Announcements

● Midterm #1
  – Solution on web
  – Must submit requests for re-grades via grade web site by 3/18/04
  – Average: 64.3, Standard Dev: 13.9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
<th>P4</th>
<th>P5</th>
<th>Tot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>64.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page Replacement Algorithms

- FIFO
  - Replace the page that was brought in longest ago
  - However
    - old pages may be great pages (frequently used)
    - number of page faults may increase when one increases number of page frames (discouraging!)
      - called belady’s anomaly
    - 1,2,3,4,1,2,5,1,2,3,4,5 (consider 3 vs. 4 frames)

- Optimal
  - Replace the page that will be used furthest in the future
  - Good algorithm(!) but requires knowledge of the future
  - With good compiler assistance, knowledge of the future is sometimes possible
Page Replacement Algorithms

- **LRU**
  - Replace the page that was actually used longest ago
  - Implementation of LRU can be a bit expensive
    - e.g. maintain a stack of nodes representing pages and put page on top of stack when the page is accessed
    - maintain a time stamp associated with each page

- **Approximate LRU algorithms**
  - maintain reference bit(s) which are set whenever a page is used
  - at the end of a given time period, reference bits are cleared
FIFO Example (3 frames)

- Reference string: 1,2,3,4,1,2,5,1,2,3,4,5
  - access 1 - (1) fault
  - access 2 - (1,2) fault
  - access 3- (1,2,3) fault
  - access 4 - (2,3,4) fault, replacement
  - access 1 - (3,4,1) fault, replacement
  - access 2 - (4,1,2) fault, replacement
  - access 5 - (1,2,5) fault, replacement
  - access 1- (1,2,5)
  - access 2 - (1,2,5)
  - access 3 - (2,5,3) fault, replacement
  - access 4 - (5,3,4) fault, replacement
  - access 5 - (5,3,4)

- 9 page faults
LRU Example (3 frames)

- Reference string: 1,2,3,4,1,2,5,1,2,3,4,5
  - access 1 - (1) fault
  - access 2 - (1,2) fault
  - access 3 - (1,2,3) fault
  - access 4 - (2,3,4) fault, replacement
  - access 1 - (3,4,1) fault, replacement
  - access 2 - (4,1,2) fault, replacement
  - access 5 - (1,2,5) fault, replacement
  - access 1 - (2,5,1)
  - access 2 - (5,1,2)
  - access 3 - (1,2,3) fault, replacement
  - access 4 - (2,3,4) fault, replacement
  - access 5 - (3,4,5) fault, replacement
- 10 page faults
LRU Example (4 frames)

- Reference string: 1,2,3,4,1,2,5,1,2,3,4,5
  - access 1 - (1) fault
  - access 2 - (1,2) fault
  - access 3 - (1,2,3) fault
  - access 4 - (1,2,3,4) fault, replacement
  - access 1 - (2,3,4,1)
  - access 2 - (3,4,1,2)
  - access 5 - (4,1,2,5) fault, replacement
  - access 1 - (4,2,5,1)
  - access 2 - (4,5,1,2)
  - access 3 - (5,1,2,3) fault, replacement
  - access 4 - (1,2,3,4) fault, replacement
  - access 5 - (2,3,4,5) fault, replacement

- 8 faults
FIFO Example (4 frames)

- Reference string: 1,2,3,4,1,2,5,1,2,3,4,5
  - access 1 - (1) fault
  - access 2 - (1,2) fault
  - access 3 - (1,2,3) fault
  - access 4 - (1,2,3,4) fault, replacement
  - access 1 - (1,2,3,4)
  - access 2 - (1,2,3,4)
  - access 5 - (2,3,4,5) fault, replacement
  - access 1 - (3,4,5,1) fault, replacement
  - access 2 - (4,5,1,2) fault, replacement
  - access 3 - (5,1,2,3) fault, replacement
  - access 4 - (1,2,3,4) fault, replacement
  - access 5 - (2,3,4,5) fault, replacement

- 10 Page faults
Thrashing

- **Virtual memory is not “free”**
  - can allocate so much virtual memory that the system spends all its time getting pages
  - the situation is called thrashing
  - need to select one or more processes to swap out

- **Swapping**
  - write all of the memory of a process out to disk
  - don’t run the process for a period of time
  - part of medium term scheduling

- **How do we know when we are thrashing?**
  - check CPU utilization?
  - check paging rate?
  - Answer: need to look at both
    - low CPU utilization plus high paging rate --> thrashing
Working Sets and Page Replacement

- Programs usually display reference locality
  - temporal locality
    - repeated access to the same memory location
  - spatial locality
    - consecutive memory locations access nearby memory locations
  - memory hierarchy design relies heavily on locality reference
    - sequence of nested storage media

- Working set
  - set of pages referenced in the last delta references
Improving Heap Locality

- **Malloc (or new) don’t ensure locality among requests**
  - Two calls to malloc could get memory on different cache lines, pages, etc.

- **Option 1:**
  - Malloc a large chunk of memory and parcel it out yourself

- **Option 2:**
  - Add a “near” hint parameter to malloc
  - Indicates that memory should be allocated near the target location
    - It’s only a performance hint, and malloc can ignore it
    - Allows locality improvement without major changes
Preventing Thrashing

- Need to ensure that we can keep the working set in memory
  - if the working sets of the processes in memory exceed total page frames, then we need to swap a process out
- How do we compute the working set?
  - can approximate it using a reference bit
Implementation Issues

- **How big should a page be?**
  - want to trade cost of fault vs. fragmentation
    - cost of fault is: trap + seek + latency + transfer
  - Does the OS page size have to equal the HW page size?
    - no, just needs to be a multiple of it

- **How does I/O relate to paging**
  - if we request I/O for a process, need to lock the page
    - if not, the I/O device can overwrite the page

- **Can the kernel be paged?**
  - most of it can be.
  - what about the code for the page fault handler?
Review Exam

- We reviewed each question of the exam
- Synchronization will appear again on the midterm #2