

BILL, RECORD LECTURE!!!!

BILL RECORD LECTURE!!!

Well Quasi Orders

Exposition by William Gasarch

February 18, 2026

Our Goals For the Next Few Lectures

Our Goals For the Next Few Lectures

We will over the next few lecture we will discuss:

Our Goals For the Next Few Lectures

We will over the next few lecture we will discuss:

1) Motivation, definition, and examples of Well Quasi Orders.

Our Goals For the Next Few Lectures

We will over the next few lecture we will discuss:

- 1) Motivation, definition, and examples of Well Quasi Orders.
- 2) Give “**applications**” of Well Quasi Orderings.

Our Goals For the Next Few Lectures

We will over the next few lecture we will discuss:

- 1) Motivation, definition, and examples of Well Quasi Orders.
- 2) Give “**applications**” of Well Quasi Orderings.
- 3) The **Kruskal Tree Theorem**: Trees under minor ord is a wqo.

Our Goals For the Next Few Lectures

We will over the next few lecture we will discuss:

- 1) Motivation, definition, and examples of Well Quasi Orders.
- 2) Give “**applications**” of Well Quasi Orderings.
- 3) The **Kruskal Tree Theorem**: Trees under minor ord is a wqo.
- 4) Finite forms of KTT that leads to **fast growing functions**.

Why Study Well Quasi Orders in This Course

Why Study Well Quasi Orders in This Course

- 1) The **Kruskal Tree Theorem** was Joe Kruskal's PhD thesis.

Why Study Well Quasi Orders in This Course

1) The **Kruskal Tree Theorem** was Joe Kruskal's PhD thesis.

Clyde Kruskal is Joe Kruskal's nephew. The **Kruskal Family**, Martin (Clydes Father), Joe (Clyde's Uncle), Bill (Clydes Uncle) are the second best math family of all time. The **Bernoulli Family** are the best. After Bernouilli and Kruskal nobody even comes close.

Why Study Well Quasi Orders in This Course

1) The **Kruskal Tree Theorem** was Joe Kruskal's PhD thesis.

Clyde Kruskal is Joe Kruskal's nephew. The **Kruskal Family**, Martin (Clydes Father), Joe (Clyde's Uncle), Bill (Clydes Uncle) are the second best math family of all time. The **Bernoulli Family** are the best. After Bernouilli and Kruskal nobody even comes close.

2) The proofs in WQO theory are **Ramseysque**.

Why Study Well Quasi Orders in This Course

1) The **Kruskal Tree Theorem** was Joe Kruskal's PhD thesis.

Clyde Kruskal is Joe Kruskal's nephew. The **Kruskal Family**, Martin (Clydes Father), Joe (Clyde's Uncle), Bill (Clydes Uncle) are the second best math family of all time. The **Bernoulli Family** are the best. After Bernouilli and Kruskal nobody even comes close.

2) The proofs in WQO theory are **Ramseyesque**.

3) The KTT is an subcase of the (much harder and later) Graph Minor Theorem which has many "**applications**" in theoretical computer science.

Why Study Well Quasi Orders in This Course

1) The **Kruskal Tree Theorem** was Joe Kruskal's PhD thesis.

Clyde Kruskal is Joe Kruskal's nephew. The **Kruskal Family**, Martin (Clydes Father), Joe (Clyde's Uncle), Bill (Clydes Uncle) are the second best math family of all time. The **Bernoulli Family** are the best. After Bernouilli and Kruskal nobody even comes close.

2) The proofs in WQO theory are **Ramseyesque**.

3) The KTT is an subcase of the (much harder and later) Graph Minor Theorem which has many "**applications**" in theoretical computer science.

4) Finite forms of the KTT lead to **very fast growing functions**.

Why Study Well Quasi Orders in This Course

1) The **Kruskal Tree Theorem** was Joe Kruskal's PhD thesis.

Clyde Kruskal is Joe Kruskal's nephew. The **Kruskal Family**, Martin (Clydes Father), Joe (Clyde's Uncle), Bill (Clydes Uncle) are the second best math family of all time. The **Bernoulli Family** are the best. After Bernouilli and Kruskal nobody even comes close.

2) The proofs in WQO theory are **Ramseysque**.

3) The KTT is an subcase of the (much harder and later) Graph Minor Theorem which has many "**applications**" in theoretical computer science.

4) Finite forms of the KTT lead to **very fast growing functions**.

5) wqo theory leads to a surprising result in **automata theory**.

Orderings and Sequences

Orderings and Sequences

Def Let X be a set and \preceq be a subset of $X \times X$.

Orderings and Sequences

Def Let X be a set and \preceq be a subset of $X \times X$.

(X, \preceq) is **an ordering** if for all x, y, z :

Orderings and Sequences

Def Let X be a set and \preceq be a subset of $X \times X$.

(X, \preceq) is **an ordering** if for all x, y, z :

(a) $x \preceq y$ and $y \preceq z$ implies $x \preceq z$ (transitive), and

Orderings and Sequences

Def Let X be a set and \preceq be a subset of $X \times X$.

(X, \preceq) is **an ordering** if for all x, y, z :

- (a) $x \preceq y$ and $y \preceq z$ implies $x \preceq z$ (transitive), and
- (b) $x \preceq x$ (reflexive).

Orderings and Sequences

Def Let X be a set and \preceq be a subset of $X \times X$.

(X, \preceq) is **an ordering** if for all x, y, z :

- (a) $x \preceq y$ and $y \preceq z$ implies $x \preceq z$ (transitive), and
- (b) $x \preceq x$ (reflexive).

$y \succeq x$ means $x \preceq y$.

Orderings and Sequences

Def Let X be a set and \preceq be a subset of $X \times X$.

(X, \preceq) is **an ordering** if for all x, y, z :

- (a) $x \preceq y$ and $y \preceq z$ implies $x \preceq z$ (transitive), and
- (b) $x \preceq x$ (reflexive).

$y \succeq x$ means $x \preceq y$.

An $\infty \uparrow$ **seq** is a sequence $x_1 \preceq x_2 \preceq x_3 \cdots$.

Orderings and Sequences

Def Let X be a set and \preceq be a subset of $X \times X$.

(X, \preceq) is **an ordering** if for all x, y, z :

- (a) $x \preceq y$ and $y \preceq z$ implies $x \preceq z$ (transitive), and
- (b) $x \preceq x$ (reflexive).

$y \succeq x$ means $x \preceq y$.

An $\infty \uparrow$ **seq** is a sequence $x_1 \preceq x_2 \preceq x_3 \cdots$.

An $\infty \downarrow$ **seq** is a sequence $x_1 \succ x_2 \succ x_3 \cdots$.

Orderings and Sequences

Def Let X be a set and \preceq be a subset of $X \times X$.

(X, \preceq) is **an ordering** if for all x, y, z :

- (a) $x \preceq y$ and $y \preceq z$ implies $x \preceq z$ (transitive), and
- (b) $x \preceq x$ (reflexive).

$y \succeq x$ means $x \preceq y$.

An $\infty \uparrow$ **seq** is a sequence $x_1 \preceq x_2 \preceq x_3 \cdots$.

An $\infty \downarrow$ **seq** is a sequence $x_1 \succ x_2 \succ x_3 \cdots$.

An ∞ **antichain** is a sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots such that all of the elements are not comparable.

Orderings and Sequences

Def Let X be a set and \preceq be a subset of $X \times X$.

(X, \preceq) is **an ordering** if for all x, y, z :

- (a) $x \preceq y$ and $y \preceq z$ implies $x \preceq z$ (transitive), and
- (b) $x \preceq x$ (reflexive).

$y \succeq x$ means $x \preceq y$.

An $\infty \uparrow$ **seq** is a sequence $x_1 \preceq x_2 \preceq x_3 \cdots$.

An $\infty \downarrow$ **seq** is a sequence $x_1 \succ x_2 \succ x_3 \cdots$.

An ∞ **antichain** is a sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots such that all of the elements are not comparable.

Formally, for all i, j , $x_i \not\preceq x_j$ and $x_j \not\preceq x_i$.

Examples

Examples

\mathbb{N} with usual ordering.

Examples

\mathbb{N} with usual ordering.

There is an $\infty \uparrow$ seq: $1 < 2 < 3 < \dots$

Examples

\mathbb{N} with usual ordering.

There is an $\infty \uparrow$ seq: $1 < 2 < 3 < \dots$

No $\infty \downarrow$ seq.

Examples

\mathbb{N} with usual ordering.

There is an $\infty \uparrow$ seq: $1 < 2 < 3 < \dots$

No $\infty \downarrow$ seq.

No ∞ antichain.

Examples

\mathbb{N} with usual ordering.

There is an $\infty \uparrow$ seq: $1 < 2 < 3 < \dots$

No $\infty \downarrow$ seq.

No ∞ antichain.

\mathbb{N} with ordering $x \preceq y$ if x divides y .

Examples

\mathbb{N} with usual ordering.

There is an ∞ \uparrow seq: $1 < 2 < 3 < \dots$

No ∞ \downarrow seq.

No ∞ antichain.

\mathbb{N} with ordering $x \preceq y$ if x divides y .

There is an ∞ \uparrow seq: $2 \preceq 2^2 \preceq 2^3 \dots$

Examples

\mathbb{N} with usual ordering.

There is an $\infty \uparrow$ seq: $1 < 2 < 3 < \dots$

No $\infty \downarrow$ seq.

No ∞ antichain.

\mathbb{N} with ordering $x \preceq y$ if x divides y .

There is an $\infty \uparrow$ seq: $2 \preceq 2^2 \preceq 2^3 \dots$

No $\infty \downarrow$ seq.

Examples

\mathbb{N} with usual ordering.

There is an ∞ \uparrow seq: $1 < 2 < 3 < \dots$

No ∞ \downarrow seq.

No ∞ antichain.

\mathbb{N} with ordering $x \preceq y$ if x divides y .

There is an ∞ \uparrow seq: $2 \preceq 2^2 \preceq 2^3 \dots$

No ∞ \downarrow seq.

There is an ∞ antichain: $2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, \dots$ (The primes)

Another Example

Another Example

$\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with ordering $(x_1, y_1) \preceq (x_2, y_2)$ if $x_1 \leq x_2$ and $y_1 \leq y_2$.

Another Example

$\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with ordering $(x_1, y_1) \preceq (x_2, y_2)$ if $x_1 \leq x_2$ and $y_1 \leq y_2$.

There is an $\infty \uparrow$ seq: $(1, 1) < (2, 2) < \dots$.

Another Example

$\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with ordering $(x_1, y_1) \preceq (x_2, y_2)$ if $x_1 \leq x_2$ and $y_1 \leq y_2$.

There is an $\infty \uparrow$ seq: $(1, 1) < (2, 2) < \dots$.

There is no $\infty \downarrow$ seq.

Another Example

$\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with ordering $(x_1, y_1) \preceq (x_2, y_2)$ if $x_1 \leq x_2$ and $y_1 \leq y_2$.

There is an $\infty \uparrow$ seq: $(1, 1) < (2, 2) < \dots$.

There is no $\infty \downarrow$ seq.

WORK IN GROUPS Is there an infinite antichain?

What About \mathbb{N}^2

What About \mathbb{N}^2

No ∞ antichain.

What About \mathbb{N}^2

No ∞ antichain. Assume $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots$ is an ∞ antichain.

What About \mathbb{N}^2

No ∞ antichain. Assume $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots$ is an ∞ antichain. Define a coloring COL (shocker :-)) as follows. (Assume $i < j$.)

COL(i, j) =

- ▶ UP-UP if $x_i \leq x_j$ and $y_i \leq y_j$.
- ▶ UP-DOWN if $x_i \leq x_j$ and $y_j \leq y_i$.
- ▶ DOWN-UP if $x_j \leq x_i$ and $y_i \leq y_j$.
- ▶ DOWN-DOWN if $x_j \leq x_i$ and $y_j \leq y_i$.

What About \mathbb{N}^2

No ∞ antichain. Assume $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots$ is an ∞ antichain. Define a coloring COL (shocker :-)) as follows. (Assume $i < j$.)

COL(i, j) =

- ▶ UP-UP if $x_i \leq x_j$ and $y_i \leq y_j$.
- ▶ UP-DOWN if $x_i \leq x_j$ and $y_j \leq y_i$.
- ▶ DOWN-UP if $x_j \leq x_i$ and $y_i \leq y_j$.
- ▶ DOWN-DOWN if $x_j \leq x_i$ and $y_j \leq y_i$.

\exists ∞ homog set H .

What About \mathbb{N}^2

No ∞ antichain. Assume $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots$ is an ∞ antichain. Define a coloring COL (shocker :-)) as follows. (Assume $i < j$.)

COL(i, j) =

- ▶ UP-UP if $x_i \leq x_j$ and $y_i \leq y_j$.
- ▶ UP-DOWN if $x_i \leq x_j$ and $y_j \leq y_i$.
- ▶ DOWN-UP if $x_j \leq x_i$ and $y_i \leq y_j$.
- ▶ DOWN-DOWN if $x_j \leq x_i$ and $y_j \leq y_i$.

$\exists \infty$ homog set H .

The color can't have a DOWN in it since then get $\infty \downarrow$ seq.

What About \mathbb{N}^2

No ∞ antichain. Assume $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots$ is an ∞ antichain. Define a coloring COL (shocker :-)) as follows. (Assume $i < j$.)

$\text{COL}(i, j) =$

- ▶ UP-UP if $x_i \leq x_j$ and $y_i \leq y_j$.
- ▶ UP-DOWN if $x_i \leq x_j$ and $y_j \leq y_i$.
- ▶ DOWN-UP if $x_j \leq x_i$ and $y_i \leq y_j$.
- ▶ DOWN-DOWN if $x_j \leq x_i$ and $y_j \leq y_i$.

$\exists \infty$ homog set H .

The color can't have a DOWN in it since then get $\infty \downarrow$ seq.

Hence the color is UP-UP and get $\infty \uparrow$ seq.

What About \mathbb{N}^2

No ∞ antichain. Assume $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots$ is an ∞ antichain. Define a coloring COL (shocker :-)) as follows. (Assume $i < j$.)

$\text{COL}(i, j) =$

- ▶ UP-UP if $x_i \leq x_j$ and $y_i \leq y_j$.
- ▶ UP-DOWN if $x_i \leq x_j$ and $y_j \leq y_i$.
- ▶ DOWN-UP if $x_j \leq x_i$ and $y_i \leq y_j$.
- ▶ DOWN-DOWN if $x_j \leq x_i$ and $y_j \leq y_i$.

$\exists \infty$ homog set H .

The color can't have a DOWN in it since then get $\infty \downarrow$ seq.

Hence the color is UP-UP and get $\infty \uparrow$ seq.

Contradicts $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots$ being an ∞ antichain.

What About \mathbb{N}^2

No ∞ antichain. Assume $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots$ is an ∞ antichain. Define a coloring COL (shocker :-)) as follows. (Assume $i < j$.)

$\text{COL}(i, j) =$

- ▶ UP-UP if $x_i \leq x_j$ and $y_i \leq y_j$.
- ▶ UP-DOWN if $x_i \leq x_j$ and $y_j \leq y_i$.
- ▶ DOWN-UP if $x_j \leq x_i$ and $y_i \leq y_j$.
- ▶ DOWN-DOWN if $x_j \leq x_i$ and $y_j \leq y_i$.

$\exists \infty$ homog set H .

The color can't have a DOWN in it since then get $\infty \downarrow$ seq.

Hence the color is UP-UP and get $\infty \uparrow$ seq.

Contradicts $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots$ being an ∞ antichain.

Note Not just contradicts. All we needed was some (x_i, y_i) compared to some (x_j, y_j) . We got an $\infty \uparrow$ seq!

Another Example

Another Example

$2^{\mathbb{N}}$ the powerset of \mathbb{N} .

Another Example

$2^{\mathbb{N}}$ the powerset of \mathbb{N} .

$2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ the set of finite subsets of \mathbb{N} .

Another Example

$2^{\mathbb{N}}$ the powerset of \mathbb{N} .

$2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ the set of finite subsets of \mathbb{N} .

\preceq is \subseteq .

Another Example

$2^{\mathbb{N}}$ the powerset of \mathbb{N} .

$2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ the set of finite subsets of \mathbb{N} .

\preceq is \subseteq .

$2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ have an $\infty \uparrow$ seq: $\{1\} \subseteq \{1, 2\} \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\} \dots$

Another Example

$2^{\mathbb{N}}$ the powerset of \mathbb{N} .

$2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ the set of finite subsets of \mathbb{N} .

\preceq is \subseteq .

$2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ have an $\infty \uparrow$ seq: $\{1\} \subseteq \{1, 2\} \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\} \dots$

$2^{\mathbb{N}}$ has an $\infty \downarrow$ seq: $\mathbb{N} \supseteq \mathbb{N} - \{1\} \supseteq \mathbb{N} - \{1, 2\} \dots$

Another Example

$2^{\mathbb{N}}$ the powerset of \mathbb{N} .

$2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ the set of finite subsets of \mathbb{N} .

\preceq is \subseteq .

$2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ have an $\infty \uparrow$ seq: $\{1\} \subseteq \{1, 2\} \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\} \dots$

$2^{\mathbb{N}}$ has an $\infty \downarrow$ seq: $\mathbb{N} \supseteq \mathbb{N} - \{1\} \supseteq \mathbb{N} - \{1, 2\} \dots$

$2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ does not have an $\infty \downarrow$ seq. You can prove that.

Another Example

$2^{\mathbb{N}}$ the powerset of \mathbb{N} .

$2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ the set of finite subsets of \mathbb{N} .

\preceq is \subseteq .

$2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ have an $\infty \uparrow$ seq: $\{1\} \subseteq \{1, 2\} \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\} \dots$

$2^{\mathbb{N}}$ has an $\infty \downarrow$ seq: $\mathbb{N} \supseteq \mathbb{N} - \{1\} \supseteq \mathbb{N} - \{1, 2\} \dots$

$2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ does not have an $\infty \downarrow$ seq. You can prove that.

$2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ have an ∞ antichain: $\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\} \dots$

Motivating A Definition

We want to define a notion like the following one:

Motivating A Definition

We want to define a notion like the following one:

Def An ordering (X, \preceq) is **javier** if the following hold

Motivating A Definition

We want to define a notion like the following one:

Def An ordering (X, \preceq) is **javier** if the following hold
1) there are no $\infty \downarrow$ subsequences,

Motivating A Definition

We want to define a notion like the following one:

Def An ordering (X, \preceq) is **javier** if the following hold

- 1) there are no ∞ \downarrow subsequences,
- 2) there are no ∞ antichains.

Motivating A Definition

We want to define a notion like the following one:

Def An ordering (X, \preceq) is **javier** if the following hold

- 1) there are no ∞ \downarrow subsequences,
- 2) there are no ∞ antichains.

We will give a definition that is both

Motivating A Definition

We want to define a notion like the following one:

Def An ordering (X, \preceq) is **javier** if the following hold

- 1) there are no ∞ \downarrow subsequences,
- 2) there are no ∞ antichains.

We will give a definition that is both

- 1) Equivalent to **javier**.

Motivating A Definition

We want to define a notion like the following one:

Def An ordering (X, \preceq) is **javier** if the following hold

- 1) there are no ∞ \downarrow subsequences,
- 2) there are no ∞ antichains.

We will give a definition that is both

- 1) Equivalent to **javier**. **Yeah!**

Motivating A Definition

We want to define a notion like the following one:

Def An ordering (X, \preceq) is **javier** if the following hold

- 1) there are no ∞ \downarrow subsequences,
- 2) there are no ∞ antichains.

We will give a definition that is both

- 1) Equivalent to **javier**. **Yeah!**
- 2) Not that intuitive.

Motivating A Definition

We want to define a notion like the following one:

Def An ordering (X, \preceq) is **javier** if the following hold

- 1) there are no ∞ \downarrow subsequences,
- 2) there are no ∞ antichains.

We will give a definition that is both

- 1) Equivalent to **javier**. **Yeah!**
- 2) Not that intuitive. **Boo!**

Motivating A Definition

We want to define a notion like the following one:

Def An ordering (X, \preceq) is **javier** if the following hold

- 1) there are no ∞ \downarrow subsequences,
- 2) there are no ∞ antichains.

We will give a definition that is both

- 1) Equivalent to **javier**. **Yeah!**
- 2) Not that intuitive. **Boo!**
- 3) Easy to work with.

Motivating A Definition

We want to define a notion like the following one:

Def An ordering (X, \preceq) is **javier** if the following hold

- 1) there are no ∞ \downarrow subsequences,
- 2) there are no ∞ antichains.

We will give a definition that is both

- 1) Equivalent to **javier**. **Yeah!**
- 2) Not that intuitive. **Boo!**
- 3) Easy to work with. **Yeah!**

Well Quasi Orders

Def An ordering, (X, \preceq) , is a **well quasi ordering** (wqo) if

Well Quasi Orders

Def An ordering, (X, \preceq) , is a **well quasi ordering** (wqo) if for any sequence x_1, x_2, \dots

Well Quasi Orders

Def An ordering, (X, \preceq) , is a **well quasi ordering** (wqo) if for any sequence x_1, x_2, \dots there exists i, j such that $i < j$ and $x_i \preceq x_j$.

Well Quasi Orders

Def An ordering, (X, \preceq) , is a **well quasi ordering** (wqo) if for any sequence x_1, x_2, \dots there exists i, j such that $i < j$ and $x_i \preceq x_j$. We call this i, j an **uptick**.

Well Quasi Orders

Def An ordering, (X, \preceq) , is a **well quasi ordering** (wqo) if for any sequence x_1, x_2, \dots

there exists i, j such that $i < j$ and $x_i \preceq x_j$.

We call this i, j an **uptick**.

Note We show that (X, \preceq) is a **wqo** iff (X, \preceq) is **javier**.

javier \implies **wqo**

javier \implies **wqo**

Let (X, \preceq) be javier. We show (X, \preceq) is wqo.

javier \implies wqo

Let (X, \preceq) be javier. We show (X, \preceq) is wqo.

Let x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots be a sequence of elements of X .

javier \implies **wqo**

Let (X, \preceq) be javier. We show (X, \preceq) is wqo.

Let x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots be a sequence of elements of X .

$\text{COL}(i, j) =$

javier \implies wqo

Let (X, \preceq) be javier. We show (X, \preceq) is wqo.

Let x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots be a sequence of elements of X .

$\text{COL}(i, j) =$

- ▶ UP if $x_i \preceq x_j$.

javier \implies wqo

Let (X, \preceq) be javier. We show (X, \preceq) is wqo.

Let x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots be a sequence of elements of X .

$\text{COL}(i, j) =$

- ▶ UP if $x_i \preceq x_j$.
- ▶ DOWN if $x_j \prec x_i$.

javier \implies wqo

Let (X, \preceq) be javier. We show (X, \preceq) is wqo.

Let x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots be a sequence of elements of X .

$\text{COL}(i, j) =$

- ▶ UP if $x_i \preceq x_j$.
- ▶ DOWN if $x_j \prec x_i$.
- ▶ INC if x_i and x_j are incomparable.

javier \implies **wqo**

Let (X, \preceq) be javier. We show (X, \preceq) is wqo.

Let x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots be a sequence of elements of X .

$\text{COL}(i, j) =$

- ▶ UP if $x_i \preceq x_j$.
- ▶ DOWN if $x_j \prec x_i$.
- ▶ INC if x_i and x_j are incomparable.

By RT $\exists \infty$ homog set.

javier \implies wqo

Let (X, \preceq) be javier. We show (X, \preceq) is wqo.

Let x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots be a sequence of elements of X .

$\text{COL}(i, j) =$

- ▶ UP if $x_i \preceq x_j$.
- ▶ DOWN if $x_j \prec x_i$.
- ▶ INC if x_i and x_j are incomparable.

By RT $\exists \infty$ homog set.

If color is DOWN or INC then the homog set violates javier.

javier \implies wqo

Let (X, \preceq) be javier. We show (X, \preceq) is wqo.

Let x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots be a sequence of elements of X .

$\text{COL}(i, j) =$

- ▶ UP if $x_i \preceq x_j$.
- ▶ DOWN if $x_j \prec x_i$.
- ▶ INC if x_i and x_j are incomparable.

By RT $\exists \infty$ homog set.

If color is DOWN or INC then the homog set violates javier.

So color is UP.

javier \implies wqo

Let (X, \preceq) be javier. We show (X, \preceq) is wqo.

Let x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots be a sequence of elements of X .

$\text{COL}(i, j) =$

- ▶ UP if $x_i \preceq x_j$.
- ▶ DOWN if $x_j \prec x_i$.
- ▶ INC if x_i and x_j are incomparable.

By RT $\exists \infty$ homog set.

If color is DOWN or INC then the homog set violates javier.

So color is UP.

Bonus We don't just get an uptick, we get an $\infty \uparrow$ sequence.

wqo \implies javier

wqo \implies javier

Let (X, \preceq) be wqo. We show (X, \preceq) is javier.

wqo \implies javier

Let (X, \preceq) be wqo. We show (X, \preceq) is javier.

Let x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots be a sequence of elements of X .

wqo \implies javier

Let (X, \preceq) be wqo. We show (X, \preceq) is javier.

Let x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots be a sequence of elements of X .

Since the sequence has an uptick it **is not** $\infty \downarrow$ and not an ∞ antichain.

Summary of javier, wqo, and $\infty \uparrow$

Summary of javier, wqo, and $\infty \uparrow$

Let (X, \preceq) be an ordering.

Summary of javier, wqo, and $\infty \uparrow$

Let (X, \preceq) be an ordering.

The following are equivalent

Summary of javier, wqo, and $\infty \uparrow$

Let (X, \preceq) be an ordering.

The following are equivalent

1. For every sequence x_1, x_2, \dots there is an uptick.

Summary of javier, wqo, and $\infty \uparrow$

Let (X, \preceq) be an ordering.

The following are equivalent

1. For every sequence x_1, x_2, \dots there is an uptick.
2. For every sequence x_1, x_2, \dots there is an increasing subsequence.

Summary of javier, wqo, and $\infty \uparrow$

Let (X, \preceq) be an ordering.

The following are equivalent

1. For every sequence x_1, x_2, \dots there is an uptick.
2. For every sequence x_1, x_2, \dots there is an increasing subsequence.
3. No sequence x_1, x_2, \dots is an $\infty \downarrow$ or an ∞ anti-chain.

wqo's Closed Under \times

wqo's Closed Under \times

Def Let (X, \preceq_1) and (Y, \preceq_2) be wqo.

wqo's Closed Under \times

Def Let (X, \preceq_1) and (Y, \preceq_2) be wqo.

Then we define

\preceq on $X \times Y$

by

wqo's Closed Under \times

Def Let (X, \preceq_1) and (Y, \preceq_2) be wqo.

Then we define

\preceq on $X \times Y$

by

$(x, y) \preceq (x', y')$ iff $x \preceq_1 x'$ and $y \preceq_2 y'$.

wqo's Closed Under \times

Def Let (X, \preceq_1) and (Y, \preceq_2) be wqo.

Then we define

\preceq on $X \times Y$

by

$(x, y) \preceq (x', y')$ iff $x \preceq_1 x'$ and $y \preceq_2 y'$.

Lemma If (X, \preceq_1) and (Y, \preceq_2) are wqo then $(X \times Y, \preceq)$ is a wqo.

wqo's Closed Under \times

Def Let (X, \preceq_1) and (Y, \preceq_2) be wqo.

Then we define

\preceq on $X \times Y$

by

$(x, y) \preceq (x', y')$ iff $x \preceq_1 x'$ and $y \preceq_2 y'$.

Lemma If (X, \preceq_1) and (Y, \preceq_2) are wqo then $(X \times Y, \preceq)$ is a wqo.

Let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3), \dots$ be an infinite sequence of elements from $A \times B$.

wqo's Closed Under \times

Def Let (X, \preceq_1) and (Y, \preceq_2) be wqo.

Then we define

\preceq on $X \times Y$

by

$(x, y) \preceq (x', y')$ iff $x \preceq_1 x'$ and $y \preceq_2 y'$.

Lemma If (X, \preceq_1) and (Y, \preceq_2) are wqo then $(X \times Y, \preceq)$ is a wqo.

Let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3), \dots$ be an infinite sequence of elements from $A \times B$.

Proof: This is on the HW.

wqo Closed Under Finite Power Set

Thm Let (X, \preceq) be a well quasi order.

wqo Closed Under Finite Power Set

Thm Let (X, \preceq) be a well quasi order.
Let $2^{\text{fin}X}$ be the set of FINITE subsets of X .

wqo Closed Under Finite Power Set

Thm Let (X, \preceq) be a well quasi order.

Let $2^{\text{fin}X}$ be the set of FINITE subsets of X .

We order $2^{\text{fin}X}$:

wqo Closed Under Finite Power Set

Thm Let (X, \preceq) be a well quasi order.

Let $2^{\text{fin}X}$ be the set of FINITE subsets of X .

We order $2^{\text{fin}X}$:

$A \preceq' B$ if there is an 1-1 function $f: A \rightarrow B$ such that $x \preceq f(x)$.

wqo Closed Under Finite Power Set

Thm Let (X, \preceq) be a well quasi order.

Let $2^{\text{fin}X}$ be the set of FINITE subsets of X .

We order $2^{\text{fin}X}$:

$A \preceq' B$ if there is an 1-1 function $f: A \rightarrow B$ such that $x \preceq f(x)$.

Then $(2^{\text{fin}X}, \preceq')$ is a wqo.

Plan

Plan

Note that (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is a wqo.

Plan

Note that (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is a wqo.

I will prove on slides that $2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ is a wqo.
(This will be in the next slide packet)

Plan

Note that (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is a wqo.

I will prove on slides that $2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ is a wqo.
(This will be in the next slide packet)

The prove I give will generalize to X wqo $\implies 2^{\text{fin}X}$ a wqo.

Plan

Note that (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is a wqo.

I will prove on slides that $2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ is a wqo.
(This will be in the next slide packet)

The prove I give will generalize to X wqo $\implies 2^{\text{fin}X}$ a wqo.
That might be on a HW.

Plan

Note that (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is a wqo.

I will prove on slides that $2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ is a wqo.
(This will be in the next slide packet)

The prove I give will generalize to X wqo $\implies 2^{\text{fin}X}$ a wqo.
That might be on a HW.

If all you want is $2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ is a wqo then there is an easier proof.

Plan

Note that (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is a wqo.

I will prove on slides that $2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ is a wqo.
(This will be in the next slide packet)

The prove I give will generalize to X wqo $\implies 2^{\text{fin}X}$ a wqo.
That might be on a HW.

If all you want is $2^{\text{fin}\mathbb{N}}$ is a wqo then there is an easier proof.
That might be on a HW.