

BILL, RECORD LECTURE!!!!

BILL RECORD LECTURE!!!

The Large Can Ramsey Theorem

Exposition by William Gasarch

April 8, 2026

Large Can Ramsey

Large Can Ramsey

Def Let $\text{COL}: \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+n\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$.

A set $A \subseteq \{k, k+1, \dots, k+n\}$ is **cool** if either A is

Large Can Ramsey

Def Let $\text{COL}: \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+n\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$.

A set $A \subseteq \{k, k+1, \dots, k+n\}$ is **cool** if either A is homog, min-homog, max-homog, or rainbow.

Large Can Ramsey

Def Let $\text{COL}: \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+n\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$.

A set $A \subseteq \{k, k+1, \dots, k+n\}$ is **cool** if either A is homog, min-homog, max-homog, or rainbow.

Thm For all k there exists $n = \text{LCR}(k)$ such that for all $\text{COL}: \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+n\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ there exists a large cool set.

First Step of The Proof

First Step of The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = LCR(k)$ such that for all
COL: $(\binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+n\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ there exists a large cool set.

First Step of The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = LCR(k)$ such that for all
COL: $(\{k, k+1, \dots, k+n\}) \rightarrow \omega$ there exists a large cool set.

Assume, by way of contradiction, that

First Step of The Proof

Thm For all k there exists $n = LCR(k)$ such that for all $\text{COL} : \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+n\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ there exists a large cool set.

Assume, by way of contradiction, that

$(\exists k)(\forall n)(\exists \text{COL} : \binom{\{k, \dots, k+n\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set}).$

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists \mathbf{k})(\forall \mathbf{n})(\exists \text{COL}: \binom{\{\mathbf{k}, \dots, \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{n}\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set}).$

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists k)(\forall n)(\exists \text{COL}: \binom{\{k, \dots, k+n\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set}).$

The following exist

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists \mathbf{k})(\forall \mathbf{n})(\exists \text{COL}: \binom{\{\mathbf{k}, \dots, \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{n}\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set}).$

The following exist

$\text{COL}_1: \binom{\{k, k+1\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists k)(\forall n)(\exists \text{COL}: \binom{\{k, \dots, k+n\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set})$.

The following exist

$\text{COL}_1: \binom{\{k, k+1\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\text{COL}_2: \binom{\{k, k+1, k+2\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists k)(\forall n)(\exists \text{COL}: \binom{\{k, \dots, k+n\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set}).$

The following exist

$\text{COL}_1: \binom{\{k, k+1\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\text{COL}_2: \binom{\{k, k+1, k+2\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists k)(\forall n)(\exists \text{COL}: \binom{\{k, \dots, k+n\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set})$.

The following exist

$\text{COL}_1: \binom{\{k, k+1\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\text{COL}_2: \binom{\{k, k+1, k+2\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots$

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists k)(\forall n)(\exists \text{COL} : \binom{\{k, \dots, k+n\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set}).$

The following exist

$\text{COL}_1 : \binom{\{k, k+1\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set.}$

$\text{COL}_2 : \binom{\{k, k+1, k+2\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set.}$

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$

$\text{COL}_L : \binom{\{k, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set.}$

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists k)(\forall n)(\exists \text{COL}: \binom{\{k, \dots, k+n\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set}).$

The following exist

$\text{COL}_1: \binom{\{k, k+1\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set.}$

$\text{COL}_2: \binom{\{k, k+1, k+2\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set.}$

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set.}$

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$

We use $\text{COL}_0, \text{COL}_1, \dots$ to form

$\text{COL}: \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow \omega.$

Lots of Colorings of Finite Graphs

$(\exists k)(\forall n)(\exists \text{COL}: \binom{\{k, \dots, k+n\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set}).$

The following exist

$\text{COL}_1: \binom{\{k, k+1\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set.}$

$\text{COL}_2: \binom{\{k, k+1, k+2\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set.}$

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega \text{ with no Large cool set.}$

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$

We use $\text{COL}_0, \text{COL}_1, \dots$ to form

$\text{COL}: \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow \omega.$

We will use the **Inf Can Ramsey Theory** to get a contradiction.

Attempt, and what goes Wrong

Attempt, and what goes Wrong

Let e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots be a list of every element of $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$.

Attempt, and what goes Wrong

Let e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots be a list of every element of $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$.

We will color e_1 , then e_2 , etc.

Attempt, and what goes Wrong

Let e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots be a list of every element of $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$.

We will color e_1 , then e_2 , etc.

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y still alive. It will be ∞ .)

Attempt, and what goes Wrong

Let e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots be a list of every element of $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$.

We will color e_1 , then e_2 , etc.

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y still alive. It will be ∞ .)

$\text{COL}(e_1) = \mathbf{R}$ if $|\{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = \mathbf{R}\}| = \infty$, and

Attempt, and what goes Wrong

Let e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots be a list of every element of $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$.

We will color e_1 , then e_2 , etc.

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y still alive. It will be ∞ .)

$\text{COL}(e_1) = \mathbf{R}$ if $|\{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = \mathbf{R}\}| = \infty$, and

AND WHAT?

Attempt, and what goes Wrong

Let e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots be a list of every element of $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$.

We will color e_1 , then e_2 , etc.

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y still alive. It will be ∞ .)

$\text{COL}(e_1) = \mathbf{R}$ if $|\{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = \mathbf{R}\}| = \infty$, and

AND WHAT?

Since the number of colors is infinite, there might not be a color that e_1 gets infinitely often.

Attempt, and what goes Wrong

Let e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots be a list of every element of $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$.

We will color e_1 , then e_2 , etc.

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y still alive. It will be ∞ .)

$\text{COL}(e_1) = \mathbf{R}$ if $|\{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = \mathbf{R}\}| = \infty$, and

AND WHAT?

Since the number of colors is infinite, there might not be a color that e_1 gets infinitely often.

So what to do?

Options

Options

1) Email David Conlon for help on this.

Options

1) Email David Conlon for help on this.

He emailed me how to use the infinite-to-finite approach after all!

I will show that to you.

Options

1) Email David Conlon for help on this.

He emailed me how to use the infinite-to-finite approach after all!
I will show that to you.

2) During a PhD defense where I was lost I thought about the problem some more and I think I can use the large 4-ary finite Ramsey.

Options

1) Email David Conlon for help on this.

He emailed me how to use the infinite-to-finite approach after all!
I will show that to you.

2) During a PhD defense where I was lost I thought about the problem some more and I think I can use the large 4-ary finite Ramsey.

Not told me this did **Not** work

Options

1) Email David Conlon for help on this.

He emailed me how to use the infinite-to-finite approach after all!
I will show that to you.

2) During a PhD defense where I was lost I thought about the problem some more and I think I can use the large 4-ary finite Ramsey.

Not told me this did **Not** work

Andrew and I went over his approach and it did not work.

Options

1) Email David Conlon for help on this.

He emailed me how to use the infinite-to-finite approach after all!
I will show that to you.

2) During a PhD defense where I was lost I thought about the problem some more and I think I can use the large 4-ary finite Ramsey.

Not told me this did **Not** work

Andrew and I went over his approach and it did not work.

However, I think I found a way to make it work.

Options

1) Email David Conlon for help on this.

He emailed me how to use the infinite-to-finite approach after all!
I will show that to you.

2) During a PhD defense where I was lost I thought about the problem some more and I think I can use the large 4-ary finite Ramsey.

Not told me this did **Not** work

Andrew and I went over his approach and it did not work.

However, I think I found a way to make it work.

I will show you that as well.

The Correct Infinite to Finite Proof

Exposition by William Gasarch

April 8, 2026

Using Infinite to Finite

$\text{COL}_1: \binom{\{k, k+1\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

Using Infinite to Finite

$\text{COL}_1: \binom{\{k, k+1\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\text{COL}_2: \binom{\{k, k+1, k+2\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

Using Infinite to Finite

$\text{COL}_1: \binom{\{k, k+1\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\text{COL}_2: \binom{\{k, k+1, k+2\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots$

Using Infinite to Finite

$\text{COL}_1: \binom{\{k, k+1\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\text{COL}_2: \binom{\{k, k+1, k+2\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots$

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

Using Infinite to Finite

$\text{COL}_1: \binom{\{k, k+1\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\text{COL}_2: \binom{\{k, k+1, k+2\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots$

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots$

Using Infinite to Finite

$\text{COL}_1: \binom{\{k, k+1\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\text{COL}_2: \binom{\{k, k+1, k+2\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots$

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots$

These are colorings of **finite** graphs. We will use that.

Using Infinite to Finite

$\text{COL}_1: \binom{\{k, k+1\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\text{COL}_2: \binom{\{k, k+1, k+2\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots$

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

$\vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots$

These are colorings of **finite** graphs. We will use that.

See next slide.

Modify the Coloring

Modify the Coloring

Recall that we have a edges listed out e_1, e_2, \dots

Modify the Coloring

Recall that we have a edges listed out e_1, e_2, \dots

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

Modify the Coloring

Recall that we have a edges listed out e_1, e_2, \dots

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

This graph uses edges (say) e_1, e_2, \dots, e_M .

Modify the Coloring

Recall that we have a edges listed out e_1, e_2, \dots

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

This graph uses edges (say) e_1, e_2, \dots, e_M .

We rearrange the colors as follows:

Modify the Coloring

Recall that we have a edges listed out e_1, e_2, \dots

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

This graph uses edges (say) e_1, e_2, \dots, e_M .

We rearrange the colors as follows:

$\text{COL}_L(e_1) = 1$.

Modify the Coloring

Recall that we have a edges listed out e_1, e_2, \dots

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

This graph uses edges (say) e_1, e_2, \dots, e_M .

We rearrange the colors as follows:

$\text{COL}_L(e_1) = 1$.

Every edge that has the same color as e_1 we color 1.

Modify the Coloring

Recall that we have a edges listed out e_1, e_2, \dots

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

This graph uses edges (say) e_1, e_2, \dots, e_M .

We rearrange the colors as follows:

$\text{COL}_L(e_1) = 1$.

Every edge that has the same color as e_1 we color 1.

Say e_7 is the least indexed edge with a diff color than e_1 . Color it 2.

Modify the Coloring

Recall that we have a edges listed out e_1, e_2, \dots

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

This graph uses edges (say) e_1, e_2, \dots, e_M .

We rearrange the colors as follows:

$\text{COL}_L(e_1) = 1$.

Every edge that has the same color as e_1 we color 1.

Say e_7 is the least indexed edge with a diff color than e_1 . Color it 2.

Every edge that has the same color as e_7 we color 2.

Modify the Coloring

Recall that we have a edges listed out e_1, e_2, \dots

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

This graph uses edges (say) e_1, e_2, \dots, e_M .

We rearrange the colors as follows:

$\text{COL}_L(e_1) = 1$.

Every edge that has the same color as e_1 we color 1.

Say e_7 is the least indexed edge with a diff color than e_1 . Color it 2.

Every edge that has the same color as e_7 we color 2.

Repeat this process a finite number of times since graph is finite.

Modify the Coloring

Recall that we have a edges listed out e_1, e_2, \dots

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

This graph uses edges (say) e_1, e_2, \dots, e_M .

We rearrange the colors as follows:

$\text{COL}_L(e_1) = 1$.

Every edge that has the same color as e_1 we color 1.

Say e_7 is the least indexed edge with a diff color than e_1 . Color it 2.

Every edge that has the same color as e_7 we color 2.

Repeat this process a finite number of times since graph is finite.

We do this for all of the colorings. For ALL COL_L we have

Modify the Coloring

Recall that we have a edges listed out e_1, e_2, \dots

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

This graph uses edges (say) e_1, e_2, \dots, e_M .

We rearrange the colors as follows:

$\text{COL}_L(e_1) = 1$.

Every edge that has the same color as e_1 we color 1.

Say e_7 is the least indexed edge with a diff color than e_1 . Color it 2.

Every edge that has the same color as e_7 we color 2.

Repeat this process a finite number of times since graph is finite.

We do this for all of the colorings. For ALL COL_L we have

$\text{COL}_L(e_1) = 1$.

Modify the Coloring

Recall that we have a edges listed out e_1, e_2, \dots

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

This graph uses edges (say) e_1, e_2, \dots, e_M .

We rearrange the colors as follows:

$\text{COL}_L(e_1) = 1$.

Every edge that has the same color as e_1 we color 1.

Say e_7 is the least indexed edge with a diff color than e_1 . Color it 2.

Every edge that has the same color as e_7 we color 2.

Repeat this process a finite number of times since graph is finite.

We do this for all of the colorings. For ALL COL_L we have

$\text{COL}_L(e_1) = 1$.

$\text{COL}_L(e_2) \in \{1, 2\}$.

Modify the Coloring

Recall that we have a edges listed out e_1, e_2, \dots

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

This graph uses edges (say) e_1, e_2, \dots, e_M .

We rearrange the colors as follows:

$\text{COL}_L(e_1) = 1$.

Every edge that has the same color as e_1 we color 1.

Say e_7 is the least indexed edge with a diff color than e_1 . Color it 2.

Every edge that has the same color as e_7 we color 2.

Repeat this process a finite number of times since graph is finite.

We do this for all of the colorings. For ALL COL_L we have

$\text{COL}_L(e_1) = 1$.

$\text{COL}_L(e_2) \in \{1, 2\}$.

...

Modify the Coloring

Recall that we have a edges listed out e_1, e_2, \dots

$\text{COL}_L: \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+L\}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$ with no Large cool set.

This graph uses edges (say) e_1, e_2, \dots, e_M .

We rearrange the colors as follows:

$\text{COL}_L(e_1) = 1$.

Every edge that has the same color as e_1 we color 1.

Say e_7 is the least indexed edge with a diff color than e_1 . Color it 2.

Every edge that has the same color as e_7 we color 2.

Repeat this process a finite number of times since graph is finite.

We do this for all of the colorings. For ALL COL_L we have

$\text{COL}_L(e_1) = 1$.

$\text{COL}_L(e_2) \in \{1, 2\}$.

...

$\text{COL}_L(e_i) \in \{1, \dots, i\}$.

Use Modified Coloring

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y still alive. It will be ∞ .)

Use Modified Coloring

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y still alive. It will be ∞ .)

$\text{COL}(e_1) = \text{least } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |\{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = i\}| = \infty.$

Use Modified Coloring

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y still alive. It will be ∞ .)

$\text{COL}(e_1) = \text{least } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |\{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = i\}| = \infty.$

Key $\text{COL}(e_1) \in \{1\}.$

Use Modified Coloring

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y still alive. It will be ∞ .)

$\text{COL}(e_1) = \text{least } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |\{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = i\}| = \infty.$

Key $\text{COL}(e_1) \in \{1\}.$

$I_2 = \{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = \text{COL}(e_1)\}$

Use Modified Coloring

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y still alive. It will be ∞ .)

$\text{COL}(e_1) = \text{least } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |\{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = i\}| = \infty.$

Key $\text{COL}(e_1) \in \{1\}.$

$I_2 = \{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = \text{COL}(e_1)\}$

$\text{COL}(e_2) = \text{least } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |\{y \in I_2 : \text{COL}_y(e_2) = i\}| = \infty.$

Use Modified Coloring

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y still alive. It will be ∞ .)

$\text{COL}(e_1) = \text{least } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |\{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = i\}| = \infty.$

Key $\text{COL}(e_1) \in \{1\}.$

$I_2 = \{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = \text{COL}(e_1)\}$

$\text{COL}(e_2) = \text{least } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |\{y \in I_2 : \text{COL}_y(e_2) = i\}| = \infty.$

Key $\text{COL}(e_2) \in \{1, 2\}.$

...

Use Modified Coloring

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y still alive. It will be ∞ .)

$\text{COL}(e_1) = \text{least } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |\{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = i\}| = \infty.$

Key $\text{COL}(e_1) \in \{1\}.$

$I_2 = \{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = \text{COL}(e_1)\}$

$\text{COL}(e_2) = \text{least } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |\{y \in I_2 : \text{COL}_y(e_2) = i\}| = \infty.$

Key $\text{COL}(e_2) \in \{1, 2\}.$

...

$I_s = \{y \in I_{s-1} : \text{COL}_y(e_{s-1}) = \text{COL}(e_{s-1})\}$

Use Modified Coloring

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y still alive. It will be ∞ .)

$\text{COL}(e_1) = \text{least } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |\{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = i\}| = \infty.$

Key $\text{COL}(e_1) \in \{1\}.$

$I_2 = \{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = \text{COL}(e_1)\}$

$\text{COL}(e_2) = \text{least } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |\{y \in I_2 : \text{COL}_y(e_2) = i\}| = \infty.$

Key $\text{COL}(e_2) \in \{1, 2\}.$

...

$I_s = \{y \in I_{s-1} : \text{COL}_y(e_{s-1}) = \text{COL}(e_{s-1})\}$

$\text{COL}(e_s) = \text{least } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |\{y \in I_s : \text{COL}_y(e_s) = i\}| = \infty.$

Use Modified Coloring

$I_1 = \mathbb{N}$ (I_s will be the COL_y still alive. It will be ∞ .)

$\text{COL}(e_1) = \text{least } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |\{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = i\}| = \infty.$

Key $\text{COL}(e_1) \in \{1\}.$

$I_2 = \{y \in I_1 : \text{COL}_y(e_1) = \text{COL}(e_1)\}$

$\text{COL}(e_2) = \text{least } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |\{y \in I_2 : \text{COL}_y(e_2) = i\}| = \infty.$

Key $\text{COL}(e_2) \in \{1, 2\}.$

...

$I_s = \{y \in I_{s-1} : \text{COL}_y(e_{s-1}) = \text{COL}(e_{s-1})\}$

$\text{COL}(e_s) = \text{least } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |\{y \in I_s : \text{COL}_y(e_s) = i\}| = \infty.$

Key $\text{COL}(e_s) \in \{1, \dots, s\}.$

....

Using COL To Get a Contradiction

We have defined COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$.

Using COL To Get a Contradiction

We have defined COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$.

By **The Infinite Can Ramsey Thm** there exists infinite cool set

Using COL To Get a Contradiction

We have defined COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$.

By **The Infinite Can Ramsey Thm** there exists infinite cool set

$$H = \{x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4 < \dots\}$$

Using COL To Get a Contradiction

We have defined COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$.

By **The Infinite Can Ramsey Thm** there exists infinite cool set

$$H = \{x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4 < \dots\}$$

We show that the following is a large cool set:

$$H = \{x_1 < \dots < x_{x_1+1}\}.$$

Using COL To Get a Contradiction

We have defined COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$.

By **The Infinite Can Ramsey Thm** there exists infinite cool set

$$H = \{x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4 < \dots\}$$

We show that the following is a large cool set:

$$H = \{x_1 < \dots < x_{x_1+1}\}.$$

There is an L such that COL restricted to H is some COL_L .

Using COL To Get a Contradiction

We have defined COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$.

By **The Infinite Can Ramsey Thm** there exists infinite cool set

$$H = \{x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4 < \dots\}$$

We show that the following is a large cool set:

$$H = \{x_1 < \dots < x_{x_1+1}\}.$$

There is an L such that COL restricted to H is some COL_L .

This is a contradiction since COL_L has no large cool sets.

Using COL To Get a Contradiction

We have defined $\text{COL}: \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow \omega$.

By **The Infinite Can Ramsey Thm** there exists infinite cool set

$$H = \{x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4 < \dots\}$$

We show that the following is a large cool set:

$$H = \{x_1 < \dots < x_{x_1+1}\}.$$

There is an L such that COL restricted to H is some COL_L .

This is a contradiction since COL_L has no large cool sets.

Hence the proof by contradiction is over.

The Hopefully Correct Proof using 4-Ary Ramsey

Exposition by William Gasarch

April 8, 2026

Attempt at Proof of Large Can Ramsey Thm

We use COL to define $\text{COL}' : \binom{\{k, k+1, \dots, k+n\}}{2} \rightarrow [16]$

We then apply **Large 4-ary Ramsey Theorem**.

In the slides below $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$.

Pairs that begin the same way are same color

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$
2. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 2.$
3. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 3.$
4. $\text{COL}(x_2, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 4.$

Pairs that begin the same way are same color

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$
2. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 2.$
3. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 3.$
4. $\text{COL}(x_2, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 4.$

H is large homog set, color 1 (rest similar)

$\text{COL}'' : H \rightarrow \omega$ is $\text{COL}''(x) = \text{color of all } (x, y) \text{ with } x < y \in H.$

Pairs that begin the same way are same color

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$
2. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 2.$
3. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 3.$
4. $\text{COL}(x_2, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 4.$

H is large homog set, color 1 (rest similar)

$\text{COL}'' : H \rightarrow \omega$ is $\text{COL}''(x) = \text{color of all } (x, y) \text{ with } x < y \in H.$

Use **1-dim Can Ramsey!**:

Case 1: COL'' has homog set H' then H' homog for COL.

Pairs that begin the same way are same color

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$
2. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 2.$
3. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 3.$
4. $\text{COL}(x_2, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 4.$

H is large homog set, color 1 (rest similar)

$\text{COL}'' : H \rightarrow \omega$ is $\text{COL}''(x) = \text{color of all } (x, y) \text{ with } x < y \in H.$

Use **1-dim Can Ramsey!**:

Case 1: COL'' has homog set H' then H' homog for COL.

Problem! H was large, but H' might not be.

Pairs that begin the same way are same color

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$
2. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 2.$
3. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 3.$
4. $\text{COL}(x_2, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 4.$

H is large homog set, color 1 (rest similar)

$\text{COL}'' : H \rightarrow \omega$ is $\text{COL}''(x) = \text{color of all } (x, y) \text{ with } x < y \in H.$

Use **1-dim Can Ramsey!**:

Case 1: COL'' has homog set H' then H' homog for COL.

Problem! H was large, but H' might not be.

Case 2: COL'' has rainb set H' then H' min-homog for COL.

Pairs that begin the same way are same color

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$
2. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 2.$
3. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 3.$
4. $\text{COL}(x_2, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_4) \rightarrow \text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 4.$

H is large homog set, color 1 (rest similar)

$\text{COL}'' : H \rightarrow \omega$ is $\text{COL}''(x) = \text{color of all } (x, y) \text{ with } x < y \in H.$

Use **1-dim Can Ramsey!**:

Case 1: COL'' has homog set H' then H' homog for COL.

Problem! H was large, but H' might not be.

Case 2: COL'' has rainb set H' then H' min-homog for COL.

Problem! H was large, but H' might not be.

How to Modify

How to Modify

We don't know enough about H .

How to Modify

We don't know enough about H .

So we get more information from the coloring.

How to Modify

We don't know enough about H .

So we get more information from the coloring.

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$

How to Modify

We don't know enough about H .

So we get more information from the coloring.

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$
2. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 2.$

How to Modify

We don't know enough about H .

So we get more information from the coloring.

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$
2. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 2.$

H is large homog set, color 1

How to Modify

We don't know enough about H .

So we get more information from the coloring.

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$
2. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 2.$

H is large homog set, color 1

One can check that because $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3)$, H is min-homog.

How to Modify

We don't know enough about H .

So we get more information from the coloring.

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$
2. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 2.$

H is large homog set, color 1

One can check that because $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3)$, H is min-homog.

Does not need any modification.

How to Modify

We don't know enough about H .

So we get more information from the coloring.

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$
2. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 2.$

H is large homog set, color 1

One can check that because $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3)$, H is min-homog.

Does not need any modification. So have large min-homog.

How to Modify

We don't know enough about H .

So we get more information from the coloring.

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$
2. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 2.$

H is large homog set, color 1

One can check that because $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3)$, H is min-homog.

Does not need any modification. So have large min-homog.

H is large homog set, color 2

How to Modify

We don't know enough about H .

So we get more information from the coloring.

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$
2. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 2.$

H is large homog set, color 1

One can check that because $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3)$, H is min-homog.

Does not need any modification. So have large min-homog.

H is large homog set, color 2

One can check that because $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_3)$, H is homog.

How to Modify

We don't know enough about H .

So we get more information from the coloring.

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$
2. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 2.$

H is large homog set, color 1

One can check that because $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3)$, H is min-homog.

Does not need any modification. So have large min-homog.

H is large homog set, color 2

One can check that because $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_3)$, H is homog.

Does not need any modification.

How to Modify

We don't know enough about H .

So we get more information from the coloring.

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$
2. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 2.$

H is large homog set, color 1

One can check that because $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3)$, H is min-homog.

Does not need any modification. So have large min-homog.

H is large homog set, color 2

One can check that because $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_3)$, H is homog.

Does not need any modification. So have large homog.

How to Modify

We don't know enough about H .

So we get more information from the coloring.

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$
2. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 2.$

H is large homog set, color 1

One can check that because $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3)$, H is min-homog.

Does not need any modification. So have large min-homog.

H is large homog set, color 2

One can check that because $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_3)$, H is homog.

Does not need any modification. So have large homog.

I have not worked out the rest of the cases but I think it will work.

How to Modify

We don't know enough about H .

So we get more information from the coloring.

1. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 1.$
2. $\text{COL}(x_1, x_2) = \text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_3) \rightarrow$
 $\text{COL}'(x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4) = 2.$

H is large homog set, color 1

One can check that because $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) \neq \text{COL}(x_2, x_3)$, H is min-homog.

Does not need any modification. So have large min-homog.

H is large homog set, color 2

One can check that because $\text{COL}(x_1, x_3) = \text{COL}(x_2, x_3)$, H is homog.

Does not need any modification. So have large homog.

I have not worked out the rest of the cases but I think it will work.

Might be a lot of cases!

How Many Cases

How Many Cases

How many ways can the edges colorings be equal and not-equal?

How Many Cases

How many ways can the edges colorings be equal and not-equal?

If vertices are $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ there are $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ edges.

How Many Cases

How many ways can the edges colorings be equal and not-equal?

If vertices are $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ there are $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ edges.

The number of pairs of edges are $\binom{6}{2} = 15$.

How Many Cases

How many ways can the edges colorings be equal and not-equal?

If vertices are $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ there are $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ edges.

The number of pairs of edges are $\binom{6}{2} = 15$.

Each pair is either equal or not equal. So 2^{15} colors.