3 alone can. **Idea I** Zelda gen rand $r \in \{0,1\}^n$ and gives r to A_1 , $r \oplus s$ to A_3 . Do same for (A_1, A_4) , (A_2, A_3) , (A_3, A_4) . Question Is there a problem with this? Answer Yes. Zelda gives $A_1 r$ (to use when talking to A_2) Zelda gives $A_1 r$ (to use when talking to A_3) Same variable name r is fine if done carefully. But Zelda needs to tell each A_i which string is used to talk to who. Zelda needs to give A_1 strings of the form ((1, i), r): This is a string to be used when A_1 and A_i are talking. **Caveat** Don't need to tell A_1 who he is, but notation will

generalize.

The secret is $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$. For each $1 \le i < j \le 4$



The secret is $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$. For each $1 \le i < j \le 4$

1. Zelda generates random $r \in \{0, 1\}^n$.

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

The secret is $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$. For each $1 \le i < j \le 4$

- 1. Zelda generates random $r \in \{0, 1\}^n$.
- 2. Zelda gives A_i the strings ((i, j), r).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ○ ○ ○

The secret is $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$. For each $1 \le i \le j \le 4$

- 1. Zelda generates random $r \in \{0, 1\}^n$.
- 2. Zelda gives A_i the strings ((i, j), r).
- 3. Zelda gives A_j the strings $((i, j), r \oplus s)$.

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

The secret is $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$. For each $1 \le i \le j \le 4$

- 1. Zelda generates random $r \in \{0, 1\}^n$.
- 2. Zelda gives A_i the strings ((i, j), r).
- 3. Zelda gives A_j the strings $((i, j), r \oplus s)$.

A_i , A_j **Can Recover the Secret** A_i takes ((i, j), r) and just uses the r. A_j takes $((i, j), r \oplus s)$ and just uses the $r \oplus s$. They both compute $r \oplus r \oplus s = s$.

The secret is $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$. For each $1 \le i \le j \le 4$

- 1. Zelda generates random $r \in \{0, 1\}^n$.
- 2. Zelda gives A_i the strings ((i, j), r).
- 3. Zelda gives A_j the strings $((i, j), r \oplus s)$.

A_i , A_j Can Recover the Secret

 A_i takes ((i, j), r) and just uses the r. A_j takes $((i, j), r \oplus s)$ and just uses the $r \oplus s$. They both compute $r \oplus r \oplus s = s$.

Easy to see that one person learns NOTHING

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

People: A_1, \ldots, A_m . Secret *s*.



People: A_1, \ldots, A_m . Secret *s*.

1. Zelda gen rand r_1, \ldots, r_{m-1} .



```
People: A_1, \ldots, A_m. Secret s.

1. Zelda gen rand r_1, \ldots, r_{m-1}.

2. A_1 get r_1

A_2 get r_2

\vdots

A_{m-1} gets r_{m-1}

A_m gets s \oplus r_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus r_{m-1}
```

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

```
People: A_1, \ldots, A_m. Secret s.

1. Zelda gen rand r_1, \ldots, r_{m-1}.

2. A_1 get r_1

A_2 get r_2

\vdots

A_{m-1} gets r_{m-1}

A_m gets s \oplus r_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus r_{m-1}
```

3. If they all get together they will XOR all their strings to get s

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

```
People: A_1, \ldots, A_m. Secret s.

1. Zelda gen rand r_1, \ldots, r_{m-1}.

2. A_1 get r_1

A_2 get r_2

\vdots

A_{m-1} gets r_{m-1}

A_m gets s \oplus r_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus r_{m-1}
```

3. If they all get together they will XOR all their strings to get *s* We use this as building block for gen case.

(t, m) Secret Sharing

People: A_1, \ldots, A_m . $S_1, \ldots, S_{\binom{m}{t}} \subseteq \{A_1, \ldots, A_m\}$ are *t*-subsets.

- 1. For every $1 \le j \le {m \choose t}$ Zelda does (t, t) secret sharing with the elements of S_j but also prepends every string with j.
- 2. If the people in S_j get together they XOR together strings prepended with j (do not use the j).

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

3. No smaller subset can get the secret.

PRO: Can always do Threshold Secret Sharing. **CON**: You are giving people A LOT of strings!

A_i Gets ??? Strings in (5, 10)-Secret Sharing

If do (5,10) secret sharing then how many strings does A_1 get? A_1 gets a string for every $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, 10\}$, |J| = 5, $1 \in J$. Equivalent to:

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくり

 A_1 gets a string for every $J \subseteq \{2, \ldots, 10\}$, |J| = 4.

How many sets? Discuss

A_i Gets ??? Strings in (5, 10)-Secret Sharing

If do (5,10) secret sharing then how many strings does A_1 get? A_1 gets a string for every $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, 10\}$, |J| = 5, $1 \in J$. Equivalent to:

 A_1 gets a string for every $J \subseteq \{2, \ldots, 10\}$, |J| = 4.

How many sets? Discuss

$$\begin{pmatrix} 9\\4 \end{pmatrix} = 126 \text{ strings}$$

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくり

A_i Gets ??? Strings in (m/2, m)-Secret Sharing

If do (m/2, m) secret sharing then how many strings does A_1 get?

 A_1 gets a string for every $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, m\}$, $|J| = \frac{m}{2}$, $1 \in J$. Equivalent to:

 A_1 gets a string for every $J \subseteq \{2, \ldots, m\}$, $|J| = \frac{m}{2} - 1$. How many sets? **Discuss**

A_i Gets ??? Strings in (m/2, m)-Secret Sharing

If do (m/2, m) secret sharing then how many strings does A_1 get?

 A_1 gets a string for every $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, m\}$, $|J| = \frac{m}{2}$, $1 \in J$. Equivalent to:

 A_1 gets a string for every $J \subseteq \{2, ..., m\}$, $|J| = \frac{m}{2} - 1$. How many sets? **Discuss**

$$\binom{m-1}{\frac{m}{2}-1} \sim \frac{2^m}{\sqrt{m}}$$
 strings

Thats A LOT of Strings!

In our (m/2, m)-scheme each A_i gets $\sim \frac{2^m}{\sqrt{m}}$ strings. **VOTE**

- 1. Requires roughly 2^m strings.
- 2. $O(\beta^m)$ strings for some $1 < \beta < 2$ but not poly.
- 3. $O(m^a)$ strings for some a > 1 but not linear.
- 4. O(m) strings but not m^a with a < 1.
- 5. $O(m^a)$ strings for some a < 1 but not logarithmic.

- 6. $O(\log m)$ strings but not constant.
- 7. O(1) strings.

In our (m/2, m)-scheme each A_i gets $\sim \frac{2^m}{\sqrt{m}}$ strings. **VOTE**

- 1. Requires roughly 2^m strings.
- 2. $O(\beta^m)$ strings for some $1 < \beta < 2$ but not poly.
- 3. $O(m^a)$ strings for some a > 1 but not linear.
- 4. O(m) strings but not m^a with a < 1.
- 5. $O(m^a)$ strings for some a < 1 but not logarithmic.
- 6. $O(\log m)$ strings but not constant.
- 7. O(1) strings.

You can always do this with everyone getting 1 string

In our (m/2, m)-scheme each A_i gets $\sim \frac{2^m}{\sqrt{m}}$ strings. **VOTE**

- 1. Requires roughly 2^m strings.
- 2. $O(\beta^m)$ strings for some $1 < \beta < 2$ but not poly.
- 3. $O(m^a)$ strings for some a > 1 but not linear.
- 4. O(m) strings but not m^a with a < 1.
- 5. $O(m^a)$ strings for some a < 1 but not logarithmic.
- 6. $O(\log m)$ strings but not constant.
- 7. O(1) strings.

You can always do this with everyone getting 1 string I know what you are thinking:

In our (m/2, m)-scheme each A_i gets $\sim \frac{2^m}{\sqrt{m}}$ strings. **VOTE**

- 1. Requires roughly 2^m strings.
- 2. $O(\beta^m)$ strings for some $1 < \beta < 2$ but not poly.
- 3. $O(m^a)$ strings for some a > 1 but not linear.
- 4. O(m) strings but not m^a with a < 1.
- 5. $O(m^a)$ strings for some a < 1 but not logarithmic.
- 6. $O(\log m)$ strings but not constant.
- 7. O(1) strings.

You can always do this with everyone getting 1 string I know what you are thinking:LOOOONG string.

In our (m/2, m)-scheme each A_i gets $\sim \frac{2^m}{\sqrt{m}}$ strings. **VOTE**

- 1. Requires roughly 2^m strings.
- 2. $O(\beta^m)$ strings for some $1 < \beta < 2$ but not poly.
- 3. $O(m^a)$ strings for some a > 1 but not linear.
- 4. O(m) strings but not m^a with a < 1.
- 5. $O(m^a)$ strings for some a < 1 but not logarithmic.
- 6. $O(\log m)$ strings but not constant.
- 7. O(1) strings.

You can always do this with everyone getting 1 string I know what you are thinking:LOOOONG string.No.

In our (m/2, m)-scheme each A_i gets $\sim \frac{2^m}{\sqrt{m}}$ strings. **VOTE**

- 1. Requires roughly 2^m strings.
- 2. $O(\beta^m)$ strings for some $1 < \beta < 2$ but not poly.
- 3. $O(m^a)$ strings for some a > 1 but not linear.
- 4. O(m) strings but not m^a with a < 1.
- 5. $O(m^a)$ strings for some a < 1 but not logarithmic.
- 6. $O(\log m)$ strings but not constant.
- 7. O(1) strings.

You can always do this with everyone getting 1 string I know what you are thinking:LOOOONG string.No. You can always do this with everyone getting 1 string that is the same length as the secret

Secret Sharing With Polynomials

Definition $a \sim b$ means $\frac{b}{2} \leq a \leq 2b$. We do (3, 6)-Secret Sharing.

- 1. Secret *s*. Zelda picks prime $p \sim 2^{|s|}$, Zelda works mod *p*. View *s* as a number is in $\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$.
- 2. Zelda gen rand numbers $a_2, a_1 \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$
- 3. Zelda forms polynomial $f(x) = a_2x^2 + a_1x + s$.
- Zelda gives A₁ f(1), A₂ f(2), ..., A₆ f(6) (all mod p). These are all of length |s| by padding with 0's. Also give everyone p (does not count for length).
- 1. Any 3 have 3 points from f(x) so can find f(x), s.
- 2. Any 2 have 2 points from f(x). From these two points what can they conclude?

Secret Sharing With Polynomials

Definition $a \sim b$ means $\frac{b}{2} \leq a \leq 2b$. We do (3, 6)-Secret Sharing.

- 1. Secret *s*. Zelda picks prime $p \sim 2^{|s|}$, Zelda works mod *p*. View *s* as a number is in $\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$.
- 2. Zelda gen rand numbers $a_2, a_1 \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$
- 3. Zelda forms polynomial $f(x) = a_2x^2 + a_1x + s$.
- Zelda gives A₁ f(1), A₂ f(2), ..., A₆ f(6) (all mod p). These are all of length |s| by padding with 0's. Also give everyone p (does not count for length).
- 1. Any 3 have 3 points from f(x) so can find f(x), s.
- Any 2 have 2 points from f(x). From these two points what can they conclude? NOTHING! If they know f(1) = 3 and f(2) = 7 and f is degree 2 then the constant term can be anything in {0,...,p}. So they know NOTHING about s.

What Counts

We are concerned about the size of the shares.

- 1. If Zelda **broadcasts to everyone** a string *p*, that is not counted towards someone share.
- 2. If Zelda gives A_1 a string that nobody else gets then that is A_1 's share and that counts.
- 3. If Zelda gives A_1 and A_2 a string (and they both know its the same string) but nobody else, should that count as the length of the share?

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくり

What Counts

We are concerned about the size of the shares.

- 1. If Zelda **broadcasts to everyone** a string *p*, that is not counted towards someone share.
- 2. If Zelda gives A_1 a string that nobody else gets then that is A_1 's share and that counts.
- 3. If Zelda gives A_1 and A_2 a string (and they both know its the same string) but nobody else, should that count as the length of the share? There is no scheme that works that way.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくり

Example

s = 10100. We'll use p = 23.

(ADDED LATER- TAKING P = 23 IS IS INCORRECT!! WILL REVIST THIS POINT IN THIRD SET OF SLIDES ON SEC SHARING.)

- 1. Zelda picks $a_2 = 8$ and $a_1 = 13$.
- 2. Zelda forms polynomial $f(x) = 8x^2 + 13x + 20$.
- 3. Zelda gives $A_1 f(1) = 18$, $A_2 f(2) = 9$, $A_3 f(3) = 16$, $A_4 f(4) = 16$, $A_5 f(5) = 9$, $A_6 f(6) = 18$.

If A_1, A_3, A_4 get together and want to find f(x) hence s. $f(x) = a_2x^2 + a_1x + s.$ $f(1) = 18: a_2 \times 1^2 + a_1 \times 1 + s \equiv 18 \pmod{23}$ $f(3) = 16: a_2 \times 3^2 + a_1 \times 3 + s \equiv 16 \pmod{23}$ $f(4) = 16: a_2 \times 4^2 + a_1 \times 4 + s \equiv 16 \pmod{23}$ 3 linear equations in, 3 variable, over mod 23 can be solved. Note: Only need constant term s but can get all coeffs.

What if A_1 and A_3 get together: f(1) = 18: $a_2 \times 1^2 + a_1 \times 1 + s \equiv 18 \pmod{23}$ f(3) = 16: $a_2 \times 3^2 + a_1 \times 3 + s \equiv 16 \pmod{23}$ Can they solve these to find s Discuss.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

What if A_1 and A_3 get together: f(1) = 18: $a_2 \times 1^2 + a_1 \times 1 + s \equiv 18 \pmod{23}$ f(3) = 16: $a_2 \times 3^2 + a_1 \times 3 + s \equiv 16 \pmod{23}$ Can they solve these to find *s* **Discuss**.

No. However, can they use these equations to eliminate some values of *s*? **Discuss**.

What if A_1 and A_3 get together: f(1) = 18: $a_2 \times 1^2 + a_1 \times 1 + s \equiv 18 \pmod{23}$ f(3) = 16: $a_2 \times 3^2 + a_1 \times 3 + s \equiv 16 \pmod{23}$ Can they solve these to find *s* **Discuss**.

No. However, can they use these equations to eliminate some values of *s*? **Discuss**.

No. ANY s is consistent. If you pick a value of s, you then have two equations in two variables that can be solved.

What if A_1 and A_3 get together: f(1) = 18: $a_2 \times 1^2 + a_1 \times 1 + s \equiv 18 \pmod{23}$ f(3) = 16: $a_2 \times 3^2 + a_1 \times 3 + s \equiv 16 \pmod{23}$ Can they solve these to find *s* **Discuss**.

No. However, can they use these equations to eliminate some values of *s*? **Discuss**.

No. ANY s is consistent. If you pick a value of s, you then have two equations in two variables that can be solved.

Important: Information-Theoretic Secure: if A_1 and A_3 meet they learn NOTHING. If they had big fancy supercomputers they would still learn NOTHING.

A Note About Linear Equations

The three equations below, over mod 23, can be solved: $a_2 \times 1^2 + a_1 \times 1 + s \equiv 18 \pmod{23}$ $a_2 \times 3^2 + a_1 \times 3 + s \equiv 16 \pmod{23}$ $a_2 \times 4^2 + a_1 \times 4 + s \equiv 16 \pmod{23}$

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

Could we have solved this had we used mod 24? **VOTE**

- 1. YES
- 2. NO

A Note About Linear Equations

The three equations below, over mod 23, can be solved: $a_2 \times 1^2 + a_1 \times 1 + s \equiv 18 \pmod{23}$ $a_2 \times 3^2 + a_1 \times 3 + s \equiv 16 \pmod{23}$ $a_2 \times 4^2 + a_1 \times 4 + s \equiv 16 \pmod{23}$

Could we have solved this had we used mod 24? **VOTE**

- 1. YES
- 2. NO

These equations, Don't know, but in general, NO

Need a domain where every number has a mult inverse. Over mod p, p primes, all numbers have mult inverses. Over mod 24, even numbers do not have mult inverse.

Subtle Point about Length *p*

You may have noticed the following oddness:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

- 1. I said **pick** $p \sim 2^{|s|}$.
- 2. When s = 10100 l picked p = 23.

Subtle Point about Length *p*

You may have noticed the following oddness:

- 1. I said **pick** $p \sim 2^{|s|}$.
- 2. When s = 10100 l picked p = 23.

Let $s \in \{0,1\}^n$. So how to best pick prime p?

Subtle Point about Length *p*

You may have noticed the following oddness:

- 1. I said **pick** $p \sim 2^{|s|}$.
- 2. When s = 10100 l picked p = 23.

Let $s \in \{0,1\}^n$. So how to best pick prime p?

- 1. Need prime p such that the string s interpreted as a number in binary is in $\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$.
- 2. Want smallest such prime p.
- 3. p a prime $\geq 2^{|s|}$ always works.
- 4. Often can use a smaller prime.
- 5. s = 10100. Need a prime such that $20 \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$. p = 23 is smallest.

6. s = 11111. Need a prime such that $31 \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$. p = 37 is smallest.

Threshold Secret Sharing With Polynomials: Ref

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

Due to Adi Shamir How to Share a Secret Communication of the ACM Volume 22, Number 11 1979

Threshold Secret Sharing With Polynomials

Zelda wants to give strings to A_1, \ldots, A_m such that Any t of A_1, \ldots, A_m can find s. Any t - 1 learn **NOTHING**.

- 1. Secret s. Zelda picks prime $p \sim 2^{|s|}$, Zelda works mod p.
- 2. Zelda gen rand $a_{t-1}, \ldots, a_1 \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$
- 3. Zelda forms polynomial $f(x) = a_{t-1}x^{t-1} + \cdots + a_1x + s$.

4. For $1 \le i \le m$ Zelda gives A_i $f(i) \mod p$.

We Used Polynomials. Could Use...

What did we use about degree t - 1 polynomials?

- 1. *t* points determine the polynomial (we need constant term).
- 2. t-1 points give **no information** about constant term.

Could do geometry over \mathbb{Z}_p^3 . A **Plane** in \mathbb{Z}_p^3 is:

$$\{(x, y, z) : ax + by + cz = d\}$$

- 1. 3 points in \mathbb{Z}_p^3 determine a plane.
- 2. 2 points in \mathbb{Z}_p^3 give **no information** about *d*.

This approach is due to George Blakely, **Safeguarding Cryptographic Keys**, **International Workshop on Managing Requirements**, Vol 48, 1979.

We will not do secret sharing this way, though one could.

We Used Polynomials. Could Use...

We won't go into details but there are two ways to use the **Chinese Remainder Theorem** to do Secret Sharing.

Due to:

C.A. Asmuth and J. Bloom. A modular approach to key safeguarding. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory Vol 29, Number 2, 208-210, 1983.

And Independently

M. Mignotte How to share a secret, Cryptography: Proceedings of the Workshop on Cryptography, Burg Deursetein, Volume 149 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 1982.

Features and Caveats of Poly Method

Imagine that you've done (t, m) secret sharing with polynomial, p(x). So for $1 \le i \le m$, A_i has f(i).

- 1. Feature: If more people come FINE- can extend to (t, m + a) by giving A_{m+1} , f(m+1), ..., A_{m+a} , f(m+a).
- Caveat: If m > p then you run out of points to give people. There are ways to deal with this, but we will not bother. We will always assume m < p.