

# BILL, RECORD LECTURE!!!!

BILL RECORD LECTURE!!!

# Grid Coloring

# Grid Coloring

**Recall** A grid  $a \times b$  is **c-colorable** if there is  $\text{COL}: [a] \times [b] \rightarrow [c]$  such that there are no mono rectangles.

# Grid Coloring

**Recall** A grid  $a \times b$  is  **$c$ -colorable** if there is  $\text{COL}: [a] \times [b] \rightarrow [c]$  such that there are no mono rectangles.

**Known** For every  $c$  there exists a finite number of grids  $G_1, \dots, G_L$  such that  $[a] \times [b]$  is  $c$ -colorable iff none of  $G_i$  are contained in  $[a] \times [b]$ .

# Grid Coloring

**Recall** A grid  $a \times b$  is  **$c$ -colorable** if there is  $\text{COL}: [a] \times [b] \rightarrow [c]$  such that there are no mono rectangles.

**Known** For every  $c$  there exists a finite number of grids  $G_1, \dots, G_L$  such that

$[a] \times [b]$  is  $c$ -colorable iff none of  $G_i$  are contained in  $[a] \times [b]$ .

The set of grids is called **The obstruction set for  $c$ -coloring**

# Grid Coloring

**Recall** A grid  $a \times b$  is  **$c$ -colorable** if there is  $\text{COL}: [a] \times [b] \rightarrow [c]$  such that there are no mono rectangles.

**Known** For every  $c$  there exists a finite number of grids  $G_1, \dots, G_L$  such that

$[a] \times [b]$  is  $c$ -colorable iff none of  $G_i$  are contained in  $[a] \times [b]$ .

The set of grids is called **The obstruction set for  $c$ -coloring**

**Known** The obstruction set for 2-coloring is  $\{3 \times 7, 5 \times 5, 7 \times 3\}$ .

# Grid Coloring

**Recall** A grid  $a \times b$  is  **$c$ -colorable** if there is  $\text{COL}: [a] \times [b] \rightarrow [c]$  such that there are no mono rectangles.

**Known** For every  $c$  there exists a finite number of grids  $G_1, \dots, G_L$  such that

$[a] \times [b]$  is  $c$ -colorable iff none of  $G_i$  are contained in  $[a] \times [b]$ .

The set of grids is called **The obstruction set for  $c$ -coloring**

**Known** The obstruction set for 2-coloring is  $\{3 \times 7, 5 \times 5, 7 \times 3\}$ .

**Known** The obs set for 3-coloring and 4-coloring are known.

# Grid Coloring

**Recall** A grid  $a \times b$  is  **$c$ -colorable** if there is  $\text{COL}: [a] \times [b] \rightarrow [c]$  such that there are no mono rectangles.

**Known** For every  $c$  there exists a finite number of grids  $G_1, \dots, G_L$  such that

$[a] \times [b]$  is  $c$ -colorable iff none of  $G_i$  are contained in  $[a] \times [b]$ .

The set of grids is called **The obstruction set for  $c$ -coloring**

**Known** The obstruction set for 2-coloring is  $\{3 \times 7, 5 \times 5, 7 \times 3\}$ .

**Known** The obs set for 3-coloring and 4-coloring are known.

**Project** Re-derive the known obs sets with a SAT Solver and (try to) find the obs set for 5-coloring.

# Ramsey Games

# Ramsey Games

Every Ramsey Theorem can be viewed as a game.

# Ramsey Games

Every Ramsey Theorem can be viewed as a game.

**Example:**  $R(3) = 6$  is the game where BILL- EXPLAIN AND PLAY IT

# Ramsey Games

Every Ramsey Theorem can be viewed as a game.

**Example:**  $R(3) = 6$  is the game where BILL- EXPLAIN AND PLAY IT

Use AI to determine a winning strategy for these games.

# Ramsey Games

Every Ramsey Theorem can be viewed as a game.

**Example:**  $R(3) = 6$  is the game where BILL- EXPLAIN AND PLAY IT

Use AI to determine a winning strategy for these games.

Game Ramsey Numbers (example): Least  $n$  such that player I wins the game on  $K_n$  where both players want a  $K_3$ .

# Ramsey Games

Every Ramsey Theorem can be viewed as a game.

**Example:**  $R(3) = 6$  is the game where BILL- EXPLAIN AND PLAY IT

Use AI to determine a winning strategy for these games.

Game Ramsey Numbers (example): Least  $n$  such that player I wins the game on  $K_n$  where both players want a  $K_3$ .

Look at avoidance game- try to NOT get  $K_3$ .

# Random Ramsey Theory

# Random Ramsey Theory

Recall that the Prob method was used to show  $R(k) \geq k2^{k/2}$  (or so).

# Random Ramsey Theory

Recall that the Prob method was used to show  $R(k) \geq k2^{k/2}$  (or so).

**Take Probability Seriously!** Example: find the least  $n$  so that a random coloring (empirically) of the edges of  $K_n$ , with prob 0.99, has a mono  $K_4$ .

# Random Ramsey Theory

Recall that the Prob method was used to show  $R(k) \geq k2^{k/2}$  (or so).

**Take Probability Seriously!** Example: find the least  $n$  so that a random coloring (empirically) of the edges of  $K_n$ , with prob 0.99, has a mono  $K_4$ .

**Caveat** I've had some HS students do this before for Graphs. Might want to do it for VDW's Theorem, Poly VDW, Square-Thm, Grid-Thms.

# Rado's Theorem

# Rado's Theorem

**Known** For all finite colorings of  $\mathbb{N}$  there exists  $x, y, z$  same color such that  $x + y - z = 0$ .

# Rado's Theorem

**Known** For all finite colorings of  $\mathbb{N}$  there exists  $x, y, z$  same color such that  $x + y - z = 0$ .

**Rado's Theorem**  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . TFAE

# Rado's Theorem

**Known** For all finite colorings of  $\mathbb{N}$  there exists  $x, y, z$  same color such that  $x + y - z = 0$ .

**Rado's Theorem**  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . TFAE

For all finite colorings of  $\mathbb{N}$  there is a mono sol to  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = 0$ .  
(We take  $\mathbb{N}$  to not include 0.)

# Rado's Theorem

**Known** For all finite colorings of  $\mathbb{N}$  there exists  $x, y, z$  same color such that  $x + y - z = 0$ .

**Rado's Theorem**  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . TFAE

For all finite colorings of  $\mathbb{N}$  there is a mono sol to  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = 0$ .  
(We take  $\mathbb{N}$  to not include 0.)

Some subset of  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  sums to 0.

# Rado's Theorem

**Known** For all finite colorings of  $\mathbb{N}$  there exists  $x, y, z$  same color such that  $x + y - z = 0$ .

**Rado's Theorem**  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . TFAE

For all finite colorings of  $\mathbb{N}$  there is a mono sol to  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = 0$ .  
(We take  $\mathbb{N}$  to not include 0.)

Some subset of  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  sums to 0.

See next page for variants.

# Variants of Rado's Theorem

# Variants of Rado's Theorem

**Caveat** I have found very little on the following variants but a more careful search of the literature is needed.

## Variants of Rado's Theorem

**Caveat** I have found very little on the following variants but a more careful search of the literature is needed.

$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = d$  where  $d$  is a constant.

## Variants of Rado's Theorem

**Caveat** I have found very little on the following variants but a more careful search of the literature is needed.

$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = d$  where  $d$  is a constant.

What about a set of linear equations? (This is known but I would like a good writeup.)

## Variants of Rado's Theorem

**Caveat** I have found very little on the following variants but a more careful search of the literature is needed.

$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = d$  where  $d$  is a constant.

What about a set of linear equations? (This is known but I would like a good writeup.)

Demand that the mono sol be all distinct numbers (I think I did this and its not hard).

## Variants of Rado's Theorem

**Caveat** I have found very little on the following variants but a more careful search of the literature is needed.

$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = d$  where  $d$  is a constant.

What about a set of linear equations? (This is known but I would like a good writeup.)

Demand that the mono sol be all distinct numbers (I think I did this and its not hard).

Example:  $x + y - 3z$  does not have any subset sum to 0. But every 1-coloring has a mono sol. What about 2-coloring?

## Variants of Rado's Theorem

**Caveat** I have found very little on the following variants but a more careful search of the literature is needed.

$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = d$  where  $d$  is a constant.

What about a set of linear equations? (This is known but I would like a good writeup.)

Demand that the mono sol be all distinct numbers (I think I did this and its not hard).

Example:  $x + y - 3z$  does not have any subset sum to 0. But every 1-coloring has a mono sol. What about 2-coloring?

Coloring over the reals.

## Variants of Rado's Theorem

**Caveat** I have found very little on the following variants but a more careful search of the literature is needed.

$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = d$  where  $d$  is a constant.

What about a set of linear equations? (This is known but I would like a good writeup.)

Demand that the mono sol be all distinct numbers (I think I did this and its not hard).

Example:  $x + y - 3z$  does not have any subset sum to 0. But every 1-coloring has a mono sol. What about 2-coloring?

Coloring over the reals.

Non-linear equations (a few are known).

## Variants of Rado's Theorem

**Caveat** I have found very little on the following variants but a more careful search of the literature is needed.

$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = d$  where  $d$  is a constant.

What about a set of linear equations? (This is known but I would like a good writeup.)

Demand that the mono sol be all distinct numbers (I think I did this and its not hard).

Example:  $x + y - 3z$  does not have any subset sum to 0. But every 1-coloring has a mono sol. What about 2-coloring?

Coloring over the reals.

Non-linear equations (a few are known).

Combinations of the above. Empirical studies of the above.

# Can Versions of VDW, Rado, Can PolyVDW

# Can Versions of VDW, Rado, Can PolyVDW

**Can VDW** for all  $k$  there exists  $n = CVDW(k)$  such that for all colorings of  $[n]$  there is either a mono  $k$ -AP or a rainbow  $k$ -AP.

# Can Versions of VDW, Rado, Can PolyVDW

**Can VDW** for all  $k$  there exists  $n = CVDW(k)$  such that for all colorings of  $[n]$  there is either a mono  $k$ -AP or a rainbow  $k$ -AP.

**Can Rado** and **Can PolyVDW** I won't state but if you know Rado and PolyVDW you can figure out the statements.

# Can Versions of VDW, Rado, Can PolyVDW

**Can VDW** for all  $k$  there exists  $n = CVDW(k)$  such that for all colorings of  $[n]$  there is either a mono  $k$ -AP or a rainbow  $k$ -AP.

**Can Rado** and **Can PolyVDW** I won't state but if you know Rado and PolyVDW you can figure out the statements.

**Projects** Good writeup of the known proof(s) of this, perhaps better bound on the numbers. Possibly empirical results.

# Constructive Lower Bounds on

Constructive lower bounds on

# Constructive Lower Bounds on

Constructive lower bounds on  
Ramsey Numbers—extend Danesh-Kelin survey to more recent  
results.

# Constructive Lower Bounds on

Constructive lower bounds on  
Ramsey Numbers—extend Danesh-Kelin survey to more recent  
results.

Ramsey Numbers—extend their survey to hypergraphs.

# Constructive Lower Bounds on

Constructive lower bounds on

Ramsey Numbers—extend Danesh-Kelin survey to more recent results.

Ramsey Numbers—extend their survey to hypergraphs.

Ramsey Numbers—use more combinatorial design

# Constructive Lower Bounds on

Constructive lower bounds on

Ramsey Numbers—extend Danesh-Kelin survey to more recent results.

Ramsey Numbers—extend their survey to hypergraphs.

Ramsey Numbers—use more combinatorial design

Ramsey Numbers—Can Ramsey? VDW? GW?

# Better Writeups Needed

A better writeup of the Conlon-Fox-Sud 3-ary Ramsey Theory  
Upper Bounds

A better writeup of Shelah's upper bound on Can Ramsey

A better writeup of Shelah's upper bound on poly VDW.

# Coloring the Plane with a Ctable number of colors

# Coloring the Plane with a Countable number of colors

What happens if you color  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with a countable number of colors?

# Coloring the Plane with a Countable number of colors

What happens if you color  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with a countable number of colors?

There are only two papers on this that I know:

<https://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/TOPICS/ramseyrect/ramseyrect.html>

# Coloring the Plane with a Countable number of colors

What happens if you color  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with a countable number of colors?

There are only two papers on this that I know:

<https://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/TOPICS/ramseyrect/ramseyrect.html>

**Project** Read, understand, and write up these results. Then see if you can extend.

# Euclidean Ramsey: The Mono Unit Square Problem

# Euclidean Ramsey: The Mono Unit Square Problem

Better understand  $R^4$  proof.

# Euclidean Ramsey: The Mono Unit Square Problem

Better understand  $R^4$  proof.

Darling's Problem: If you color  $\mathbb{R}^3$  what happens?

# Euclidean Ramsey: The Mono Unit Square Problem

Better understand  $R^4$  proof.

Darling's Problem: If you color  $\mathbb{R}^3$  what happens?

Look at unit cube problem. And beyond.

# Euclidean Ramsey: The Mono Unit Square Problem

Better understand  $R^4$  proof.

Darling's Problem: If you color  $\mathbb{R}^3$  what happens?

Look at unit cube problem. And beyond.

For all of the above, more colors.

# Euclidean Ramsey: Chrom Numb of the Plane

# Euclidean Ramsey: Chrom Numb of the Plane

Understand the  $\chi \leq 4$  proof.

# Euclidean Ramsey: Chrom Numb of the Plane

Understand the  $\chi \leq 4$  proof.  
Improve the number-of-points

# Euclidean Ramsey: Chrom Numb of the Plane

Understand the  $\chi \leq 4$  proof.

Improve the number-of-points

Survey of  $L_a$ - $L_b$  results- build on what Kelin and Chaewoon have done.

# Area 1

# Area 1

**Known:** For all  $c$ , for all  $\text{COL}\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [c]$  there is a triangle of area 1 with all three vertices same color.

# Area 1

**Known:** For all  $c$ , for all  $\text{COLR}^2 \rightarrow [c]$  there is a triangle of area 1 with all three vertices same color.

For what  $a, b$  is the following true: for all  $c$ -colorings of the  $a \times b$  rectangle there is a triangle  $\dots$ .

# Area 1

**Known:** For all  $c$ , for all  $\text{COL}\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [c]$  there is a triangle of area 1 with all three vertices same color.

For what  $a, b$  is the following true: for all  $c$ -colorings of the  $a \times b$  rectangle there is a triangle  $\dots$ .

Better bounds in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ?

# Area 1

**Known:** For all  $c$ , for all  $\text{COL}\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [c]$  there is a triangle of area 1 with all three vertices same color.

For what  $a, b$  is the following true: for all  $c$ -colorings of the  $a \times b$  rectangle there is a triangle  $\dots$ .

Better bounds in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ?

smallskip In  $\mathbb{R}^3$  try to get mono tetrahedron.

# Anti-Ramsey

Survey of the papers I have on Anti-Ramsey.

The papers I wrote up (with co-author) seem tedious- automate?