BILL START RECORDING

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

Pollard's ρ Algorithm for Factoring (1975)

We want to factor N.



We want to factor N.

p is a factor of N (we don't know p). Note $p \le N^{1/2}$.

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

We want to factor N.

p is a factor of N (we don't know p). Note $p \le N^{1/2}$.

We **somehow** find x, y such that $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$. Useful?

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

We want to factor N.

p is a factor of N (we don't know p). Note $p \le N^{1/2}$.

We **somehow** find x, y such that $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$. Useful?

gcd(x - y, N) will likely yield a nontrivial factor of N since p divides both.

We want to factor N.

p is a factor of N (we don't know p). Note $p \leq N^{1/2}$.

We **somehow** find x, y such that $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$. Useful?

gcd(x - y, N) will likely yield a nontrivial factor of N since p divides both.

We look at several approaches to finding such an x, y that do not work before presenting the approach that does work.

Generate random sequence $x_1, x_2, \ldots \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\}$.



Generate random sequence $x_1, x_2, \ldots \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\}$.

Every time you get a new x_i , test, for all $1 \le j \le i - 1$,

 $x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{p}$.

Generate random sequence $x_1, x_2, \ldots \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\}$.

Every time you get a new x_i , test, for all $1 \le j \le i - 1$,

$$x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{p}$$
.

A D > A P > A E > A E > A D > A Q A

Hope to get a YES.

Generate random sequence $x_1, x_2, \ldots \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\}$.

Every time you get a new x_i , test, for all $1 \le j \le i - 1$,

 $x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{p}$.

Hope to get a YES.

If get YES then do

 $gcd(x_i - x_j, N).$

```
 \begin{array}{l} x_1 \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N-1), \ i \leftarrow 2 \\ \text{while TRUE} \\ x_i \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N-1) \\ \text{ for } j \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } i-1 \\ \text{ if } x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{p} \text{ then} \\ d \leftarrow \gcd(x_i - x_j, N) \\ \text{ if } d \neq 1 \text{ and } d \neq N \text{ then break} \\ i \leftarrow i+1 \\ \text{ output(d)} \end{array}
```

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

$$x_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1), i \leftarrow 2$$

while TRUE
$$x_{i} \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1)$$

for $j \leftarrow 1$ to $i - 1$
if $x_{i} \equiv x_{j} \pmod{p}$ then
 $d \leftarrow \operatorname{gcd}(x_{i} - x_{j}, N)$
if $d \neq 1$ and $d \neq N$ then break
 $i \leftarrow i + 1$
output(d)
PRO: Bday paradox: xi's are balls, mod p are

PRO: Bday paradox: x_i 's are balls, mod p are boxes. So likely to find $x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{p}$ within $p^{1/2} \sim N^{1/4}$ iterations.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへの

$$\begin{array}{l} x_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N-1), \ i \leftarrow 2 \\ \text{while TRUE} \\ x_{i} \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N-1) \\ \text{ for } j \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } i-1 \\ \text{ if } x_{i} \equiv x_{j} \pmod{p} \text{ then} \\ d \leftarrow \gcd(x_{i} - x_{j}, N) \\ \text{ if } d \neq 1 \text{ and } d \neq N \text{ then break} \\ i \leftarrow i+1 \\ \text{output(d)} \\ \begin{array}{l} \textbf{PRO:} & \text{Bday paradox: } x_{i}\text{'s are balls, mod } p \text{ are boxes. So likely to} \\ \text{find } x_{i} \equiv x_{j} \pmod{p} \text{ within } p^{1/2} \sim N^{1/4} \text{ iterations.} \end{array}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへで

CON: Need to already know *p*.

$$x_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1), i \leftarrow 2$$

while TRUE
$$x_{i} \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1)$$

for $j \leftarrow 1$ to $i - 1$
if $x_{i} \equiv x_{j} \pmod{p}$ then
 $d \leftarrow \operatorname{gcd}(x_{i} - x_{j}, N)$
if $d \neq 1$ and $d \neq N$ then break
 $i \leftarrow i + 1$
output(d)
PRO: Bday paradox: x_{i} 's are balls, mod p are boxes. So likely to

find $x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{p}$ within $p^{1/2} \sim N^{1/4}$ iterations. CON: Need to already know *p*. Darn!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへで

$$\begin{array}{l} x_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N-1), \ i \leftarrow 2 \\ \text{while TRUE} \\ x_{i} \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N-1) \\ \text{ for } j \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } i-1 \\ \text{ if } x_{i} \equiv x_{j} \pmod{p} \text{ then} \\ d \leftarrow \gcd(x_{i} - x_{j}, N) \\ \text{ if } d \neq 1 \text{ and } d \neq N \text{ then break} \\ i \leftarrow i+1 \\ \text{output(d)} \\ \begin{array}{l} \textbf{PRO:} \text{ Bday paradox: } x_{i}\text{'s are balls, mod } p \text{ are boxes. So likely to} \\ \text{find } x_{i} \equiv x_{j} \pmod{p} \text{ within } p^{1/2} \sim N^{1/4} \text{ iterations.} \\ \begin{array}{l} \textbf{CON:} \text{ Need to already know } p. \text{ Darn!} \end{array}$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

ADJUST: Always do GCD.

Approach 2: Rand Seq mod p, W/O p, Intuition

Generate random sequence $x_1, x_2, \ldots \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\}$.

Every time you get a new x_i , do, for all $1 \le j \le i - 1$,

$$gcd(x_i - x_j, N).$$

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

So do not need to know p. And if $x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{p}$, you'll get a factor.

Approach 2: Rand Seq mod p, W/O p, Program

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

```
 \begin{array}{l} x_1 \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N-1) \ i \leftarrow 2 \\ \text{while TRUE} \\ x_i \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N-1) \\ \text{for } j \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } i-1 \\ d = \gcd(x_i - x_j, N) \\ \text{if } d \neq 1 \text{ and } d \neq N \text{ then break} \\ i \leftarrow i+1 \\ \text{output(d)} \end{array}
```

Approach 2: Rand Seq mod p, W/O p, Program

```
\begin{array}{l} x_1 \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N-1) \ i \leftarrow 2 \\ \text{while TRUE} \\ x_i \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N-1) \\ \text{for } j \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } i-1 \\ d = \gcd(x_i - x_j, N) \\ \text{if } d \neq 1 \text{ and } d \neq N \text{ then break} \\ i \leftarrow i+1 \\ \text{output(d)} \end{array}
```

PRO: Bday paradox: x_i 's:balls, mod p:boxes. Prob find $x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{p}$ with $i \leq p^{1/2} \sim N^{1/4}$. Perhaps sooner-other prime factors. Not knowing p does not matter.

Approach 2: Rand Seq mod p, W/O p, Program

```
 \begin{array}{l} x_1 \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N-1) \ i \leftarrow 2 \\ \text{while TRUE} \\ x_i \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N-1) \\ \text{for } j \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } i-1 \\ d = \gcd(x_i - x_j, N) \\ \text{if } d \neq 1 \text{ and } d \neq N \text{ then break} \\ i \leftarrow i+1 \\ \text{output(d)} \end{array}
```

PRO: Bday paradox: x_i 's:balls, mod p:boxes. Prob find $x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{p}$ with $i \leq p^{1/2} \sim N^{1/4}$. Perhaps sooner-other prime factors. Not knowing p does not matter. **CON:** Iteration i makes i^2 operations. Total number of

operations:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N^{1/4}} i^2 \sim (N^{1/4})^3 \sim N^{3/4}$$
 BAD :-(.

Another Issue: Space

```
x_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1) \ i \leftarrow 2
while TRUE
x_{i} \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1)
for j \leftarrow 1 to i - 1
d = \operatorname{gcd}(x_{i} - x_{j}, N)
if d \neq 1 and d \neq N then break
i \leftarrow i + 1
output(d)
```

Another Issue: Space

```
\begin{array}{l} x_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N-1) \ i \leftarrow 2 \\ \text{while TRUE} \\ x_{i} \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N-1) \\ \text{for } j \leftarrow 1 \ \text{to } i-1 \\ d = \gcd(x_{i} - x_{j}, N) \\ \text{if } d \neq 1 \ \text{and } d \neq N \ \text{then break} \\ i \leftarrow i+1 \\ \text{output(d)} \\ \hline \textbf{CON: After Iteration } i \ \text{need to store } x_{1}, \dots, x_{i}. \ \text{Since } \sim N^{1/4} \end{array}
```

iterations this is $N^{1/4}$ space. Too much space :-(

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

How to create a random looking sequence?

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

How to create a random looking sequence?

• Pick random $x_1, c \in \{1, ..., N-1\}$.

How to create a random looking sequence?

- Pick random $x_1, c \in \{1, ..., N-1\}$.
- lf know x_{i-1} , create

$$x_i = x_{i-1} * x_{i-1} + c \pmod{N}.$$

How to create a random looking sequence?

• Pick random $x_1, c \in \{1, ..., N-1\}$.

lf know x_{i-1} , create

$$x_i = x_{i-1} * x_{i-1} + c \pmod{N}.$$

The sequence x₁, x₂, x₃ will hopefully be random enough that the bday paradox applies. We use the informal term random looking for this.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

$$\begin{array}{l} x_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N-1), \ c \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N-1), \ i \leftarrow 2 \\ \text{while TRUE} \\ x_{i} \leftarrow x_{i-1} * x_{i-1} + c \pmod{N} \\ \text{for } j \leftarrow 2 \text{ to } i - 1 \\ x_{j} \leftarrow x_{j-1} * x_{j-1} + c \\ d \leftarrow \gcd(x_{i} - x_{j}, N) \\ \text{if } d \neq 1 \text{ and } d \neq N \text{ then break} \\ i \leftarrow i + 1 \\ \text{output(d)} \end{array}$$

$$x_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1), c \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1), i \leftarrow 2$$

while TRUE
$$x_{i} \leftarrow x_{i-1} * x_{i-1} + c \pmod{N}$$

for $j \leftarrow 2$ to $i - 1$
$$x_{j} \leftarrow x_{j-1} * x_{j-1} + c$$

 $d \leftarrow \operatorname{gcd}(x_{i} - x_{j}, N)$
if $d \neq 1$ and $d \neq N$ then break
 $i \leftarrow i + 1$
output(d)

PRO Empirically seq x_1, x_2 is random enough, so $N^{1/4}$ iterations.

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

$$x_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1), c \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1), i \leftarrow 2$$

while TRUE
$$x_{i} \leftarrow x_{i-1} * x_{i-1} + c \pmod{N}$$

for $j \leftarrow 2$ to $i - 1$
$$x_{j} \leftarrow x_{j-1} * x_{j-1} + c$$

 $d \leftarrow \operatorname{gcd}(x_{i} - x_{j}, N)$
if $d \neq 1$ and $d \neq N$ then break
 $i \leftarrow i + 1$
output(d)

PRO Empirically seq x_1, x_2 is random enough, so $N^{1/4}$ iterations. **PRO** Space not a problem.

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

$$x_{1} \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1), c \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1), i \leftarrow 2$$

while TRUE
$$x_{i} \leftarrow x_{i-1} * x_{i-1} + c \pmod{N}$$

for $j \leftarrow 2$ to $i - 1$
$$x_{j} \leftarrow x_{j-1} * x_{j-1} + c$$

 $d \leftarrow \operatorname{gcd}(x_{i} - x_{j}, N)$
if $d \neq 1$ and $d \neq N$ then break
 $i \leftarrow i + 1$
output(d)

PRO Empirically seq x_1, x_2 is random enough, so $N^{1/4}$ iterations.

- **PRO** Space not a problem.
- **CON** Time still a problem :-(

What Do We Really Want?

We want to find $i, j \leq N^{1/4}$ such that $x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{p}$.

*ロト *昼 * * ミ * ミ * ミ * のへぐ

What Do We Really Want?

We want to find $i, j \le N^{1/4}$ such that $x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{p}$. Key x_i computed via recurrence so $x_i = x_j \implies x_{i+a} = x_{j+a}$.

What Do We Really Want?

We want to find $i, j \le N^{1/4}$ such that $x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{p}$. Key x_i computed via recurrence so $x_i = x_j \implies x_{i+a} = x_{j+a}$. Lemma If exists $i < j \le M$ with $x_i \equiv x_j$ then exists $k \le M$ such that $x_k \equiv x_{2k}$.

Recap

Rand Looking Sequence x_1 , c chosen at random in $\{1, \ldots, N\}$, then $x_i = x_{i-1} * x_{i-1} + c \pmod{N}$.

Recap

Rand Looking Sequence x_1 , c chosen at random in $\{1, \ldots, N\}$, then $x_i = x_{i-1} * x_{i-1} + c \pmod{N}$.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

We want to find i, j such $x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{p}$.

Recap

Rand Looking Sequence x_1 , c chosen at random in $\{1, \ldots, N\}$, then $x_i = x_{i-1} * x_{i-1} + c \pmod{N}$.

We want to find i, j such $x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{p}$.

Don't know *p*. Really want $gcd(x_i - x_j, N) \neq 1$.

Recap

Rand Looking Sequence x_1 , c chosen at random in $\{1, \ldots, N\}$, then $x_i = x_{i-1} * x_{i-1} + c \pmod{N}$.

We want to find i, j such $x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{p}$.

Don't know *p*. Really want $gcd(x_i - x_j, N) \neq 1$. Trying all pairs is too much time. Important If there is a pair then there is a pair of form x_i, x_{2i} .

Recap

Rand Looking Sequence x_1 , c chosen at random in $\{1, \ldots, N\}$, then $x_i = x_{i-1} * x_{i-1} + c \pmod{N}$.

We want to find i, j such $x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{p}$.

Don't know *p*. Really want $gcd(x_i - x_j, N) \neq 1$. Trying all pairs is too much time. Important If there is a pair then there is a pair of form x_i, x_{2i} . Idea Only try pairs of form (x_i, x_{2i}) .

Almost Final Algorithm

Define $f_c(x) \leftarrow x * x + c \pmod{N}$ $x \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1), c \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1), y \leftarrow f_c(x)$ while TRUE $x \leftarrow f_c(x)$ $y \leftarrow f_c(f_c(y))$ $d \leftarrow \operatorname{gcd}(x - y, N)$ if $d \neq 1$ and $d \neq N$ then break output(d)

Almost Final Algorithm

Define $f_c(x) \leftarrow x * x + c \pmod{N}$ $x \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1), c \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1), y \leftarrow f_c(x)$ while TRUE $x \leftarrow f_c(x)$ $y \leftarrow f_c(f_c(y))$ $d \leftarrow \operatorname{gcd}(x - y, N)$ if $d \neq 1$ and $d \neq N$ then break output(d)

This does not quite work. If d = N then the algorithm may run a long time. The values of x, c are not good! Hence if d = n then we need to start over again with a new value of x, c.

Almost Final Algorithm

Define $f_c(x) \leftarrow x * x + c \pmod{N}$ $x \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1), c \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(1, N - 1), y \leftarrow f_c(x)$ while TRUE $x \leftarrow f_c(x)$ $y \leftarrow f_c(f_c(y))$ $d \leftarrow \operatorname{gcd}(x - y, N)$ if $d \neq 1$ and $d \neq N$ then break output(d)

This does not quite work. If d = N then the algorithm may run a long time. The values of x, c are not good! Hence if d = n then we need to start over again with a new value of x, c.

Final algorithm on next slide.

Final Algorithm

Define
$$f_c(x) \leftarrow x * x + c \pmod{N}$$

START: $x \leftarrow rand(1, N - 1)$, $c \leftarrow rand(1, N - 1)$, $y \leftarrow f_c(x)$ while TRUE

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

$$\begin{aligned} x \leftarrow f_c(x) \\ y \leftarrow f_c(f_c(y)) \\ d \leftarrow \gcd(x - y, N) \\ \text{if } d \neq 1 \text{ and } d \neq N \text{ then break} \\ \text{if } d = N \text{ then GOTO START (pick new } x, c) \\ \text{output(d)} \end{aligned}$$

Final Algorithm

Define
$$f_c(x) \leftarrow x * x + c \pmod{N}$$

START: $x \leftarrow rand(1, N - 1)$, $c \leftarrow rand(1, N - 1)$, $y \leftarrow f_c(x)$ while TRUE

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

$$\begin{array}{l} x \leftarrow f_c(x) \\ y \leftarrow f_c(f_c(y)) \\ d \leftarrow \gcd(x - y, N) \\ \text{if } d \neq 1 \text{ and } d \neq N \text{ then break} \\ \text{if } d = N \text{ then GOTO START (pick new } x, c) \\ \text{output(d)} \\ \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{PRO} \\ \mathsf{By Bday Paradox will likely finish in } N^{1/4} \text{ steps.} \end{array}$$

Final Algorithm

Define
$$f_c(x) \leftarrow x * x + c \pmod{N}$$

START: $x \leftarrow rand(1, N - 1)$, $c \leftarrow rand(1, N - 1)$, $y \leftarrow f_c(x)$ while TRUE

▲ロト ▲周 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト 一 ヨ … の Q ()

$$\begin{array}{l} x \leftarrow f_c(x) \\ y \leftarrow f_c(f_c(y)) \\ d \leftarrow \gcd(x - y, N) \\ \text{if } d \neq 1 \text{ and } d \neq N \text{ then break} \\ \text{if } d = N \text{ then GOTO START (pick new } x, c) \\ \text{output(d)} \\ \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{PRO} & \mathsf{By Bday Paradox will likely finish in } N^{1/4} \text{ steps.} \\ \mathbf{CON} & \mathsf{No real cons, but is } N^{1/4} \text{ fast enough?} \end{array}$$

< ロ > < 母 > < 言 > < 言 > こ うへの

▶ The Algorithm is GOOD. Variations are GREAT.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

- ▶ The Algorithm is GOOD. Variations are GREAT.
- Was used to provide first factorization of $2^{2^8} + 1$.

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- ► The Algorithm is GOOD. Variations are GREAT.
- Was used to provide first factorization of $2^{2^8} + 1$.

▶ In 1975 was fastest algorithm in practice.

- ► The Algorithm is GOOD. Variations are GREAT.
- Was used to provide first factorization of $2^{2^8} + 1$.
- ▶ In 1975 was fastest algorithm in practice. Not anymore.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- ▶ The Algorithm is GOOD. Variations are GREAT.
- Was used to provide first factorization of $2^{2^8} + 1$.
- ▶ In 1975 was fastest algorithm in practice. Not anymore.

► Called **Pollard's** ρ **Algorithm** since he set $\rho = j - i$.

- ► The Algorithm is GOOD. Variations are GREAT.
- Was used to provide first factorization of $2^{2^8} + 1$.
- ▶ In 1975 was fastest algorithm in practice. Not anymore.
- ► Called **Pollard's** ρ **Algorithm** since he set $\rho = j i$.
- Why we think N^{1/4}: Sequence seems random enough for Bday paradox to work.

- ► The Algorithm is GOOD. Variations are GREAT.
- Was used to provide first factorization of $2^{2^8} + 1$.
- ▶ In 1975 was fastest algorithm in practice. Not anymore.
- ► Called **Pollard's** ρ **Algorithm** since he set $\rho = j i$.
- Why we think N^{1/4}: Sequence seems random enough for Bday paradox to work.

Why still unproven:

- ► The Algorithm is GOOD. Variations are GREAT.
- Was used to provide first factorization of $2^{2^8} + 1$.
- ▶ In 1975 was fastest algorithm in practice. Not anymore.
- ► Called **Pollard's** ρ **Algorithm** since he set $\rho = j i$.
- Why we think N^{1/4}: Sequence seems random enough for Bday paradox to work.
- Why still unproven:
 - Proving that a deterministic sequence is random enough is hard to do or even define.

- ▶ The Algorithm is GOOD. Variations are GREAT.
- Was used to provide first factorization of $2^{2^8} + 1$.
- ▶ In 1975 was fastest algorithm in practice. Not anymore.
- ► Called **Pollard's** ρ **Algorithm** since he set $\rho = j i$.
- Why we think N^{1/4}: Sequence seems random enough for Bday paradox to work.
- Why still unproven:
 - Proving that a deterministic sequence is random enough is hard to do or even define.

Irene, Radhika, and Emily have not worked on it yet.

Typically one hears the following about academic research:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Typically one hears the following about academic research: It works in theory, can we make it work in practice?

Typically one hears the following about academic research: It works in theory, can we make it work in practice?

Pollard's ρ -algorithm is an example of the converse:

Typically one hears the following about academic research: It works in theory, can we make it work in practice?

Pollard's ρ -algorithm is an example of the converse: It works in practice, can we make it work in theory?

Typically one hears the following about academic research: It works in theory, can we make it work in practice?

Pollard's ρ -algorithm is an example of the converse: It works in practice, can we make it work in theory?

Why is it important to learn why it works in theory?

Typically one hears the following about academic research: It works in theory, can we make it work in practice?

Pollard's ρ -algorithm is an example of the converse: It works in practice, can we make it work in theory?

Why is it important to learn why it works in theory?

1. Make sure it really works. This is low-priority. Hey! It works!

Typically one hears the following about academic research: It works in theory, can we make it work in practice?

Pollard's ρ -algorithm is an example of the converse: It works in practice, can we make it work in theory?

Why is it important to learn why it works in theory?

- 1. Make sure it really works. This is low-priority. Hey! It works!
- 2. If we know how it works in theory then perhaps can improve it. This is high-priority. Commonly theory and practice work together to improve both.

BILL STOP RECORDING