# On SAT Solvers and Ramsey-type Numbers

Burcu Canakci<sup>\*</sup> Microsoft Research Hannah Christenson<sup>†</sup> Asana Robert Fleischman<sup>‡</sup> TASH robbyef980gmail.com el Smolyak<sup>||</sup>Univ of MD

William Gasarch<sup>§</sup> Univ of MD gasarch@umd.edu

burcanakci@gmail.com

Nicole McNabb<sup>¶</sup> Wallmart Global Tech Daniel Smolyak<sup>||</sup>Univ of MD dsmolyak<sup>0</sup>gmail.com

#### Abstract

We created and parallelized two SAT solvers to find new bounds on some Ramsey-type numbers. For c > 0, let  $R_c(L)$  be the least n such that for all c-colorings of the  $[n] \times [n]$  lattice grid there will exist a monochromatic right isosceles triangle forming an L. Using a known proof that  $R_c(L)$  exists we obtained  $R_3(L) \leq 2593$ . We formulate the  $R_c(L)$  problem as finding a satisfying assignment of a boolean formula. Our parallelized probabilistic SAT solver run on eight cores found a 3-coloring of  $20 \times 20$  with no monochromatic L, giving the new lower bound  $R_3(L) \geq 21$ . We also searched for new computational bounds on two polynomial van der Waerden numbers, the "van der Square" number  $R_c(VS)$  and the "van der Cube" number  $R_c(VC)$ .  $R_c(VS)$  is the least positive integer n such that for some c > 0, for all c-colorings of [n] there exist two integers of the same color that are a square apart.  $R_c(VC)$  is defined analogously with cubes. For  $c \leq 3$ ,  $R_c(VS)$  was previously known. Our parallelized deterministic SAT solver found  $R_4(VS) = 58$ . Our parallelized probabilistic SAT solver found  $R_5(VS) > 180$ ,  $R_6(VS) > 333$ , and  $R_3(VC) > 521$ . All of these results are new.

## 1 Introduction

The following is often the first theorem taught in a lecture on Ramsey Theory. If there are six people at a party and for each pair of people either they know each other or neither knows the other, then there are either three people who all know each other or three people who all do not know each other. The reader can prove this by looking at cases.

Is this condition also true for a five-person party? How many people must we have to ensure that either four people all know each other or all don't know one another? Let n represent the total number of people at the party. Let  $K_n$  be the graph on n vertices where every pair of vertices has an edge between them. Note that the party problem stated above can be restated as follows: for every 2-coloring of the edges of  $K_6$  there exists a set of three points such that all of the edges between them are the same color.

<sup>\*</sup>Microsoft Research at Cambridge, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Asana

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>TASH

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>University of MD at College Park, Dept of CS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¶</sup>Walmart Global Tech

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>I</sup>University of MD at College Park, Dept of CS

**Notation.**  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of natural numbers. If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let [n] be the set  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ .

**Definition.** Let  $K_n$  be a complete graph on n vertices. Let V = [n] be the vertices of  $K_n$  and E the edges of  $K_n$ . Let  $c \in [n]$ . A *c*-coloring of the edges of  $K_n$  is a function  $f : E \to [c]$ .

**Definition.** Let G be a subgraph of  $K_n$ . If in a coloring of the edges of  $K_n$  there is a monochromatic copy of G, then we will just say that there is a monochromatic G. We can again restate out party problem as follows: for every 2-coloring of the edges of  $K_6$  there is a monochromatic  $K_3$ .

This party problem introduces the premise of Ramsey's Theorem, initially proven by Ramsey [6] (though easier proofs are easily found on the web) which we state now: **Theorem 1.1.** Ramsey's Theorem.

Let a and b be positive integers. Then there exists a smallest positive integer R(a, b) such that all 2-colorings of a complete graph on R(a, b) vertices contain a monochromatic red  $K_a$  or a monochromatic blue  $K_b$ .

We will refer to R(a,b) as the Ramsey number for (a,b). If a = b, we will just refer to the Ramsey number as R(a).

The general theme of Ramsey Theory is that if you finitely color a big enough object then some monochromatic subobject of a given size will always happen.

Van der Waerden's theorem is in this spirit. It was initially proven by Van der Waerden [7] (though proofs in English are easily found on the web). and are closely related to the numbers we will discuss.

Theorem 1.2. Van der Waerden's Theorem.

Let k and c be fixed positive integers. Then there exists a smallest positive integer n such that all c-colorings of [n] contain a monochromatic arithmetic sequence of integers of the form  $\{a, a + d, a + 2d, ... a + (k - 1)d\}$  for some positive integers a and d less than n.

We will refer to W(c, k) as the van der Waerden number with respect to c and k.

In this paper, we will discuss two variants of Ramsey numbers we studied. We will introduce previously published theorems and results for each Ramsey-type number. Following previous results, we will present our new computational and theoretical results and current bounds.

## 2 Theoretical Bounds on the L Number

**Definition.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider an  $[n] \times [n]$  grid of lattice points. An L is a subgrid of the form L = (i, j), (i, j + t), (i + t, j + t), or the isoscoles right triangle formed by the top left, bottom left, and bottom right corners of any square in the grid.

#### Theorem 2.1. L Number Theorem.

Let c be a positive integer. Then there exists a positive integer n with n > c such that all c-colorings of an  $[n] \times [n]$  grid of lattice points contain a monochromatic L.

We will refer to the integer n guaranteed by the theorem above as the L number, and denote it as  $R_c(L)$  for a given c. The first proof of the L number theorem was given independently by Gallai (as reported by Rado [4], [5]) and Witt [9] as a corollary to a more general theorem on Ramsey numbers. This proof gave an enormous upper bound for  $R_c(L)$ . A lower upper bound was found by Graham and Solymosi [3]. The proof for Theorem 2.3, given below, was obtained through careful analysis of Graham and Solymosi's proof. Alexnovich & Manske [1] proved the specific case  $R_2(L)$  through careful case analysis. There results is Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 2.2.**  $R_2(L) = 5$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** 
$$R_3(L) \le 2593$$

**Proof** For any 3-colored  $[n] \times [n]$  grid, by the Pigeon-hole Principle there exists a color that occurs at least  $\frac{n}{3}$  times along the diagonal. Without loss of generality, let this color be red. If there is no monochromatic L, then there must be  $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-3)}{18}$  points below the diagonal that are not colored red so as to avoid conflict with the red points on the diagonal. Let these points be colored blue and green.

Since there are n-1 subdiagonals below the main diagonal, there exists a subdiagonal that contains at least  $\frac{n(n-3)}{18(n-1)}$  points that are one of  $c_2$  or  $c_3$ . Therefore, there are at least  $\frac{n(n-3)}{36(n-1)}$  points on this diagonal that are all blue or all green. Without loss of generality, assume that there are  $\frac{n(n-3)}{36(n-1)}$ 

points colored blue. In order to continue to avoid a monochromatic L, there are  $\binom{n(n-3)}{36(n-1)}$  points below this diagonal that must be green, as they cannot be red or blue by our coloring of higher diagonals.

Therefore, there exists a subdiagonal with  $\frac{\binom{n(n-3)}{3}\binom{n}{3}\binom{n}{3}\binom{n}{2}}{n-2}$  points that must be green, since there are at most n-2 subdiagonals below the second diagonal in consideration. However, if this number is greater than 1, then there is a subdiagonal with at least 2 points colored green, in which case the corner of the *L* that they help form cannot be red, blue or green to avoid a monochromatic *L*. Because that point must be colored in some way, if  $\frac{\binom{n(n-3)}{3}}{n-2} > 1$ , then it is guaranteed that there is a monochromatic *L* in the grid. If we take into account that we can round up to the nearest whole number when working with a number of points, the smallest *n* such that this is the case is 2593.

## **3** New Computational Bounds on L

To our knowledge, no computational lower bounds on  $R_3(L)$  were previously known. In order to find lower bounds on the 3-colored L number, we created two k-SAT solvers. Both solvers create a boolean formula in 3-CNF form by indexing the lattice points of an  $[n] \times [n]$  grid. The first solver evaluates the formula and attempts to create a satisfying assignment deterministically using the framework of the classic DPLL algorithm. The basic steps of our algorithm are outlined in the pseudocode below. When any variable is set, the formula is reduced accordingly. 2SAT is an asymptotically linear time 2-SAT algorithm. Technically, the "most common variable" that the algorithm chooses is both weighted and considers positive and negative occurences of a variable separately. This means that we keep track of a separate "weight" for both x and  $\neg x$ . Each time x occurs, if the size of the clause we are considering is s, we add  $\frac{1}{s}$  to the weight of x. We then choose the literal with the highest weight as the "most common variable." This yielded the best results in our comparisons of a few different heuristics. Algorithm 1 DPLL(formula f, partial assignment a)

- 1: for *var* in unit clause in f do
- 2:  $a[var] \leftarrow true$
- 3: **if** *clause* **in** *f* becomes unsatisfiable **then return** false
- 4: if f is satisfied then return true
- 5: if f in 2-CNF then return 2SAT(f,a)

```
6: for clause in f do
```

```
7: size \leftarrow length of clause
```

```
8: if size = 2 then
```

```
9: a[clause[0]] \leftarrow true
```

```
10: if DPLL(f, a) then
```

```
11: return true
```

```
12: else
```

```
13: a[clause[0]] \leftarrow false
```

```
14: return DPLL(f,a)
var \leftarrow most \text{ common variable in } f
```

```
15: a[var] \leftarrow true
```

```
16: if DPLL(f, a) then
```

17: **return** true

18: else

```
19: a[var] \leftarrow false
```

```
20: return DPLL(f,a)
```

By running this serial recursive algorithm on a single processor, dual-core computer, we found a 3-coloring of a  $14 \times 14$  lattice grid without a monochromatic L. Thus, our computational lower bound given by our serial DPLL algorithm is  $n \ge 15$ .

Our second k-SAT solver uses a local search algorithm based on a description of the **Walksat** algorithm. The algorithm generates a random assignment, and then flips a predetermined number of variables in an attempt to find a satisfying assignment. This algorithm is run a calculated large number of times in the solver. If an assignment is not found, the solver indicates that the formula is unsatisfiable. Note that since local search is a probabilistic algorithm, even if a satisfying assignment exists, the solver may not find it and will return unsatisfiable. The pseudocode below outlines the local search algorithm.

Algorithm	<b>2</b>	LocalSearch(	(formula	f,	$\operatorname{int}$	maxflips.	double <sup>1</sup>	p)	
-----------	----------	--------------	----------	----	----------------------	-----------	---------------------	----	--

1:  $a \leftarrow \text{random coloring assignment}$ 2:  $u \leftarrow \text{initial unsatisfied clauses of } f \text{ evaluated on } a$ for *maxflips* times do 3: if u = empty then return true 4:  $x \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}(0,1)$ 5:if x < p then 6:  $var \leftarrow$  random variable from an unsatisfied clause 7: 8: else  $var \leftarrow variable$  with "best flipping effect" 9:  $a[var] = \neg a[var]$ 10:  $u \leftarrow u$  updated with recent flip 11: 12: return u = empty

The variable with the "best flipping effect" is the variable that, when flipped, results in the greatest decrease in the number of unsatisfied clauses. The local search algorithm as originally implemented performed slightly better than our implementation of DPLL. However, many clauses of the L formula only serve to ensure that exactly one color could be assigned to each lattice point in the grid. Each of the  $n^2$  points in the grid corresponds to 3 variables: one representing red, one representing blue, and one representing green. Exactly one of these variables must be true in a satisfying assignment that represents a valid coloring of the grid. In light of this, we modified our algorithm in a few ways.

First, we modified each randomly generated assignment so that only the clauses relating to the presence of a monochromatic L, and not those that guarantee a valid coloring, could be unsatisfied. In addition, when we flip a variable, we flip one of the corresponding variables for that spot in the grid in order to maintain the invariant that the assignment respresents a valid coloring. We take into account the net effect of these multiple flips when choosing the variable, and when we have an option of which color-representing variable to flip, we also pick the "better" one by this metric. This modified local search algorithm, run on the same single processor computer, found a satisfying assignment for n = 19, though it returned unsatisfiable for all of the tests that we ran on n = 20. Because local search is a probabilistic algorithm, its inability to find a satisfying assignment for higher values of n does not imply that they do not exist.

Next, we parallelized both solvers because we were able to run them on an 8-core virtual machine cluster. We parallelized the local search algorithm by simply running local search many times at once, with different random seeds, allowing the solver to search for assignments in parallel. In the deterministic solver, we created explicit threads at the first few steps of the DPLL recursion, which then completed in parallel. Our parallelized DPLL algorithm only found a satisfying assignment for n = 15, which was slightly better than our result from our serial DPLL algorithm, though not as good as the result achieved by our serial local search algorithm. Our parallelized local search algorithm found satisfying assignments for n = 20, one of which is shown in Figure 1 below. Therefore, our current lower bound for the L-number is 21.

#### Theorem 3.1.

 $21 \le R_3(L) \le 2593.$ 

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.3,  $R_3(L) \leq 2593$ . Our parallelized local search algorithm run on an 8core virtual machine cluster found satisfiable 3-colored  $20 \times 20$  lattice grids. Thus,  $R_3(L) \geq 21$  is our lower bound by computation.



Figure 1: A 20  $\times$  20 grid with no monochromatic L.

## 4 Theoretical Bounds on Polynomial van der Waerden Numbers

#### Theorem 4.1. General Polynomial van der Waerden Theorem.

Let  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ . For all sets of polynomials  $\{p_1, ..., p_k\}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  with a zero constant term, there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  where n > c such that for all *c*-colorings of [n], there exist positive integers *a* and *d* that guarantee a monochromatic arithmetic sequence of the form  $\{a, a + p_1(d), ..., a + p_k(d)\}$ .

The above theorem, which generalizes van der Waerden's theorem to polynomials with zero constant term, was first proven by Bergelson and Liebman [2]. Walters [8] gave the first constructive proof for the polynomial van der Waerden theorem, which gave explicit, albeit extremely large, upper bounds. In this paper, we focus on two specific polynomial van der Waerden numbers introduced in the corollaries below.

#### Corollary 4.1. Van der Square Numbers.

Let  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , n > c, such that every c-coloring of [n] contains two monochromatic integers  $x^2$  distance apart for some integer x.

#### Corollary 4.2. Van der Cube Numbers.

Let  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , n > c, such that every c-coloring of [n] contains two monochromatic integers  $y^3$  distance apart for some integer y.

The proofs for these corollaries follow directly from Theorem 4.1. We will denote the van der Square number defined in Corollary 4.1 by  $R_c(VS)$  for a given c. Similarly, we will denote the van der Cube number defined in Corollary 4.2 by  $R_c(VC)$  for a given c.

# **Theorem 4.2.** $R_2(VS) = 5.$

*Proof.* First, let us prove  $R_2(VS) \leq 5$ . Without loss of generality, assume 1 is colored red. Then each subsequent number in the sequence must be colored the opposite of the number before it, as consecutive numbers are  $1^2$  apart. Thus, we color 2 blue, 3 red, 4 blue, and 5 red. However, 5 is  $2^2$  apart from 1 and they are both colored red. If 5 was blue, 5 and 4 would be the same color, and they are separated by  $1^2$ . Therefore, in any 2-coloring of [5], there is a monochromatic pair of integers separated by a square, so  $R_2(VS) \leq 5$ .

The table below shows a possible 2-coloring of a length 4 sequence with no monochromatic pair of numbers a square apart. Thus,  $R_2(VS) = 5$ .

Table 1: Sample 2-coloring of a sequence of length 4  $\begin{array}{c|c}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline
R & B & R & B
\end{array}$ 

### Theorem 4.3.

 $R_3(VS) \le 68.$ 

*Proof.* We will attempt to color a sequence of 1 through 68 without a monochromatic pair of numbers separated by a square, in order to show that such a task is impossible. Without loss of generality, assume 10 is colored red. Then 1 and 26 cannot be red because they are a square apart from 10. 1 and 26 also cannot be the same color since they are a square apart, thus, without loss of generality, color 1 blue and color 26 green. Next, 17 is a square apart from both 1 and 26, forcing it to be red. When this process is repeated, all numbers that are 7 apart from 10 to 59,  $\{10, 17, 24, ..., 59\}$  must be red. However, 10 and 59 are  $7^2$  apart. 59 was forced to be red by the forced green and blue color of the numbers 68 and 43. Therefore,  $R_3(VS) \leq 68$ .

# 5 New Computational Bounds on Polynomial van der Waerden Numbers

Using our k-SAT solvers with the heuristics discussed in a previous section, we found computational results and lower bounds for some polynomial van der Waerden numbers. The table below summarizes the current known values and bounds on  $R_c(VS)$ . The bolded values represent the results given by our k-SAT solvers, which were previously unknown.  $R_c(VS)$  for  $c \leq 3$  were previously known.

In addition, our parallelized local search algorithm was able to find that  $R_3(VC) > 521$  using the heuristics discussed earlier in the paper. It is easy to see that  $R_2(VC) = 9$  in a proof parallel to that presented for  $R_2(VS) = 4$ . However, our DPLL algorithm was not able to provide reasonable

Table 3: Known van der Waerden square numbers										
	c	1	2	3	4	5	6			
	n	2	5	29	58	>180	>333			

bounds for  $R_3(VC)$  or other van der Cube numbers for higher values of c. In the future, we hope to continue to improve both of our parallelized algorithms and to gain additional computational power in order to compute tighter bounds on polynomial van der Waerden numbers in addition to still unknown Ramsey and L numbers.

## References

- M. Axenovich and J. Manske. On monochromatic subsets of a rectangular grid. Integers, 8(1):A21, 2008. http://orion.math.iastate.edu/axenovic/Papers/Jacob-grid.pdf and http://www.integers-ejcnt.org/vol8.html.
- [2] V. Bergelson and A. Leibman. Set-polynomials and polynomial extension of the Hales-Jewett theorem. Annals of Mathematics, 150:33-75, 1999. http://www.math.ohio-state.edu/~vitaly/.
- [3] R. Graham and J. Solymosi. Monochromatic equilateral right triangles on the integer grid. Topics in Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Combinatorics, 26, 2006. www.math.ucsd.edu/~/ ron/06\\_03\\_righttriangles.pdf or www.cs.umd.edu/~/vdw/graham-solymosi.pdf.
- [4] R. Rado. Studien zur Kombinatorik. Mathematische Zeitschrift, 36:424-480, 1933. http: //www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/TOPICS/vdw/vdw.html. Includes Gallai's theorem and credits him.
- R. Rado. Notes on combinatorial analysis. Proceedings of the London Math Society, 48:122-160, 1943. http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/TOPICS/vdw/vdw.html. Includes Gallai's theorem and credits him.
- [6] F. Ramsey. On a problem of formal logic. Proceedings of the London Math Society, 30(1):264–286, 1930.
- [7] B. van der Waerden. Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung (in dutch). Nieuw Arch. Wisk., 15:212–216, 1927.
- [8] M. Walters. Combinatorial proofs of the polynomial van der Waerden theorem and the polynomial Hales-Jewett theorem. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 61:1-12, 2000. http://jlms.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/reprint/61/1/1.
- [9] E. Witt. Ein kombinatorischer satz de elementargeometrie. Mathematische Nachrichten, 6:261-262, 1951. http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/TOPICS/vdw/vdw.html. Contains Gallai-Witt Theorem, though Gallai had it first so it is now called Gallai's theorem.